

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CONSUMPTION AND TREATMENT SERVICES

SITE IDENTIFICATION

PUBLIC FEEDBACK REPORT ON COMMUNITY

CONSULTATION ON CANDIDATE SITES

Community Consultation undertaken on the suitability of

Candidate Site #1 – 15 Easton Street

Candidate Site #2 – 8 Oxford Avenue

Due to the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic, all consultations within the city are being held virtually to protect the health and safety of Cambridge residents and staff.

City of Cambridge Consumption and Treatment Services Site Identification Feedback Report on Community Consultation on Candidate Sites

About This Report

Gathering input from the community is important for understanding the issues and concerns for considering alternative sites for a potential Consumption and Treatment Services (CTS) location in Cambridge. In June 2019, City Council passed a resolution in support of proceeding with the identification of candidate sites for Consumption and Treatment Services with wrap around services in Cambridge, outside of the core areas; and in an area of need as per the requirements of the provincial Consumption and Treatment Services Program.

Through a site selection identification process undertaken by city staff that considered the required federal and provincial government criteria for locating a CTS site, the city identified two potential candidate sites: Site #1 at 15 Easton Street and Site #2 at 8 Oxford Avenue. On April 6, 2021, Cambridge City Council voted to move forward with a community consultation framework to gather public feedback on these two potential candidate sites for a future Consumption and Treatment Service (CTS) location. The purpose of the consultation undertaken was to seek public input on the suitability of these two sites for a Consumption and Services Treatment Site.

This report, prepared by the Community Engagement Facilitator Sue Cumming, MCIP RPP, Cumming+Company, is a full account of what was heard through the community consultation. It includes feedback on the community survey, kitchen table guides, email correspondence, interviews with service providers and front-line workers (for potential CTS clients).

Contents

1. How was the Community Consultation Organized?	3
2. Summary of What Was Heard:	5
3. Responses to the Community Survey	15
4. Responses to Kitchen Table Guides.....	19
5. Responses from Interviews with Service Providers ...	24
6. Responses from Interviews with Potential CTS Clients ...	26
Appendix 1: Verbatim Survey Comments about suitability of Site #1 (15 Easton St.) ...	29
Appendix 2: Verbatim Survey Comments about suitability of Site #2 (8 Oxford Ave.)	59
Appendix 3: Verbatim Survey Comments about site selection.....	98
Appendix 4: Email correspondence from Near Neighbours and General Public	156

1. HOW WAS THE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION ORGANIZED?

The goal of the community consultation is to provide opportunities for residents and business owners located in the area surrounding the two candidate sites, as well as the general public and other stakeholders, to provide input regarding the perceived benefits and concerns or risks about the proposed location. The goal of the consultation is not to recommend a specific site but to collect input from participants across the community.

The process was guided by the following objectives:

1. To ensure that the community is aware of what the project is about, what is being considered, the timeline for its consideration and the city decision-making process.
2. To provide purposeful, plain language and accessible information on the site selection process including the criteria for shortlisting sites.
3. To inform and seek input from near neighbours (residents and businesses in the surrounding neighbourhoods) on the two candidate sites.
4. To seek input from Service Providers on the two shortlisted alternative sites.
5. To seek input from Potential CTS Clients on the two shortlisted alternative sites.
6. To report back to the community and City Council on what was heard.

Key considerations for undertaking the community consultation included the following:

- It is important to inform the public that the community consultation process is about getting input on two specific alternative candidate CTS sites.
- The consultation process will not be recommending a site. The input received will be considered by Council in their decision-making.
- The community consultation process is designed to enable all audiences to be comfortable to provide input and to reflect that input for Council's consideration.
- Participating does not mean that an individual agrees or disagrees with the proposal to consider a CTS Site for Cambridge.
- Recognizing that people participate in different ways it is important to provide a variety of ways of providing input.
 - CTS Potential Clients to be consulted with the assistance of Service Providers and Outreach Workers.
 - The process is virtual ensuring compliance with the Province of Ontario Covid-19 health and safety requirements. The community consultation process needs to be flexible and adaptable.

The consultation plan framework identified four key audiences and identified the following consultation activities (methods and approaches) to be used.

Audience	Consultation Activities (Methods and Approaches)
General Public	Online Survey Kitchen Table Guide/Feedback Forms (downloadable from City website) for neighbourhood associations, community groups and other stakeholders.
Near Neighbours	Letter by direct mail advising of Online survey, Kitchen Table Guide/Feedback Forms and contact email for requesting additional information

Audience	Consultation Activities (Methods and Approaches)
Service Providers	Interviews (telephone/virtual) Individual and Small Group Meetings (virtual) Kitchen Table Guide/Feedback Form
Potential CTS Clients	Interviews with front-line staff and outreach workers who would be able to interview potential CTS clients

Notification of the consultation included the following:

- News Release – June 29, 2021 - *City of Cambridge seeks community input on potential CTS site locations*
 - This resulted in media coverage in the Record, Kitchener Today, Cambridge Times, 570 News, CBC and CTV
- Notification in the Cambridge Times on July 1, 2021
- Direct mail to Near Neighbours (within 250 metres of each candidate site)
- Posts on the City’s social media channel between June 29 – July 30 (five posts with a total reach of 25,088 people). Paid social media ad ran between July 28-30.
- Updated information on City’s corporate website.
- Dedicated webpage created on Engage Cambridge to house the survey, Kitchen Table guide and site maps, and to provide background materials, past reports and additional information. Information included a timeline, CTS Provincial Application Guide and Region of Waterloo harm reduction information.
- City Clerk notified members of Council

Phone calls and emails to Service Providers and Outreach Workers. Outreach workers were identified through discussion with Service Providers and research.

The consultation was held virtually, and individuals were able to participate:

- By completing the Survey available at Engage Cambridge throughout the month of July. The survey was open from July 1 to July 30, 2021.
- By participating in the Kitchen Table Guide (with several family members, friends, neighbours or colleagues) and completing the guide in compliance with Covid 19 restrictions by July 30, 2021. A fillable copy of the guide and instructions were able to be downloaded directly from the city web-site.
- By contacting the Clerk’s Division via email at siteconsultation@cambridge.ca or by phone at 519 623-1340 to request a paper copy of the survey and kitchen table guide or to seek assistance with accessing the consultation materials.
- By emailing comments to siteconsultation@cambridge.ca email

From June 29 to July 30, 2021, the webpage traffic was as follows:

- Total Engage Cambridge page visitors: 1,900
- Total aware participants (people who visited at least one page) 2175
- Total number of informed visitors (people who read multiple things on the page): 1,700
- Total number of survey responses: 1014

Consultation with Service Providers occurred through interviews and zoom meetings. Six interviews were conducted between July and September with Service Providers in the City of Cambridge.

Consultation with Potential CTS Clients occurred through interviews with four front-line (outreach workers) who interviewed over sixty potential clients.

2. SUMMARY OF WHAT WAS HEARD

This report section is a high-level summary of the feedback prepared by the Community Engagement Facilitator and should be read in conjunction with the content in the report and Appendices 1 to 4. This summary is intended to offer key observations on what was heard. For the community survey feedback, percentages can be assigned based on the responses provided. For comments and input received through the other consultation activities, frequently noted themes and key messages were noted.

2.1 What was heard from the Community Survey

The Community survey was available for a period of four week in July 2021 and 1014 individuals completed it.

Survey Questions	Summary of Survey Responses
Respondents Information	When asked where respondents identify from, responses were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 94% are residents of Cambridge • 13 % are business owners. • .05 % are potential CTS clients • 7% other
Question 3 Ranking of factors that are important for establishing a CTS site	When asked to rank the factors that are important for establishing a CTS site, the top three responses were: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mental health supports (40% of respondents), 2. Security on site (35% of respondents) 3. Access to social services, primary care and treatment support by referral (35% of respondents)
Question 4 Site suitability criteria for Site #1 1 Easton Street	When asked to consider the provincial candidate site evaluation criteria and to identify what they think is suitable about Site #1, the top three responses were: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None of the above are suitable (67% of respondents), 2. Is large enough for wrap around services for treatment and counselling (27% of respondents) 3. Has public transit nearby (27% of respondents)
Question 6 Site suitability criteria for Site #2 8 Oxford Avenue	When asked to consider the provincial candidate site evaluation criteria and to identify what they think is suitable about Site #2, the top three responses were: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None of the above are suitable (71% of respondents) 2. Is within 10–15-minute walking distance between site and area of need (25% of respondents) 3. Has public transit nearby (20% of respondents)

<p>Question 8 Which site is a better candidate site?</p>	<p>When asked to identify which of the two sites do you think would be a better candidate for a CTS location</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 70% of people responded that they neither is a suitable site • 16% think that Site #1 at 15 Easton would be a better site • 14% think that Site #2 at 8 Oxford Street would be a better site
--	---

Throughout all of the consultation activities undertaken the community was asked to provide reasons why they felt that either candidate Site 1 – 15 Easton Street or Site 2 – 8 Oxford Street would be suitable for a CTS location. The survey included an open text question about the suitability of each site and 687 individuals commented about the Easton Site and 699 about the Oxford Site. The verbatim comments are included in Appendices 1 and 2. Interviews with Service Providers and Potential CTS Clients also asked about what the pros and cons of each of the two candidate sites were. The following is a compilation of the summary of the pros and cons noted by different audiences on these two candidate sites. Many of the comments noted are verbatim.

2.2 Key Messages heard about the Pros and Cons of two candidate sites by Audience

From the General Public and Near Neighbours about Site 1 – 15 Easton Street

<p>Pros – Reasons given why this site may be suitable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally, easily accessible and more central to Preston, Galt and Hespeler. • More removed from downtown Galt. • Transportation (parking, public transit close by). On a major bus route will be beneficial to allowing more people to use this facility from all over town, not just downtown and Bridges. • Is convenient to other essential goods and services. • Better lit, around more people, more foot traffic, can blend in more (offers more confidentiality for people going there). • Larger space: potentially more space for wrap around services. • It is less visible than Oxford, which is a very good thing for both users and the public. Provides more anonymity and confidentiality for those accessing services.
<p>Cons – Reasons give why this site is not suitable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is a high traffic intersection and therefore a dangerous and unsuitable location. Users will be let loose in an altered state at one of the busiest intersections in the region. • It is located near heavy and constant traffic at the Delta intersection, which is one of Cambridge’s busiest, especially during rush hours. It is already confusing with so many lights and crosswalks. Concerns about risk for pedestrians and drivers. • Too far away from the highest need area (downtown). • Too far from where service users currently reside and access emergency housing. • Too close to homes. Why such small minimum distances when the end users wander at will. It is closer to homes and not 200 metres from residential areas. • Within close proximity to at least three schools and day cares. Less than a 10-minute walk to Manchester Public School, Avenue Road Public School and St. Peter Catholic School as well as Chaplin Park and Soper Park.

- Too close to Galt Collegiate School.
- Kids come from further away to the plaza than the distance listed, and elementary students go for breaks in the area.
- Concerns about property theft and security for homes and businesses.
- Right beside a popular and busy shopping plaza which will be impacted. You have a grocery store right there. Families go to this store daily.
- Businesses around the Delta area already struggling as is evident with some underutilized buildings there.
- A site here will exacerbate the problems that are associated at the Delta already including increase in drug activity, harassment of citizens, vandalism, loitering, and threats to personal security. Policing can't manage this and having a site here will further contribute to decline in the area.

From the General Public and Near Neighbours Comment about Site 2 – 8 Oxford Avenue

Pros – Reasons given why this site may be suitable

- Close to Bridges without being located in the core area (noting that the city omitted the core area from consideration for potential site locations).
- May be closer to area of need and other supporting services located in other buildings i.e., food banks, shelters.
- Not as busy an intersection than Easton Site.
- Ample staff parking.

Cons – Reasons give why this site is not suitable

- It is in the middle of a residential area that contains family homes, daycares, schools and parks. Families and children walk by this location daily.
- Too close to homes – adjacent to and across the street from homes in houses and apartments. Doesn't meet the province's 200 metres distance criterion.
- Where will they go after they use at a CTS – this area is in a neighbourhood with lots of kids and seniors and their safety should come first.
- This site has backyards and laneways around it where children play, and drug users would go to a site in this location and then leave after a short while high with the likelihood of wandering into these areas adjacent to the property.
- The day care centres, and the schools lie directly in the walking path of many CTS users because it would be located between downtown and the site. There are many small children that walk by and who also live nearby. The close proximity of this proposed site to schools and childcare facilities with short walking distances to Pluto Daycare, to the Montessori School of Cambridge to Manchester Public School and the Galt Collegiate Institute should be evidence enough that 8 Oxford Street is the wrong location for a CTS site.
- There are already widespread community issues affecting the area around these two sites which are being impacted by the rise in homelessness and increases in drug activity which are resulting in the harassment of citizens both young and old, concerns

- about safety and personal security.
- There are current problems with theft, break ins, disturbances, people hanging out in residents' backyards and along the street and the homeless wandering the area at all hours. This residential area is experiencing safety and crime due to break-ins, thefts, threats to personal safety and the location of the CTS here would exacerbate an already untenable situation.
 - Concerns that the degradation in neighborhood property value will occur if a facility such as this is allowed.
 - Highly visible site for those going there. Offers no anonymity with so many people walking around that everyone would see who is going there.
 - Less accessible. Not close enough to public transit. Close to major roadways which is worrisome for users leaving this site and for the residents and drivers.
 - Isolated site. No other services nearby.
 - Assumes that drug users come from the area near Bridges and doesn't take into account users throughout the city. May be closer for individuals coming from Bridges but not for others.
 - Further away from area of need than what is shown on the maps. The maps do not factor in the geographical division of the Cambridge Street hill a steep incline that spans from Parkhill Ave to Roseview Ave. The walk up the hill is also not accessible for those with mobility issues.
 - Needle debris is already found in the neighbourhood.
 - Loitering is occurring in Soper Park making it unsafe for residents today.
 - The location of a CTS here is seen to be problematic for amplifying existing concerns about neighbourhood impacts emanating from the Bridges location.
 - Hours of operation are not 24/7, but resulting problems are.
 - Smaller space, less space for the services. Less ability due to smaller size of building and property to have all recovery and rehabilitation services in one location. Location is a strong factor in determining the location of a CTS so too is the ability to provide all the resources needed for users of the facility
 - Minimum distances are useless since users rarely follow prescribed routes.

From Service Providers about Site 1 – 15 Easton Street

<p>Pros – Reasons given why this site may be suitable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More commercial uses, generally a busy location with lot of people around which may offer more anonymity at this site for people going to/from it which is preferable. • Site is more accessible being at the Delta with several bus stops and is better served by public transit.
<p>Cons – Reasons given why this site is not suitable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further away from area of need where people are frequenting. • Safety concerns given the busy intersections, lots of traffic and how people would be able to cross the roads to get to the site. • No plan for how wrap around services could be offered or supported at the site (for either site).

From Service Providers about Site 2 – 8 Oxford Avenue

Pros – Reasons given why this site may be suitable
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• May be closer to area of need for people to walk to from the downtown where they frequent.
Cons – Reasons give why this site is not suitable
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The site is isolated from other services.• There are more eyes on Oxford with more homes and apartments nearby which would make the clients more visible and less comfortable to go there. Clients may be less likely to go to 8 Oxford because it is so visible, and they may be intimidated because they are lots of eyes on the street watching. Don't want to create a scenario where they are afraid to got to the CTS site.• Closer to existing residential which creates a conflict between neighbours and people who would go to the CTS location.• It is close to the shelter and clients may come out at the back of the shelter and up the hill which would create concerns for neighbouring properties. It was noted that fencing has been placed behind the shelter all along Ainslie Street, but people may try to take the shortest route to the CTS location.• No plan for how wrap around services could be offered or supported at this site (for either site).

From Potential CTS Clients about 15 Easton Street

Pros – Reasons given why this site may be suitable
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Beside a grocery store in a busy plaza which makes the site somewhat anonymous as there are lots of people walking around there. It is a lower barrier site than 8 Oxford Avenue because of the activity that surrounds it, and potential CTS clients may feel more comfortable going there as they would be less visible.• Given location at Delta, there are lots of buses and connections to the rest of the city.• Site could have outdoor space should smoking be allowed/included in a CTS (noting it is not currently permitted) in the future.• Secure storage of personal belongings including bikes would need to be provided for individuals to feel comfortable going to either site.
Cons – Reasons give why this site is not suitable
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Too much traffic at this site and too near the train tracks. The intersection is really busy with big roads which raises concerns about being able to safely cross the roads to get to/from the location.• Public transit for the unhoused population is not viable and few have bus passes, and the costs of a single trip is prohibitive. Most walk and many use bikes to get around.• It is not accessible to everyone, as there are potential CTS clients in the downtown with mobility issues who would not be able to get to the site. The distance to walk here from the encampments is a longer walk and many have mobility issues and can only manage short walks.

From Potential CTS Clients about 8 Oxford Avenue

Pros – Reasons given why this site may be suitable
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Closer to downtown and closer to other services.
Cons – Reasons give why this site is not suitable
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• While some feel that it may be better because less of the general public will be there to observe clients and make them uneasy, others note that this site is highly visible and single destination such that everyone would know that they are going to the site. They would feel uncomfortable and not safe going here because they would be so visible.• It is too close to residential. They see 8 Oxford as a high barrier site as they would not feel comfortable going to this location in the middle of a neighbourhood.• Many expressed the reality they are already stigmatised by residents in Cambridge who yell at them, take their pictures, call the police on them, etc. and they would not feel safe going to a site so close to people’s homes.• Don’t want to be near where there are playgrounds or near schools.• Not as accessible as 15 Easton Street by public transit. It was noted that those experiencing homelessness walk or bike as their primary way of travel. Housed population may use public transit.• Site would not be suitable for having an outdoor space (noting it is not currently permitted) for smoking due to proximity to residences should smoking be allowed/included in a CTS in the future.• Secure storage of personal belongings including bikes would need to be provided for individuals to feel comfortable going to either site.

2.3. Key themes about site selection from the General Public and Near Neighbours

The survey provided a general question about the suitability of the two candidate sites and 744 individuals responded with comments. These are found verbatim in Appendix 3. Nineteen individuals participated in the Kitchen Table Guide where they were also asked to share overall comments. The following are key observations organized around the following themes. Many of the comments noted for the different themes are verbatim:

- From individuals who think that either of these two sites is suitable
- From those individuals that do not support a CTS on either of these two sites
- From those individuals that think another site should be considered
- From those individuals that think that no site should be considered

2.3.1. From individuals that think that either of these two sites is suitable

A small number of individuals provided input that they think that either 15 Easton Street or 8 Oxford Avenue would be a suitable site for a CTS. A few individuals indicated their direct personal or professional role with the drug problem. None indicate their proximity to either site location. Reasons cited include:

- The need for this service and/or accompanying services for those visiting a site.
- Too much time of inaction on this front has passed already.

- Importance of trying to help those in need and to reduce drug overdoses in the community.
- Support a site for a CTS in Cambridge due to significant need for harm reduction and addictions/mental health treatment services.

2.3.2. From those individuals that do not support a CTS site at either of these two sites

It was observed that a significant number of individuals who provided comments in the survey and who participated in the kitchen table guide live or work in proximity to one or both sites. Reasons cited for why neither of the two candidate sites is suitable:

- Concerns about significant impacts to the safe enjoyment and security of their homes, streets and neighbourhoods. Concerns about declining safety and personal security.
- Site impacts on neighbouring residents, schools, daycares, or businesses.
- Lack of acknowledgement that these sites are located where there is a high level of walking activity by families and children (particularly for 8 Oxford Avenue) and for families and teenagers (particularly for 15 Easton Street)
- Untenable situation of having individuals who go to a CTS in one of these locations, getting high and then leaving in this state to wander the nearby streets where there are many families, children and seniors.
- Concern over heightened crime with a number noting specific concerns about drug related break-ins and theft.
- Worries about property value of homes being affected.
- Concerns about discarded needles and drug paraphernalia in a site's vicinity explicitly identifying their proximity to either of the proposed site locations.
- View that Cambridge needs to address the root cause(s) of such addiction issues and deal with the homeless situation and lack of detox and rehabilitation and there is too much emphasis here on looking for a CTS site instead of working to assist in really affecting change which is better for drug addicts and our community.
- Concerns about neighbourhood impacts that are being experienced in areas near the downtown which are already being impacted by drug activity, homelessness and other issues. Anger that these issues are occurring without any solution being addressed by the municipality and that a CTS location would even be considered for either area given the concerns that exist already.

2.3.3. From those individuals that think that another site should be considered

Many others commented that they disapprove of the two sites mentioned and that another site would be better away from these two neighbourhoods closer to people in need and where other services are already located. Other site locations mentioned in order or how frequently these were noted include: 150 Main Street, the Cambridge Memorial Hospital, at the Bridges Shelter, City Hall, Hespeler Road and other locations.

- We need addiction treatment and mental health services not consumption sites.
- Why aren't there sites that are closer to downtown where wrap around services already exist.
- Identifying sites without a fulsome plan of what services are going to be offered is backwards. People with substance use problems need to have easy access to a full complement of services, on site.
- For 150 Main Street, some respondents commented that they are either wondering what happened to this option or believing that the mayor/city council was against the location.
- If the drug problem is only in downtown Galt area, then the solution would be to contain it in the area. 150 Main Street is the Regional Health unit and has many of the services required on site already. City Hall can provide space if needed.
- Some specifically noted issues of concern with the Bridges Shelter and feel that it should also be moved elsewhere. What is happening with the Bridges? At one point there was mention of looking for a potentially larger/different location. Is that work still on-going? Both of the proposed CTS sites seem focused on the Bridges in terms of location. What happens if it moves away from the current location, but a CTS site is set-up nearby?

2.3.4. From those individuals that think that no site should be considered

Reasons provided by those who noted that they do not think that a CTS site should be located anywhere in Cambridge include:

- CTS sites don't combat the drug problem and can normalize illegal substance use.
- CTS sites could worsen the drug problem and don't offer real help to addicts.
- View that too much focus is being placed on what is perceived as this one type of harm reduction strategy (creating a CTS location) without government attention be given to addressing housing needs, mental health, and other strategies that could make a difference in the growing drug crisis.
- View that more resources should be allocated to treatment methods including rehabilitation, detox, social supports and, affordable housing which should be prioritized over establishing a CTS site.
- Frustration over the lack of say/representation from the general community on this issue or the allocation of tax money towards a site and how the impact to community members seems to be less important than the needs of the drug users in the city's deliberations thus far.
- Concern over safety within Cambridge now, without a CTS site. Most of these sentiments are coupled with concern over Cambridge becoming less safe with a CTS site present.
- Concerns about activity at the Bridges location.
- Concerns about safety downtown.

2.4. Key themes noted about site selection from Service Providers

The following key themes were noted through interviews with Service Providers:

- Important to have an accessible location where people can walk to.
- Important considerations include how get to the site and how easy it is to walk, hours of operation, safety at site, comfort at site (including anonymity).
- Hours of operation are important as the need is 24/7 and extended hours particularly at the beginning of the month would make it more likely that people would use the site.
- Barely able to keep up with services currently offering. Not sure how they would be able to support wrap around services at either site.
- Best area for CTS site location is in the downtown where the existing services and supports are located. 150 Main Street was mentioned as a good example where there exist many services in one location which are easy to walk, bike and bus to.
- The best opportunity to get people into treatment is if they are coming for other reasons i.e., medical, to pick up cheques, to see social workers, to access food, etc. If they are already coming to location that offers things that they need, they build trust with the people there which can lead to easier transitions for treatment and counselling.
- For the housed population it is essential that the site location is part of a larger cluster of services so as to minimize visibility, stigmatization and make it viable for them to go there.
- For the unhoused population, it is also essential for the site to be part of a cluster of services to increase likelihood of being able to connect them to treatment, counselling and wellness services.
- There is a serious lack of housing for low income and people in need regardless of whether they are drug users not.
- There are limited shelter beds available in the city and people have no where to go to spend the night.
- There are also limited drop-in spaces or welcoming spaces for people in need many other residents in need i.e., elderly adults, youth, social assistance, medical attention, mental health support, cooling centres, heating centres, access to food, etc.
- The crisis is being experienced every day and it is getting worse.
- There needs to be more focus on a coordinated harm reduction plan involving different programs and providing more services and supports.
- Funding is seriously lacking.
- Burnout is occurring within organizations who support the full range of people in need.
- There is more work to be done to get people housed, to address mental health, trauma, basis support.
- Better strategies are needed for how drug addiction is addressed including safe supply.

2.5. Key themes about site selection from Potential CTS Clients

The following key themes were noted through interviews with Service Providers:

- There are different barriers to accessing a CTS location experienced by unhoused and housed individuals. The community within the encampments is well known to one another and they are more visible to one another which may result in more 911 calls. Housed individuals are “hidden”, alone and do not generally seek services due to lack of anonymity and shame. Overdoses within the housed population can be more fatal as a result of being alone.
- Lack of privacy and anonymity for the housed population were identified as significant barriers for accessing a CTS site.
- May see few visitors at first at either site. Clients won’t likely trust it right away. Many believe that people would use either site.
- If a site cannot be operated 24/7 then increased hours for the first few days after monthly cheques would be important. It should be open as much as possible.
- Most would never go to a CTS location which is only used as a CTS site and where it is easy to identify where they are going to.
- Most indicate that they access services in the downtown at 150 Main Street which is walkable, and they are familiar with it because they go there and trust the staff there.
- Don’t understand why 150 Main Street can’t be looked at as there are already wrap around services there including medical care, rapid access to treatment, housing resources, showers and clothing which are accessed comfortably.
- For housed potential CTS Clients, they noted that a less disclosed location one where multiple services and activities occur at the location would make them more likely to go to. Not likely to go to a defined site when it is the only activity at the site.
- Would go somewhere like 150 Main because it offers different services where they can go to use computers, visit the OW site and other activities and there are lots of people going into the building for different reasons.
- CTS currently does not allow/include smoking which makes up a big component of drug use. Inside ventilation or outdoor space would lower barriers.
- Strategies for safe supply were noted to need to be considered as part of harm reduction strategies.
- Would like to see some ability to test the drug.

3. RESPONSES TO THE COMMUNITY SURVEY

This report section describes the survey and includes the responses received. There was significant uptake on the survey with 1014 responses which is the highest survey response rate for a City of Cambridge Survey. Not everyone answered each question. The survey also included three open text boxes for receiving general comments on the suitability of each site and comments on both sites. The verbatim comments received are included in their entirety as follows:

Appendix 1	Comments/Considerations about the suitability of Site #1 – 15 Easton Street	687 Individual Comments
Appendix 2	Comments/Considerations about the suitability of Site #2 – 8 Oxford Street	699 Individual Comments
Appendix 3	Further comments about these two candidate sites here.	744 Individual Comments

The Survey was used as a key tool to collect input from the Cambridge Community on the two candidate sites. The survey introduction stated the following:

- No decisions have been made.
- It is recognized that there are strong views in the community about harm reduction programs such as CTS. Participating in this survey does not mean that an individual agrees or disagrees with consideration of a CTS site in Cambridge.

The survey consisted of three parts as follows:

Part A - Respondent information

Respondents were asked to indicate where they identify from. The responses for Part A (Question 1) are at **Figure 1**.

Figure 1 - Where Survey Respondents Identify From

Description of respondents	Number	Percent
I am a resident of Cambridge	947	93.9%
I am a business owner in Cambridge	121	12.9%
I am a potential client of a CTS	4	0.4%
Other	71	7.0%
Total number of respondents who answered this question	1009	
Total number of respondents who skipped this question	5	
Total number of survey respondents	1014	

Part B consisted of maps that were sourced from the March 30, 2021, City Staff Report No. 21-121 (CRS) on CTS Community Consultation and Site Identification.

The following maps showed the location of the two candidate sites in relation to criteria referenced in Questions in Part C of the Survey.

- Map #1 – Proximity to Area of Need
- Map #2 – Travel Time from Area of Need
- Map #3 – Proximity to Downtown Galt Core Area and 500 m Buffer Zone
- Map #4 – Proximity to Child Care Centres
- Map #5 – Proximity to Schools
- Map #6 – Proximity to Parks
- Map #7 – Proximity to Residential Areas – Areas Which are Zoned Residential

Part C included questions about the two candidate sites

The following report sections provide the responses to these survey questions

3.1. Responses to which of the following features are important to you (Question #3)

Community members were asked to identify which of the following factors are important to consider in establishing a CTS site in Cambridge. They could check all that apply.

- Easily accessible to the people in need of the services
- Provides safe consumption/overdose prevention services
- Mental health support
- Access to social services, primary care and treatment supports on site or by referral
- Needle and harm reduction supply retrieval
- Security on site
- Ensuring that services are provide inside a building
- Site design with parking for staff, landscaping and lighting
- Operated by an experienced Harm Reduction Program Agency/Organization
- None of the above

983 community members responded to this question. Please note that they could choose more than one response. The responses are shown at **Figure 2**

Figure 2 - Ranking of factors that are important for establishing a CTS site

Response choice	Percent of respondents	Number of respondents
Mental health supports	40.0%	393
Security on site	34.7%	341
Access to social services, primary care and treatment support by referral	34.6%	340
Needle and harm reduction supply retrieval	33.5%	329
None of the above	32.6%	320
Operated by an experienced Harm Reduction Program Agency/Organization	31.5 %	310
Provides safe consumption/overdose prevention services	29.3%	288
Easily accessible to the people in need of services	27.7%	272
Ensuring that services are provided inside a building	27.6%	271
Site design with parking for staff, landscaping and lighting	16.7 %	164
Other	36.1%	355

3.2. Responses to what is suitable about the two sites based on the provincial site evaluation criteria provided.

Community members were asked to identify what they think is suitable about the two sites based on a list of provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria. The same criteria were provided as response choices for both sites.

Responses for Site #1 at 15 Easton Street (Survey Question 4)

Using the provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria, community members were asked to tell us what you think is suitable about Site #1. Over 65% of individuals responded that none of the provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria are suitable about Site #1. They could choose all that apply. Please note that they could choose more than one response. The responses are shown at **Figure 3**.

Figure 3 – Responses on suitability of site criteria for Site 1 – 15 Easton Avenue

Site #1 – 15 Easton Street Response choices	Percent of respondents	Number of respondents
None of the above are suitable	66.7%	650
Is large enough for wrap around services for treatment and counselling	26.6%	259
Has public transit nearby	26.6%	259
Is within 10–15-minute walking distance between site and area of need	20.7%	202
Is located a minimum of 200 metres from childcare centres	13.4%	131
Is located a minimum of 200 metres from parks	12.8%	125
Is located abutting areas that are zoned residential	12.2%	119

Respondents were able to share any comments/considerations they have about the suitability of Site #1. This was an open text response question (survey question 5). 687 individuals provided comments. These are found verbatim in Appendix 1.

Responses for Site #2 at 8 Oxford Avenue (Survey Question 6)

Using the provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria, community members were asked to tell us what you think is suitable about Site #2. They could choose all that apply. Over 70% of people responded that none of the provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria are suitable about Site #2. Please note that they could choose more than one response. The responses are shown at **Figure 4**.

Figure 4 - Responses on suitability of site criteria for Site 2 – 8 Oxford Avenue

Site #2 – 8 Oxford Avenue Response choices listing the provincial site evaluation criteria (these are listed here in the order of highest to lowest)	Percent of respondents	Number of respondents
None of the above are suitable	71.4%	681
Is within 10–15-minute walking distance between site and area of need	24.6%	235
Has public transit nearby	20.3%	194
Is large enough for wrap around services for treatment and counselling	18.9%	180
Is located a minimum of 200 metres from childcare centres	11.8%	113
Is located a minimum of 200 metres from parks	11.2%	107
Is located abutting areas that are zoned residential	7.5%	72

Respondents were able to share any comments/considerations they have about the suitability of Site #2. This was an open text response question (survey question 7). 699 individuals provided comments. These are found verbatim in Appendix 2.

3.3. Responses to which of the two sites do you think would be a better candidate for a CTS location (Survey Question #8)

Community members were asked to identify which of the two sites do you think would be a better candidate for a CTS location. Over 70% of individuals responded that neither site is suitable. Please note that they could choose more than one response. The responses are shown at **Figure 5**.

Figure 5 - Responses to which site would be a better candidate site for a CTS location

Which of the two sites do you think would be a better candidate site? Response choice	Percent of respondents	Number of respondents
Site #1 – 15 Easton Street	16.3%	163
Site #2 – 8 Oxford Avenue	13.6%	136
Neither site is suitable	70.1%	701
	100%	1000

Respondents were able to share any further comments about the two candidate sites. This was an open text response question (survey question 7). 744 individuals provided comments. These are found verbatim in Appendix 3.

4. RESPONSES TO THE KITCHEN TABLE GUIDES

A Kitchen Table Guide was available for download on the city's website and paper copies were available for pick-up at City Hall. It was advertised on the website and near neighbours were encouraged to host a conversation and submit the feedback form in letters forwarded to them.

Kitchen Table Discussions are a structured way of participating in a conversation about an issue important to those present. It is meant to take place in a casual, comfortable way amongst several family members and, or friends and neighbours to discuss opinions, solutions and ideas based on everyone's perspective.

This Kitchen Table Discussion Guide provided a framework to have a co-operative discussion with family, friends, neighbours and colleagues to gain a deeper understanding of the issues surrounding site selection. The feedback form asks questions about two potential candidate sites. This guide was designed to make it easy to host and/or participate in a Kitchen Table Discussion.

1. Introduction and thank you
2. Information on what is a Kitchen Table Discussion
3. Role of Kitchen Table Discussion hosts
4. Maps of Area of Need, Distance from Sensitive Land Uses and Walking Distances
5. Information on two candidate sites under consideration at this time – Site 1 and Site 2
6. Feedback Form

Included in this Guide was relevant information on the site selection process for a Consumption and Treatment Services Location in Cambridge to assist with the conversations.

Nineteen individuals participated in these discussions in three small groups and three feedback forms (booklets) were submitted to the city as follows:

Booklet #1	8 Individuals	Near Neighbours
Booklet #2	5 Individuals	Community Organization
Booklet #3	6 Individuals	Near Neighbours

The verbatim input received is included in the following.

4.1. Responses to which of the following features are important to you

Community members were asked to identify which of the following factors are important to consider in establishing a CTS site in Cambridge. They could check all that apply.

- a. Easily accessible to the people in need of the services
- b. Provides safe consumption/overdose prevention services
- c. Mental health support
- d. Access to social services, primary care and treatment supports on site or by referral
- e. Needle and harm reduction supply retrieval
- f. Security on site
- g. Ensuring that services are provide inside a building

- h. Site design with parking for staff, landscaping and lighting
- i. Operated by an experienced Harm Reduction Program Agency/Organization
- j. None of the above

The responses are shown at **Figure 6**

Figure 6 - Ranking of factors that are important for establishing a CTS site

	Responses checked on the Feedback Form
Booklet #1	j (None of the above)
Booklet #2	a, b, c, d, e, g, h, i
Booklet #3	j (None of the above)

4.2. Responses to what is suitable about the two sites based on the provincial site evaluation criteria provided.

Community members were asked to identify what they think is suitable about the two sites based on a list of provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria. The same criteria were provided as response choices for both sites.

Responses for Site #1 at 15 Easton Street

Using the provincial Candidate Site Evaluation Criteria, community members were asked to tell us what you think is suitable about Site #1. They could choose all that apply.

- a. is within 10 minutes walking distance between site and area of need (walking time for Site 1 is approximately 14 minutes)
- b. has public transit nearby
- c. facility is large enough for wrap around services for treatment and counseling
- d. is located 200 metres from childcare centres
- e. is located 200 metres from schools
- f. is located 200 metres from parks
- g. is located 200 metres from areas that are zoned residential
- h. none of the above

The responses are shown at **Figure 7** with comments provided at **Figure 8**.

Figure 7 – Responses on suitability of site criteria for Site 1 – 15 Easton Street

	Reponses checked on the Feedback Form
Booklet #1	h (None of the above)
Booklet #2	a, b, c, d, e, f, g
Booklet #3	h (None of the above)

Figure 8 – Comments Noted about the suitability of Site 1 – 15 Easton Street

Booklet #	Comments about the suitability of Site #1 – 15 Easton Street
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Users will be let loose in an altered state at one of the busiest intersections in the region. • Businesses will be forced to hire security to police their property. • School children pass through this plaza and will be a risk. • Give them help, don't get them high. • The busy plaza will allow drug dealers easy access and getaway without police scrutiny.
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important that this site was in a place that would be suitable for those accessing services (confidentiality). • Transportation (parking, public transit close by). • On an express bus route - not everyone coming from the downtown core. • The group was not as concerned about buffers around schools/parks/childcare - didn't see these services as an issue/threat to those populations - but met that criterion. • Better lit, around more people, more foot traffic, can blend in more (more confidentiality). • Shelter at the bus stop (if poor weather). • Safer (more cameras, more access to stores around the location, better bus schedules) - good for staff and those accessing services. • Larger space - safer during COVID/distancing measures etc. • Meets criteria for zoning (parks, childcare, school).
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined pathways to rehabilitation and mental health services have to be more than phone numbers to wait lists. • Why such small minimum distances when the end users wander at will. • It is closer and not 200 metres from residential areas. • Clients and staff have security but not the public. • Intoxicated and drug altered clients will be released into a very busy intersection near train tracks. Poorly thought out. • School kids come from further away to the plaza than the distance listed will be at risk.

Responses for Site #2 at 8 Oxford Avenue

Community members were asked to tell us what you think is suitable about Site #2. They could check all that apply.

- a. is within 10 minutes walking distance between site and area of need (walking time for Site 2 is approximately 6 minutes)
- b. has public transit nearby
- c. facility is large enough for wrap around services for treatment and counseling
- d. is 200 metres from childcare centres
- e. is 200 metres from schools

- f. is 200 metres from parks
- g. is located abutting areas that are zoned residential
- h. none of the above

The responses are shown at **Figure 9** with comments provided at **Figure 10**.

Figure 9 – Responses on suitability of site criteria for Site 2 – 8 Oxford Avenue

	Reponses checked on the Feedback Form
Booklet #1	h (None of the above)
Booklet #2	a, c, d, e, f,
Booklet #3	h (None of the above)

Figure 10 – Comments Noted about the suitability of Site 2 – 8 Oxford Street

Booklet #	Comments about the suitability off Site #2 – 8 Oxford Avenue
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daycare children walk past this location daily. • Too close to high school students. • Needle debris is already found further afield than the proximity distances. hours of operation are not 24/7, but resulting problems are. security will be provided within the facility, but not to the neighbourhood. safety should apply to everyone.
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns that it was close only for those coming from Bridges - although many may be coming from other areas. • Not close enough to public transit. • Concerns for those using those services and live in the area/ that it is a residential area (concerns for confidentiality of those accessing service). • The walk up the hill is not accessible for those with mobile issues - farther from public transportation. • Just meets the criteria for parks - still close. • Smaller - COVID issues/distancing - should this continue.
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Old heat map. while this vicinity has issues, should check out area closer to Super 8. • Minimum distances are useless since users rarely follow prescribed routes and often trespass and terrorize now. • Daycare kids walk daily more than these minimum distances. • Sites are not open 24/7. • Safe supplies they dispense at other locations already litter further afield that these distances. • We need rehabilitation and mental health support not more drugs.

4.3. Responses to which of the two sites do you think would be a better candidate site

Community members were asked to identify which of the two sites do you think would be a better candidate for a CTS location. The responses are shown at **Figure 11**.

Figure 11 - Responses to which site would be a better candidate site for a CTS site

Booklet #	Site #1 15 Easton Street	Site #2 8 Oxford Avenue	Neither	Reasons given as to why selection noted
1.			X	
2.	X			
3.			X	Safety needs to apply to all not just users and staff. Illicit drug use (or safe supply is not limited to daytime hours only as these centres allow so when staff leave, neighbours and general public have to contend with problems. Treatment not consumption. Without treatment, this is just a duplication of existing services but adds illegal drugs and the added associated risks to users and the community.

The final question in the Kitchen Table Guide asked, “In discussing the two sites, what top three considerations did your group identify for selecting a suitable site”. The responses are found at **Figure 12**

Figure 12 – Top three considerations for selecting a suitable site for a CTS

Booklet #	Comments noted
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety for the entire community. • No illegal activity should be government sanctioned. • The only service not already supplied by other agencies, is the ability to use illegal substances of unknown origin.
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Left blank. No comment.
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is a health concern foisted on the public. • Users are allowed to wander into the public while “under the influence” and this is not acceptable. • Unwanted trespassers and thieves already bring harm to these neighbourhoods. • Sites are too close to children, families and schools. If the users are not dangerous, why the need for security on site.

5. WHAT WAS HEARD FROM INTERVIEWS WITH SERVICE PROVIDERS

Service Providers

A number of interviews were undertaken with service providers in Cambridge. These occurred as telephone and zoom interviews. The service providers included organization that provide direct services to potential CTS clients. Six Service Providers were interviewed. The following is the feedback that was heard about the two candidate sites. The key messages heard include the following:

About suitability of 15 Easton Street

- Further away from area of need where people are frequenting.
- May be better served by public transit
- Safety concerns given the busy intersections, lots of traffic and how people would be able to cross the roads to get to the site.
- More commercial uses, generally a busy location with lot of people around which may offer more anonymity at this site which is preferable.
- Site is more accessible being at the Delta with several bus stops.

About suitability of 8 Oxford Avenue

- May be closer to area of need for people to walk to from the downtown where they frequent.
- The site is isolated from other services and potential clients are less likely to go to a single service destination even if wrap around services could be provided here.
- Closer to existing residential which creates a conflict between neighbours and people who would go to the CTS location.
- There are more eyes on Oxford with more homes and apartments nearby which would make the clients more visible and less comfortable to go there.
- It is close to the shelter and clients may come out at the back of the shelter and up the hill which would create concerns for neighbouring properties and residents living nearby. It was noted that fencing has been placed behind the shelter all along Ainslie Street, but people may try to take the shortest route to the CTS location,
- There are already residents in Cambridge who follow potential clients filming them, yelling at them and creating an intimidating environment. Don't want to create a scenario where they are afraid to go to the CTS site or they won't use it.

About key considerations for selecting any site

- Important considerations include how get to the site and how easy it is to walk, hours of operation, safety at site, comfort at site (including anonymity).
- It was noted that most of the clients walk. Important to have an accessible location where people can walk to.
- Some have bus passes and take transit.
- Hours of operation are important as the need is 24/7 and extended hours particularly at the beginning of the month would make it more likely that people would use the site.

- Barely able to keep up with services currently offering. Not sure how they would be able to support wrap around services at either site.
- The best opportunity to get people into treatment is if they are coming for other reasons i.e., Medical, to pick up cheques, to see social workers, to access food, etc. If they are already coming to location that offers thing that they need, they build trust with the people there which can lead to easier transitions for treatment and counselling.
- Best area for CTS site location is in the downtown where the existing services and supports are located. 150 Main was mentioned as a good example where there exists a multitude of services in one location which are easy to walk, bike and bus to.
- For the housed population it is essential that the site location is part of a larger cluster of services so as to minimize visibility, stigmatization and make it viable for them to go there.
- For the unhoused population, it is also essential for the site to be part of a cluster of services to increase likelihood of being able to connect them to treatment, counselling and wellness services.
- City is lacking in city drop-in services and basic supports.
- There is a serious lack of housing for low income and people in need regardless of whether they are drug users not.
- There are limited shelter beds available in the city and people have no where to go to spend the night.
- It was noted that for those in the community who access the shelters, that these are not available during the day, and they have no where to go in the daytime.
- There are also limited drop-in spaces or welcoming spaces for people in need many other residents in need i.e., Elderly adults, youth, social assistance, medical attention, mental health support, cooling centres, heating centres, access to food, etc.

Other harm reduction comments

- The crisis is being experienced every day and it is getting worse.
- There needs to be more focus on a coordinated harm reduction plan involving different programs and providing more services and supports.
- Funding is seriously lacking.
- Burnout is occurring within organizations who support the full range of people in need.
- There is more work to be done to get people housed, to address mental health, trauma, basis support.
- Better strategies are needed for how drug addiction is addressed including safe supply.

6. WHAT WAS HEARD FROM CTS CLIENTS THROUGH FRONT LINE WORKERS

A series of interviews were undertaken with outreach and front-line workers in Cambridge who were identified through interviews with Service Providers and by research into organizations that provide support to potential CTS clients. These occurred as telephone and zoom interviews. The front-line workers agreed to discuss the two candidate sites with “potential CTS clients” who they have regular contact with through their organization’s work. Discussions occurred with between 60 and 70 potential CTS clients from July to September to seek input on the pros and cons of the two candidate sites. The majority of those who provided input were within the unhoused population although a number were housed individuals. The feedback received is anecdotal information about the two candidate sites. No identifying information was collected. Anonymity was critical for having conversations and learning about the pros and cons of each candidate site as an important part of the overall community consultation.

To garner feedback on the two candidate sites, front-line workers posed the following questions:

1. What do you see as potential barriers for Site#1 (15 Easton Street) and Site #2 (8 Oxford Avenue)?
2. Would you travel there?
3. What are the pros and cons of each site?
4. What hours of operation/days of week would work?
5. What add-on (wrap around) services would you like to see at that site?

The key messages heard include the following:

About suitability of 15 Easton Street

- Beside a grocery store in a busy plaza which makes the site somewhat anonymous as there are lots of people walking around there. It is a lower barrier site because of the activity that surrounds it, and potential CTS clients may feel more comfortable going there as they would be less visible.
- Too much traffic at this site and too near the train tracks. The intersection is really busy with big roads which raises concerns about being able to safely cross the roads to get to the location.
- Given location at Delta, there are lots of buses and connections to the rest of the city.
- Public transit for the unhoused population is not viable and few have bus passes, and the costs of a single trip is prohibitive. Most walk and many use bikes to get around.
- It is not accessible to everyone, as there are potential CTS clients in the downtown with mobility issues who would not be able to get to the site. The distance to walk here from the encampments is a longer walk and many have mobility issues and can only manage short walks.
- Site could have outdoor space should smoking be allowed/included in a CTS in the future.

- Secure storage of personal belongings including bikes would need to be provided for individuals to feel comfortable going to either site.

About suitability of 8 Oxford Avenue

- Oxford is closer to downtown and at first look seems better. They like that the site is closer to downtown and other services, therefore better between the two candidate sites.
- While some thought that it may be better because there are fewer people around than the Easton site – as in fewer people to observe them and make them uneasy, others note that this site is highly visible and single destination such that everyone would know that they are going to the site. They would feel uncomfortable and not safe going here because they would be so visible.
- It is too close to residential. They see 8 Oxford as a high barrier site as they would not feel comfortable going to this location in the middle of a neighbourhood.
- Many expressed the reality they are already stigmatised by residents who yell at them, take their pictures, call the police on them, etc. and they would not feel safe going to 8 Oxford Street.
- Not as accessible as 15 Easton by public transit. It was noted that those experiencing homelessness walk or bike as their primary way of travel. Housed population may use public transit.
- Site would not be suitable for having an outdoor space for smoking due to proximity to residences should smoking be allowed/included in a CTS site in the future.
- Don't want to be near where there are playgrounds or near schools.
- Secure storage of personal belongings including bikes would need to be provided for individuals to feel comfortable going to either site.

About key considerations for selecting any site

- May see few visitors at first at either site. Clients won't likely trust it right away.
- Would like to see outreach workers, counselling and some ability to test the drug.
- If a site cannot be operated 24/7 then increased hours for the first few days after monthly cheques would be important. It should be open as much as possible.
- Many believe that people would use either site.
- There are different barriers to accessing a CTS location experienced by unhoused and housed individuals. The community within the encampments is well known to one another and they are more visible to one another which may result in more 911 calls. Housed individuals are "hidden", alone and do not generally seek services due to lack of anonymity and shame. Overdoses within the housed population can be more fatal as a result of being alone.
- Most indicate that they access services in the downtown at 150 Main Street which is walkable, and they are familiar with it because they go there and trust the staff there.
- Don't understand why 150 Main can't be looked at as they are already wrap around services there including medical care, rapid access to treatment, housing resources, showers and clothing which are accessed comfortably.

- For housed potential CTS Clients, they noted that a less disclosed location one where multiple services and activities occur at the location would make them more likely to go to. not likely to go to a defined site when it is the only activity at the site. Would go somewhere like 150 Main because it offers different services where they can go to use computers, visit the OW site and other activities and there are lots of people going into the building for different reasons.
- They would never go to a CTS which is only used as a CTS and where it is easy to identify where they are going to. Lack of privacy and anonymity for the housed population were identified as significant barriers for accessing a CTS.
- Stigma was also noted as a barrier and they are most likely to go to a CTS to get the product tested when there is a new batch.

Other harm reduction comments

- CTS currently does not allow/include smoking which makes up a big component of drug use. Inside ventilation or outdoor space would lower barriers.
- Strategies for safe supply were noted to need to be considered as part of harm reduction strategies.
- Trauma is being experienced every day from surviving harsh conditions, seeing friends in decline, losing friends to overdoses, feeling of hopelessness, no where to go to do drugs safely, systemic Mutli-year condition of homelessness with no options for getting off the streets and for help with addiction.
- More counsellors are needed to speak about childhood trauma.

Appendix 1 - Verbatim Comments/Considerations about the suitability of Site #1 – 15 Easton Street

This appendix includes the verbatim input received and responses provided to question 5 of the community survey which asked for comments or considerations about the suitability of Site #1 – 15 Easton as a CTS site location. 687 individuals provided comments. These are numbered for reference purpose only and each number represents a different individuals' comments. Personal identifying information has been omitted. The responses were transcribed as submitted.

1. Not acceptable
2. It's residential area, located right beside shopping, and close to school
3. Mental health services should be just as accessible as physical health services
4. I don't think that this site should be considered. It is too close to Galt Collegiate Institute to be considered. This site is a hazard to the community. The entire point of having a 500-meter buffer zone was to prevent the downtown from being harmed by these sites. This is too close. The clients from these sites are within easy walking distance to the downtown core where businesses will be directly impacted. Last summer the Galt Core had approximately 11 windows smashed by people exhibiting this antisocial behavior. It has driven businesses owners out of our downtown and into other areas of the city. This is not acceptable.
5. The point of the buffer zone was not to provide a line to place the facility on. It was not to put something as close to it as humanly possible. It was to say, this site shouldn't be near here. And yet you have it directly beside it. This logic makes zero sense.
6. Both of these locations are either too close to a school and/or daycare and are steps away from a major roadway, which is not only worrisome for persons with addictions, but also for the drivers on this road. Residential areas should not be housing CTS sites that have zero wrap around services. A referral to a detox center in six months is not considered a wrap-around service.
7. Patrons are encouraged, but not required to remain in a chill room after getting high with drugs of unknown origin then will freely wander into one of the busiest intersections in the city or onto nearby tracks. Children walk through the area to go to school and a neighborhood park and will be exposed to potentially dangerous people including dealers as well as site patrons. The site does not run 24/7 but the potential users are frequently homeless and are already a problem setting up illegal camps and stealing anything not nailed down.
8. Again, I disagree completely that this will help with our drug issues in Cambridge!
9. I find this site suitable, quite so.
10. No site in the whole City of Cambridge.
11. Terrible location, I will not travel to this area any more
12. Both locations are within a short walking distance of Manchester School and daycare centre on Cambridge Street
13. Too close to a shopping mall where the GCI students go for lunch
14. I do not support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances.
15. You are literally lowering property values and crime goes up.

16. It seems a bit farther from the Galt downtown core, which might help this population move out and decentralize from the shelter. It also seems large and like there is room for companion services nearby, and is easily accessible by bus or walk
17. I do not support CTS sites anywhere.
18. Too far from area of need.
19. This is too close to residential area and too close to downtown
20. I do not believe this is a good idea in any way.
21. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge.
22. No CTS in Cambridge ever!
23. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
24. I do not support any CTS site in Cambridge
25. I don't support CTS anywhere.
26. No interest to have this in Cambridge
27. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge.
28. It's in the middle of a residential neighborhood surrounded by housing with families living right opposite drug users.
29. This not a suitable location. It is too close to schools, daycares and residences.
30. Residential homes on the same street and all around it.
31. This location is relatively accessible.
32. No CTS site in Cambridge
33. Not by kids please
34. Do not want CTS site here
35. Not in Cambridge
36. Far too close to schools and residential neighbourhoods.
37. I do not wish to see my tax dollars spent on drugs!
38. Far too close to local schools
39. This will leave the "people of need" traipsing through a neighbourhood with back laneways, with full views of backyards, for future crimes. The area has ZERO lighting in the alleys and is a prime candidate for crime.
40. A site like this does not belong in Cambridge. I used to bring my children down to the river behind Franks, and now my children will never go there due to the people there openly doing drugs and the needles on the ground and in the water's edge.
41. Too close to homes. Place it in city hall or no place in Cambridge
42. I feel that any residential area is a terrible choice for a site of this nature. I do not support establishing a CTS in Cambridge in any form.
43. Near train tracks and less residential area. Dead end street that pools participants to one area, so they won't be disturbed by locals while they seek services.
44. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge
45. I live close by I do not think this site it suitable. I already see terrible drug abuse & crime in this area. This site should not be considered!
46. I do not support any type of CTS in Cambridge. We should be offering help to them to get off the junk. Do we supply and manage alcoholics with city tax money? People can get their crap for free from the city, but Diabetics have to buy their own supplies. Do not put any in Cambridge.

47. Too close to Manchester public school. 200m isn't far enough. I would be highly concerned as either a tenant or owner of the building directly because it, I can't tell if those are business units or apartment units.
48. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
49. I don't agree with having a CTS site anywhere
50. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
51. A short distance from an elementary school, not an appropriate place. Move it closer to the hospital like across from it in one of the empty doctor offices.
52. I like that it is accessible by transit but fear it is too far from where service users currently reside and access emergency housing. I do like that it is larger and closer to the hospital if that is needed.
53. I want people to get help but the entire idea of this concerns me. Isn't it just condoning the illegal behaviour (drug usage)?
54. High traffic area could be dangerous for those in need. Limited parking for staff and other services. Possible detriment to nearby commercial space.
55. I do not support CTS sites in Cambridge!
56. Whilst I understand there may be a need for such a facility, Cambridge needs to address the root cause(s) of such addiction issues and deal with the homeless situation. There is little evidence freely available within the public domain to suggest a similar amount of effort is being assigned to these latter issues as there is assigned to this proposed facility which suggest a move to paper over the cracks within our society
57. No CTS site in Cambridge.
58. Seems like a difficult location since residential homes are right next door and the dead end at the end of the street. I think this location has better access to transit than site 2, but the 14-minute walk to Bridges and other supports seems excessive.
59. This is not 200m from a park. Delta Park (located at Hespeler and Water where the ion bus stop is) still exists. There may not be a sign there, but it's still a park as per the city. So, this site should be nixed. Also, it's not fair to those using the nearby shopping centre or those living within proximity to it. While I can understand the need for such a place, this isn't it. I'm concerned about the crime that will come as a result. Already we have people picking through the garbage looking for empties. I've personally witnessed two people almost overdosing in the bus shelter at the delta with a needle sticking out their arm. This site just isn't right.
60. NO CTS SITE IN CAMBRIDGE
61. It is too close to a school and residential properties!!!!
62. I do not support a CTS site anywhere within the city of Cambridge.
63. No CTS in Cambridge
64. I do not support CTS sites in our community. Don't enable and provide drugs. Spend the money on recovery and getting off drugs.
65. I do not support CTS here, or anywhere else in Cambridge
66. I object to safe injection sites anywhere in Cambridge.
67. I DO NOT support a CTS at either location. Near the Easton Street proposed site, they already have an ongoing homeless encampment issue. Look at the data from your

reporting app. There is a SCHOOL a block away. This is a terrible location. Also, there is an ongoing issue with a panhandler that is at the Delta who is drunk or on drugs. Concerned citizens call the police with concerns she will fall into traffic.

68. There are residential and business in this area that are not being protected from theft, violence, break ins, and loitering
69. There is a children's school two blocks over children live in the home above the site you're looking at I am opposed to all CTS Sites in Cambridge... I live on Easton.... I do not support any site on Easton Street...I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge at all... this survey is a trick ...manipulating people, not one of the options is that you don't want a CTS site at all leaving people to think they may not have the option to say that... offering them options that indicate you're already going to put the CTS site in and you just want to know how to handle the people around it
70. No sites should be allowed
71. Businesses and resident market like myself do not want this type of care offered in proximity of businesses and residential areas where families live.
72. Do not want CTS
73. There is a school around the corner and residential all around the area. This area is/was to be rehabilitated in the future with an LRT station, possibly a GO station and numerous private sector investments. Putting an injection site in this area would make it perfect to become the drug hub for KW Cambridge.
74. I live in this area and already deal with a number of undesirable individuals on a regular basis. This idea will bring MORE of the same. ABSOLUTELY NOT ACCEPTABLE!
75. It is not suitable as it is too close to schools and residential sites.
76. This location is not close enough to the area in need.
77. No CTS site ever in Cambridge, Detox and Rehab facilities only.
78. I disagree with both sites but am more opposed to this site as those that access this service are more likely to come from downtown. Therefore, those accessing this service will walk through residential areas in order to come and go.
79. It is too close to a public school, commercial, industrial and residential properties. It is also close to area where high school students go for breaks (i.e., Tim Horton's).
80. We the residents in Cambridge are not in favor of any CTS Site in our city. Shame on you Mayor and City Council for even considering these 2 residential areas.
81. I don't support a CTS location in Cambridge under any circumstances.
82. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
83. Move these sites further away from residential, childcare and downtown where small business owners are trying to make a living. I'm amazed that you're willing to cater to the convenience of drug users over the safety of tax paying residents and business owners. Users of these sites can walk outside of the downtown and residential areas which will help keep crime out of these areas (crime follows these sites).
84. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
85. I do not support CTS sites in Cambridge under any circumstances
86. This is too close to residential areas.
87. I feel like both locations are too close to schools etc.

88. I do NOT support any of these sites and as a resident who is now terrified to live in this city, I never will support this
89. I do not support it at all. Put it outside of Cambridge
90. The safe injection site should be a hospital, where we can also offer other means of prevention treatment
91. I don't agree with a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
92. I do not support any site in Cambridge
93. Of the two sites this is the least invasive to residential neighborhoods. Neither location will have any positive impact on the city. It'll concentrate a city-wide problem to a single area and completely destroy all the businesses and property values in the area. The city should really consider something similar to the tiny home communities they're using in Texas. Housing treatment services and no negative impact on anyone.
94. After 5 years of dealing with aggressive vagrants, pollution, verbal abuse, property damage, I oppose any injection site in the vicinity
95. There is no such thing as a safe injection site and as a resident of Cambridge I do not want to see one in my city.
96. Easy bus access to CMH and both Preston & Galt cores.
97. Should be located outside of Cambridge and focus should be to rehabilitate people who take the drugs rather than encourage them to keep on taking them.
98. I do not support any site!
99. Too close to schools, child care and plazas. People are already hesitant to go into that plaza, the site being so close will result in lost business for those companies
100. Again, I do not support any CTS locations in Cambridge under any circumstances.
101. I do not support any of CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
102. I do NOT support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
103. Do not support CTS at all. Yes, to rehab.
104. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
105. Nobody wants this in their neighbourhood. Do a rehab center instead
106. I do not approve of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
107. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge
108. Not as close or in the middle of family neighborhoods and still has access for those in need. Also, right beside the delta that has a lot of busses
109. Almost smack dab in the busiest intersection in town. Will be an awful eyesore to all of Cambridge. You will get many, many complaints.
110. There is a elementary school very close to this site. This is a terrible idea. Kids walk home and don't need to have see or deal with people that are high. Also, all the trash that will be left behind because of this. Look at the mess that surrounds Bridges. It's disgusting
111. I do not support a CTS of any kind in any location within the city of Cambridge
112. I do not support CTS anywhere.
113. I DON'T support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances. I chose to do this because selecting any other options will imply acceptance
114. Doesn't work
115. Use the land for families or other public living

116. Not in my city
117. If you are talking about primarily servicing the clients at the Bridges, the walking pathway comes too close to Manchester and goes through residential neighbourhood.
118. Too close to vulnerable children
119. The further from the core of Galt the better. Downtown business taxpayers have enough issues running their businesses and already have issues with homeless, mental health issues and drug use. Also, the building seems more suitable to provide services as it has bigger square footage so could be set up to provide more support services. As well it is further from residential properties.
120. This should not be within city limits, it should be at a secluded country location with shuttle service for those who need it, it should a rehab facility instead. CTS doesn't work.
121. Do not want this in Cambridge
122. It is a far more appropriate site due to the higher traffic volume of the area and is a straight shot up a major roadway from the highest area of need.
123. This is too close to residential areas and schools. You will destroy people's lives and the property values surrounding this area.
124. This location I quite far from the area of need as well as being near a very busy road, which I feel may put clients in danger if they jaywalk across Dundas Street to get to and from CTS
125. Do not want this in Cambridge
126. It is a far more appropriate site due to the higher traffic volume of the area and is a straight shot up a major roadway from the highest area of need.
127. This is too close to residential areas and schools. You will destroy people's lives and the property values surrounding this area.
128. This location I quite far from the area of need as well as being near a very busy road, which I feel may put clients in danger if they jaywalk across Dundas Street to get to and from CTS
129. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
130. No CTS site should be located in Cambridge anywhere.
131. This is such a close proximity to at least 3 schools. Less than a 10-minute walk to Manchester PS, Avenue Road PS and St Peter CS as well as Chaplin Park and Soper park. It is a ridiculous idea to even consider putting a CTS site such a close walk from 'the Bridges' right between the shelter and schools.
132. Do not support
133. No
134. Give mental health. ...no drugs
135. drugs are illegal and I do not support this action. Let's focus on rehab and not encourage this activity.
136. I do not support this at all
137. Very close to private residence.
138. stop providing for these addicts and put the money into long term rehab facilities to really get them off the drugs. Why help them by supplying them. Nothing is SAFE when it comes to addiction. It needs to be treated, not promoted.

139. NO TO CTS SITES ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE SPECIALLY IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOOD WHERE CHILDREN LIVE AND PLAY
140. Not in agreement with any SIS
141. No place is suitable, money should be spent on services to help them get clean not keep them addicted.
142. I do not support a safe injection site in the community. If we must provide a "safe place for drug use", put it in a hospital/health care provider building where they can be monitored. Make it mandatory the users on site the duration of their drug use.
143. I do not support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances.
144. I believe both proposed sites are unsuitable. We already have a huge problem with theft, break ins and the homeless wandering the area at all hours with our proximity to The Bridges. The 200-metre requirement is stupid we are already in a war to keep what is ours caused by a facility further away. You can see clearly that the committee for site selection doesn't live in these areas or care about the people that do.
145. 200 meters away from those vulnerable areas is not far enough. Children and teenagers will be over-exposed. They may begin to believe that it's OK to do drugs - your community will help you... And those children will be exposed to people who are unable to control their actions.
146. Too close to residential area
147. Who lives in that area? A person who is addicted to drugs will not take two buses (at \$3.50 a pop) to go do drugs in a different place, when they could do them in the bushes just like they have been for the last number of years. The site needs to be near the addicts to make any difference.
148. No CTS site in Cambridge
149. This is the ideal location because of its proximity to the hospital.
150. It is a more industrial area. Keep it away from peoples houses and schools!
151. This site should be on Hespeler road closer to 401
152. This is a much more suitable location for a CTS site
153. This is a pretty great spot. Convenient to transit and walking, while also not close to dense residential. Most of the stuff down Dundas is industrial/commercial. Would he within easy walking distance of a new ION stop as well.
154. Not acceptable. A school is going in the area What a nightmare you have created. Cambridge is not the same anymore
155. A CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
156. A CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
157. As I said earlier not in someone's backyard or schools
158. not suitable at all
159. too close to a school and to where taxpayers shop and don't want to be hassled
160. This site seems too far from the downtown core where the majority of need will be.
161. too far from area where people of need are located
162. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in our city
163. I do not support any CTS site for any reason.
164. Farther away from area of need

165. This site seems much too far from the area of need which is the downtown core. With it taking 14 minutes to travel to the site (walking? bus? biking? by car?), I don't think that 15 Easton St. meets a major criterion and likely is too far from services to be placed appropriately where impact could be had for people who use drugs. I think that people who the service is for should be consulted as their input is extremely valuable in creating a service that is well used and appropriate.
166. too close to a school, and too far away from shelter nobody needing a fix is going to walk 15 minutes to get it done safely. Way too close to surrounding homes and businesses
167. I think it's a bit too far away from the area of greatest need.
168. There are fewer houses there plus an area for bus access to anywhere in the city
169. Cambridge does not need a CTS site
170. I don't support a consumption site period. Provide rehab and detox to get them off the drugs.
171. This is far too close to residents and stores and school. I understand the need for these sites, but you tuck them in on a residential street. What does that do for people who have home on the street. They will have no hope of ever feeling safe nor would they be able to sell it. As for kids there is a school not 5 minutes walking distance or kids with parents going to the grocery store directly across the street. Is this really something we want our children to see. Once they shoot up, they come out high as a kite.
172. Too far of a walk from downtown Galt.
173. I am opposed to a CTS in Cambridge
174. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge.
175. Should not exist
176. I do not think an injection site should be allowed here. I do not support an injection site in Cambridge
177. Put it in city hall, we're you could take care of them and the needles
178. 200 meters from residential, schools, parks, day cares are too close. Moving treatment centres and shelters away from city/residential but pay for transit of some form to support those that seek help should be strongly considered. Remove from places that support habit but provide care and recovery is needed. We need to stop enabling ... use \$\$\$ to support our children and young people before cycles continue.
179. I don't particularly like this location and believe the building at 150 Main St. has many wraparound services already place. However, I think it makes more sense out of the two because it is not located in the heart of a residential neighbourhood
180. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
181. I don't support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
182. I am shocked that a buffer of 200m is considered an adequate distance from childcare centres. Is it more important that children are safe, or that addicted persons can walk to get a fix?
183. It's less pedestrian accessible which is how a lot of people may access. As well, it's at such a busy intersection that people who are struggling with using may be deterred from accessing this life saving service.

184. I do not support having a CTS site in Cambridge
185. Manchester public school is near this location
186. I don't agree with any site in Cambridge!!!! Our town council doesn't listen to the residents that voted them in (we're proud to say we did not vote for McGarry or Wolf!). We're so fed up with the way this city is run, we've sold our house and are moving away from here! Both my husband and I were born and raised here, sad that we have to leave to feel safe where we live! Good luck Cambridge, you're going to need it!!!!
187. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
188. I don't support "safe injection sites" anywhere in Cambridge!
189. I do not condone a safe consumption site in Cambridge.
190. We need addiction and mental health services not consumption sites.
191. Against this. Free drugs aren't the answer. Support for those that need it should be. Not to mention petty crime in areas us high enough
192. There is a school, houses to close.
193. DO NOT WANT THIS ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE WE HAVE ENOUGH CRIME
194. I do not support "safe injection sites".
195. I'm not in favour of CTS being located in our city
196. While there are people in need of these services everywhere, this specific service needs to be closer to other services such as food banks, shelters, public transit hubs and health care centres. This location does not seem to accessible or nearby supporting services.
197. Far to close to businesses and public use areas
198. OPPOSED TO CTS SITE. Dangerous to residents, nearby children and will attract even more addicts to the area, which is already overrun with encampments within a 5km radius in every direction.
199. I di not want a consumption site located ANYWHERE in Cambridge
200. Do not support
201. Do not want a CTS site in Cambridge near anywhere
202. Cambridge doesn't want your disgusting junkie encouragement.
203. Local business and local residents will see an increase crime rate. I for one would not go to the shops there, therefore, businesses will not survive
204. No safe injection site anywhere in Cambridge!
205. Too close to school and park
206. too close to schools
207. I don't agree with having a consumption site in neighbourhoods
208. I do not agree with CTS in Cambridge
209. Do not support safe injection sites
210. Need treatment site not CTS
211. We have enough issues in Cambridge. We don't need this site
212. Would not recommend. It is important that the selected site is in closest proximity to the area of need.
213. Keep these sites out of Cambridge. All these sites are too close to children.
214. To close to business and residents
215. No location is appropriate

216. I do not support a site for illegal drugs. Rehab is all that I would support.
217. I am worried about the neighborhoods and children's safety. I don't want these in our city.
218. The site is 3-minute walk from my house. I am against a CTS site location in Cambridge.
219. Not suitable at all. Far to close to a public school as well as other businesses that are at risk if it is near.
220. CTS is not suitable in any part of Cambridge
221. Put this money into rehab and support services
222. site 1 is close to CMH.
223. I do not support this
224. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
225. Garbage Survey: Is not suitable ✓. Plaza next door, business and customers will suffer. Resident families next door. Grade school 2 blocks away. High school 3 blocks away. Kids and property owners will suffer.
226. Too close to schools!
227. I do not support a site anywhere in Cambridge
228. Don't want a consumption site in our city
229. Too close to schools, daycare, residential. Put it in any industrial area near the dump. They camp out there anyways.
230. Community safety - residential locations completely unacceptable
231. It is less visible than Oxford, which is a very good thing for both users and the public.
232. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
233. I do not support safe injection site/CTS anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances
234. No CTS
235. This site is close to GCI, and Manchester School It is not appropriate to have children and teenagers being exposed to this on their way to school
236. It needs to be further away from the current problem areas, perhaps in an industrial area
237. I highly believe that Safe Consumption Sites are going to negatively impact our community. These people need rehabilitation and detox facilities! Not somewhere to use drugs safely. This is a terribly horrible idea.
238. This location is too close to a public school although it meets the 200-meter minimum
239. I do not support CTS sites anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
240. Although near some residences the area is mostly industrial and close to public transit and reasonable walking distance to hot spot
241. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
242. Choosing a site should be left up to the individuals that will use this service
243. None of this makes sense.
244. Put the money into mental health and rehab. Providing drugs and places to do them does not solve the problem.
245. How about fighting for fair wages and world where you don't have to work 8 hours a day just to go into debt. You ever think maybe the harshness of society and the major

difference in wages versus the cost of living has a major role in peoples depression and drug use.

246. Help solve the cause. Don't feed the monster.
247. Think people think
248. There should not be a place like this
249. There should be no place like this
250. These places should have to be more then 200 meters from childcare and parks. I'm not sure if any if you live around these areas but there are already strung-out people wandering around the parks and neighbourhoods
251. Don't want any here...put them in Kitchener rather than dumping Kitchener waste here.
252. First of all, having all of the options that may be considered "suitable" are not suitable. These facilities shouldn't be anywhere near any option given. A safe injection site should NOT be placed near a school nor park regardless of its intentions.
253. No CTS site in Cambridge!
254. I do not support any CTS sites.
255. Too close to shopping centers and too close to homes with families
256. This is too close to homes and schools
257. CTS continues the cycle of addiction. Money should be spent on lowering wait times on proper therapy/counseling and detox centers to bring up the bottom line to have someone want to get help. Enabling only helps kill people addicted to drugs. My family and entire neighbourhood as opposed to this. We have spoken at great length, and we do not want this in our community.
258. Should not be located in Cambridge.
259. This area of Cambridge has had ongoing problems with people who rely on drugs, theft of our property etc.
260. I have no consideration for this site at all.
261. I am not familiar with this street, don't feel qualified to comment. Sounds like it is in a area with lots of children and students.
262. I don't want any CT's sites in Cambridge
263. 200 metres away from schools is NOT an acceptable distance. This site is extremely close to a public school and a shopping centre. It is also right next door to people's homes!!! NO ONE wants this next to their house.
264. This is not the way to solve the problem. Enabling is not the way.
265. No
266. I do not support any location in Cambridge
267. No Site wanted
268. This is not a suitable location. It is landlocked and does not allow for any expansion of services if the need arises.
269. I DO NOT support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstance.
270. 200 meters from childcare, parks, and residential schools is quite close. This does not seem to be a reasonable buffer zone in my opinion.
271. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
272. How is this located 200 metres from areas that are zoned residential?

273. Unless the city purchased the surrounding homes and businesses on Easton and Jarvis, this is NOT suitable.
274. I don't want one in Cambridge. I don't want one anywhere in Cambridge.
275. Again, I am 100 percent against this service, as a whole. And why would consider putting a place like this so close to an elementary school?
276. I do not want any CTS sites in this area
277. My concern that even though it is 10–15-minute walking distance from area of need, those people will still choose not to use it because it will be too far. Risking them to continue to inject themselves and dispose of needles in random places.
278. Manchester Public School and Galt Collegiate High School are both within a five-minute walk from this location. Heavy and constant traffic at the Delta intersection, which is one of Cambridge's busiest, especially during rush hours. I feel patrons of the next door Freshco grocery store will be constantly harassed and vehicles will be damaged and broken into.
279. 15 Easton Street is by houses, and it is a near a shopping plaza, by schools and we think this site is not a good choice.
280. It does not suit any neighborhood. Build a rehab facility not a safe consumption site. I'm tired of looking at people who no one is offering help.
281. Putting a CTS in a place that is close to downtown but also not in a residential area is a great idea. Plus, the bus goes right by it! There is a lot of opportunity in the area to develop social services into a full hub and even more housing as the industrial use continues to decline. It would be wonderful.
282. Close to Cambridge hospital which is a big advantage. Ability to expand over time by buying up and converting adjacent homes. I prefer this site because it is not in an area where the city is trying to develop high end condos. Easton street has the advantage of future expansion into the adjacent homes that could be used for transitional housing and/ or expansion of mental health services.
283. Do not support a CTS In Cambridge
284. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
285. Closer to hospital and other critical services.
286. Do not have a CTS site in Cambridge
287. Not suitable site.
288. Just over 200 meters from childcare
289. I do not support this in Cambridge please see response from last question
290. Stop promoting drug use and homeless people and do something about it instead of just brushing them off and then hiding them into places where people work hard for a living to have a nice neighbourhood to walk around it's bad enough now, they're at every corner Begging for money
291. Too closely located to schools and residential neighborhoods, not co-located with other needed services
292. I think it is a great site. It is close to places that users of the site often gather, and it is at the end of street so possibly less issues with residences nearby.
293. A site in the core would be better. But this is better than nothing and will save lives.
294. I do not support any site

295. I like that it provides more space for the services, but looking at the maps I'm concerned that the distance to the area of need is too large, and the close proximity to the area schools
296. residential area
297. Too close to Manchester school.
298. There is a shopping center right across the street. I will no longer go near this mall. These folks need rehabilitation NOT acceptance.
299. This site could work
300. This would be a better choice, it is directly on a bus line, both the 52 and 206, it is insulated due to being a cul-de-sac type neighbourhood, close to grocery stores and the dollar store which would allow patients to have access to fresh food and amenities. The only major downside is that there is a major public school within 200 meters, so honestly, I would disqualify this spot based on that criterion alone, but it doesn't seem like you guys care that the citizens are completely against SIS, you will do whatever you want.
301. DO NOT BRING CTS INTO CAMBRIDGE - STOP CATERING TO THIEVES!
302. It is too close to a public school, retail, grocery and residential neighborhoods.
303. There are families shopping schools in to close a proximate to this location or any other in our neighborhood
304. Is located near a very busy intersection that is already confusing with so many lights and crosswalks. I'm concerns about the increased pedestrian use, and risk for pedestrians and drivers.
305. It's way too far from where it's needed.
306. I disagree. Put it in by the hospital or police station
307. In the middle of a residential area, close to shopping plaza & Manchester Public School.
308. 200 metres is not a sufficient buffer - should be 500 metres.
309. Too close to residents
310. There should be no site anywhere near children and families so neither site is suitable. However, if the city goes through with one of these terrible locations, Site #1 is further from children and families.
311. Located 200 metres from residential? This site is not even 20 metres from residential.
312. The site should not be provided at all.
313. If councillors want to provide a location it should be mandated that one of their houses is chosen at random to be the CTS.
314. Much to close to Manchester school. Not a smart idea to place it here
315. 1)The cost of the site is astronomical especially when complete renovation gets added onto the cost. 2) provincial guidelines for distance from children are absolutely ridiculous. It should be much farther away
316. THESE AREAS ARE TOO CLOSE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND WE DO NOT WANT MORE THEFTS AND BREAK INS. FIND A BETTER SOULTION AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS ALTOGETHER.
317. 200m might as well be next door. Schools aren't a consideration? Relocate the area of need instead.

318. Do not want cts in Cambridge
319. There should be No places like this.
320. Closer to hospital and other convinces
321. Should not be located in a residential neighbourhood.
322. Far too close to a school and residential neighbourhood. NO CST in Cambridge
323. To close to residential and I'm concerned about crime increasing in the area. Crime has already increased in our area since the bridges has opened.
324. This site is not in a residential area which is the best idea. It is a little further from the homeless shelter but that doesn't mean it is a bad thing, there is public transit to the area, so it is available for them.
325. Not in city
326. The hospital is nearby and important.
327. Too close to schools and high school students who commute home and for lunch
328. Better option
329. This location is not suitable for a CTs site it is in a existing neighborhood with a school in the area which makes it unsafe and unrealistic site this is not an appropriate place to suggest for a site of this kind in a residential area please look at helping people get off of drug substances not handing it out to them Cambridge is going downhill, and this will just make matters worse let's get them proper help rehab
330. Generally, easily accessible and more central to Preston, Galt and Hespeler. More removed from downtown Galt. Less directly visible so would not contribute to stigma for clients
331. Public school is right around the corner, shopping plaza is adjacent, we don't want to draw these people to the neighbourhood with many children and shopping plaza, enabling the drug users is not the way to go!
332. There is an elementary school on Dundas Street an We do not find this location to be a suitable selection.
333. Too close to shopping plaza where families frequent with kids. People who have consumed drugs coming to the plaza in a state of drug intoxication. Safety and security are in jeopardy for the public and employees in this area. This is not a suitable location.
334. It is closer to more bus routes and the hospital. It a large space for long term expansion of services and is in a nicely lit commercial area so when it's dark at 4:30 in the winter staff and clients can feel safer. Being a major commercial area means that there is less stigma for recovering addicts (i.e., getting off the bus for groceries, lunch, or a prescription and counselling? No one needs to know!) The signage and visibility will also remove some stigma and raise awareness for the availability of help to those who may be hesitant.
335. My concern is that you want to put something of this nature with schools and shopping plazas within shouting distance. You are either oblivious to how this will actually turn out or you're just turning a blind eye and don't give a -----.
336. I believe this site is located way to close to Manchester school. The safety of the children at the school and the area would be in jeopardy
337. If we have to have one this is better than #2

338. I think this location could work but Google is saying that it is a 26-minute walk from 150 Main St and 16-minute walk from Cambridge Shelter so not within the 10–15-minute distance listed above.
339. I also worry that many of the folks who are likely to use the service may not have enough money to pay for bus fair.
340. Room for extra services is important
341. I don't look forward to stumbling drug addicts through the delta intersection.
342. Too close to residential area and schools
343. Businesses around the Delta area already struggling as is evident with some underutilized buildings there. A CTS will make this much worse. This location is actually a residence surrounded by residences - despite the 'zoning'.
344. We don't require any of this here in Cambridge
345. It is in a residential area, close to schools. This site should not be under consideration.
346. None
347. Site is central, yet removed from general public activity
348. You have residential homes right next to building...
349. Site #1 is not suitable because it is too close to two Day Cares, Manchester School and GCI. You will also destroy the businesses located in the Delta Plaza, people will not want to shop next to where the drug addicts are released to the community, after they have used their drugs and are walking around high. The only people who will be able to do business in this area will be the drug dealers.
350. I think this site is more suitable than the others because it is NOT COMPLETELY surrounded by residential home
351. It is not located in an area that is likely to be used by service users
352. If public transportation is a criterion, then the hospital then becomes a site with most of the required services. Placing it near a commercial mall will only encourage harassment of customers by the facility users and other problems
353. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
354. Bad area bad for businesses bad for schools
355. Dead against any consumption site in Cambridge.
356. I do not live in the area, so its difficult to comment. However, I hope that it is located far from any sites that provide childcare and that the staff ensure it does not turn into a hangout for dealers.
357. I don't know this area so I cannot comment. I do hope it is not in an area with a lot of children and elderly, however. That would nullify all of the good things I clicked above.
358. I think this is a poor choice for a site. There are 4 schools in the area and many children walking in that area to and from school. We teach kids that street drugs are not good, but we are going to put a site up in school areas. Mixed message!
359. See comments for the Oxford Street site, which would apply equally to the Easton Street site.
360. Just say NO
361. I do NOT support any site

362. Too hidden away which will cause problems with crime and vulnerability. If you must have a CTS - place on Hespeler Rd in the middle of Cambridge and not in residential or an area reliant on pedestrian commercial traffic.
363. It is absurd that anyone would think 200m from such vulnerable children is acceptable. Who are your consultants? They clearly need to better balance the needs of the community and the community's rights with the consultants' goals to push a CTS site into a community that clearly opposes consumption and instead wants investments in treatment and detox centres.
364. This location is too close to Manchester Public school. Our children's safety and daily vicinity influence should be top priority
365. I believe this is the best option of the two as it is more industrial/commercial and less residential
366. I do not support cts
367. Too close to schools
368. This is not acceptable anywhere in Cambridge
369. Easton street is too far for people to travel. The best way to ensure services are being used it for make then as accessible as possible to those who need it and those who need it are in the downtown core - Galt.
370. Although the travel time from the identified area of need is slightly higher than Site #2, it is a very accessible area and near a busy commercial centre.
371. CTS not required
372. I don't think having a consumption site is suitable at all in the city. Especially when schools are close by & in residential areas with children.
373. cannot be next door to someone's home
374. Better than site #2 but still a terrible location
375. We don't support ANY site! Should be at the Hospital.
376. The harm that will be caused by a facility such as this will be unacceptable. There is a public school, and a high school nearby. There are also many hidden sites where illegal activities can occur in this area. The degradation in neighborhood property value will occur if a facility such as this is allowed. Crime in the neighborhood will increase if this facility is created. The increase in traffic in an already high traffic area will not be acceptable
377. We do not support a Safe Consumption Site in the City of Cambridge
378. It is near schools, daycares and residential areas. Residents of the area do their grocery shopping at freshco next to this suggested site; it will draw business away. I will not feel safe doing my groceries there and will go elsewhere or even better yet move out of this disgusting city.
379. We live on Augusta Street which has access to the Grand River trail. We already have enough trouble with "these people" on our street and the trail and by putting this CTS site on Easton Street, there will be no end to the traffic of "these people" on our street not to mention the begging and hanging out at the Freshco building. The only people to benefit are "these people" - why do we, tax paying citizens, have to be exposed to it and have it brought down our property values and add stress to our daily lives so that "these people" can get high safely. You should be using the money to help them get

off the drugs. The CTS site should be located in the core, near the Bridges where they all congregate now anyways.

380. The primary advantage of site number one is that it is conveniently integrated into existing services. It provides the opportunity for clients to obtain a grouping of services in a One-Stop situation regardless of their use of public or private transportation. Along with the services provided, the clients can also utilize food shopping, drug stores, restaurants, childcare, gas, recreation, dollar store and a park. This location would not require a separate trip and would make for a highly successful operation of the program.
381. This service should be situated at the Hospital. It's a public health issue and there is lots of space near the hospital for these types of services and it's a short drive for overdose treatment and the area is already used to the clientele. Drug users are all over and don't need to be near downtown - put it by the hospital and they will go there. They get to Pinebush MacDonald's and Myers Road, so the Cambridge Memorial Hospital is central enough
382. I don't want a CTS in Cambridge
383. There are a few residential homes nearby that would/could be affected.
384. I do not support any CTS anywhere, in any location, in Cambridge, ON
385. Living on the west side, the area doesn't bother me.
386. Not in favor of a cts site anywhere in Cambridge
387. The fact that this site is on a major bus route will be beneficial to allowing more people to use this facility from all over town, not just Bridges. It is far enough removed from Manchester PS that it would likely not be an issue, but due consideration must be given to the families that are living on the Easton Street as well as others that are walking to school from areas like Dando & Water St. This could have an impact, unless hours of the center were curtailed to avoid beginning and end of day for Manchester.
388. Too close to residential area and Manchester School. The delta is a major intersection in which privacy will be an issue with the amount of vehicle traffic and mall shoppers.
389. How will this affect the people living at 13 Easton St? Why is this even next door or on a street with homes?
390. Not suitable, too close to where high school kids would be.
391. This area is unsuitable. The hospital is a more suitable location as they have the zoning, health care, morgue etc. already in place. Taxpayers already pay for the hospital so let's use the existing hospital location as a CTS site, however I do not support a CTS whatsoever in Cambridge.
392. I really like the size of the building; it will allow for many agencies to operate wrap around services out of it. However, the physical location will most likely be a barrier for most people. It isn't close enough to downtown where the area of need is the greatest. Due to the nature of acquisition, criminalization and consumption of unregulated drugs it can't be located far away. People just won't use it. There will be very little use of the site and people will continue to consume drugs downtown and the overdose fatal will continue to stay the same. Looking at the "overdose heat map" is the best map to consider. 15 Easton Street is just too far away from the highest need area (downtown).

I've personally responded to many overdoses that have occurred downtown (its where the services are). I have relationships with the drug use community, and they are telling me they will not walk/bus to 15 Easton for something they already do everyday (sometimes multiple times a day). They want a CTS closer to downtown.

393. I have no opinion of location but am fully in support of a CTS
394. I do not support cts anywhere in Cambridge. Consuming illegal drugs is a crime!
395. I live in the area for many years and have witnessed the increase in crime which I have personally experienced and had my home Break and entered. Police can only do so much. I no longer feel safe as a resident since the increase of crime and drug use in the city/ and my neighborhood especially after the Bridges opened. I've found needles and drug paraphernalia within both the proposed CTS site locations. It's not fair to tax paying citizens to be putting up with what our Mayor of Cambridge has allowed this city to become. We need to protect our children and citizens. Stop enabling drug use.
396. I do not think that this is a good idea. I received an email from my child's daycare which is in the area for which they want to establish these sites and I completely disagree. I can't see how an injection site and a daycare/preschool should be anywhere near each other.
397. Concern of possible interaction with adjacent Fresco grocery shopping folks and loitering for same. Concern for children witnessing, who attend nearby public school.
398. It is my opinion that 200 metres is far from the necessary distance from schools, childcare centres, residential areas, and the Delta Centre Plaza, which are in the immediate area of this potential CTS site. This site will also increase the numbers of potential clients from the whole of Cambridge, and likely increase those coming from outside of Cambridge. This increases the possibility of crime on Easton Street and adjacent neighbourhoods.
399. I'm strongly against that it is directly in a residential area. I also don't like that it's right beside railway tracks.
400. Should be more then 200 meters away from residential homes and schools.
401. this is a good location because it is on a bus route and closer to other parts of Cambridge, rather than just Galt. Also 4800 sq ft is a lot of space that could be used for setting up access to different services
402. Absolutely against CTS. I do favour Treatment centres. I don't support enabling addicts.
403. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
404. No Site should be built here! There is a huge problem on Norfolk Ave with break-ins and theft from people using the street to get from Hespeler Road to the Bridges! Dirty needles all over, and homeless encampments behind houses on CN rail property. Not to mention Manchester school is a few blocks away! I have had major problems with theft and break ins! NO CONSUMPTION SITE!
405. It is too close to downtown, to schools, to daycares, parks. It's a horrible location.
406. This area is too close to residential houses and schools. I wouldn't recommend this area
407. If you interview those who might need this service, you might find that they are not a 'get on the bus' group

408. Good location - close to hospital, accessible by public transit
409. See comments from question 1
410. BAD IDEA! This site is a stone's throw away from an elementary school that is already experiencing problems related to addiction. And you are considering adding a place for people to use drugs right around the corner? I hope city council is prepared to shoulder the responsibility when the children of Manchester public school become victims of their poor decisions.
411. I DO NOT support CTS in ANY location in Cambridge, Ontario
412. You can't improve the quality of life or the reputation of a city by lowering the standards.
413. No injection site is suitable as it can only prolong the users' agony and inner needs.
414. Too close to people's homes and businesses. Will avoid the area as the situation worsens.
415. Please move this to a different city! Ours is bad enough! I live near the Delta, and I already kick homeless crackheads out of my back yard almost DAILY!!!! and I have young children!!! IT IS NOT OK!!!!MOVE THE BRIDGES AND THIS SITE OUT OF OUR CITY OR BUILD A REHAB AND ACTUALLY TRY TO FIX OUR CITY!!
416. I do NOT agree with this location, way too close to my children's schools and my home. My kids will have to walk past this location by themselves to get to school and that's unacceptable. My children and myself should not have to worry about walking and feeling unsafe on the way to and from school.
417. I think this would be a fine site given its proximity to people that would use it in the neighborhood, as well as access to other essential goods and services.
418. Too close to school
419. The map shows that it is right in a red zone of residential space, but it is on a very quiet street directly across from a large shopping centre.
420. Too close to schools, stores and residential areas
421. this place is a danger there are schools and children around here and there are train tracks another danger....
422. We do not want this in our city. NO to any consumption site!!!!
423. This is a horrible place. You have grocery store right there. Families go to this store DAILY. You have many busy roads. There is already homeless problem at the delta intersection. It is a well mature Family neighborhood.
424. Downtown Cambridge is a nightmare already. A CTS site will only make it worse. I don't want to see any CTS sites set up anywhere in Cambridge
425. A shoot up site makes no sense unless you are holding the less fortunate troubled people there. Like a mental health clinic that you check into and don't leave.
426. Site #1 is far too close to multiple schools for anyone's comfort. Regardless of where the sites are, people may be roaming around the area afterwards, and being that close to children scares me. If a needle or some other paraphernalia is left unattended, or leaves the building somehow, who will ensure that it isn't dropped nearby a school?
427. Site #1 is better than Site #2, however, the best site is on the ground floor of Cambridge City Hall.
428. Unsuitable

429. I think this site is a good fit.
430. No CTS anywhere in Cambridge. Our safety and the safety of our children cannot be mitigated. The city and police have already proven they cannot handle the current situation and crime before a CTS.
431. This area is away from most residences and would provide a good location to people seeking assistance. It would keep needles away from other areas.
432. I think the site that makes the most sense is 150 Main Street especially now that it has supports for many needs!
433. Too close to Manchester school, residential area and shopping area. Do you really want to increase the number of drugged individuals wandering thru these areas?
434. Way to close to school and homes
435. To close to schools & shopping centre& my home live on street lots of children
436. This is one block from my home and my business, and we do not want this location to be considered
437. NO, I do not support safe injection sites (CTS) anywhere in Cambridge
438. First, I don't agree with a site in Cambridge period. We are inundated with drug, alcohol and mental issues that are affecting our city negatively.
439. The provincial candidate site evaluation criteria were established to set out the minimum guidelines and regulations pertaining to CTS sites. These represent the minimum standards associated with CTS sites. Site No.1 is within close proximity to a public school in which users of the site and children attending the school are inevitably going to interact. The travel routes to the site are the same travel routes children will be using to access the school. Children and members of the community should NOT interact with users of the facility under the influence of drugs. I understand the importance of helping others in need, but this should not be held as a higher priority to the protecting the children and members of the community. The council should consider the impact on the community and potential damages associated with it. This Site is NOT suitable to a CTS site.
440. I do not live in this area, but my comments would be similar to the ones below.
441. I am concerned that this location would not be as convenient as the 8 Oxford Street location.
442. However, I believe that Council should consider this option if there is funding for a wide range of services to be provided. It is important that the facility not just be a safe injection site and provide safe needle disposal. Counselling and recovery services that are flexible and offer different recovery options should be provided.
443. Not a suitable site
444. Way too close to our little children in preschools and Montessori. This also is a primary walk for GCI students.
445. Too close to school
446. I think this is a terrible location. I do not support it at all.
447. A CTS site should not be in a residential area. Put it at the hospital or move it to the rotary club beside the police station.
448. How can you propose a location for this beside a school? This doesn't make logical sense. You are putting children at risk.

449. Not suitable location. There is residential on the rest of the street, and Manchester school is just a block away.
450. Not suitable = Near residential, high traffic area, Near Manchester school
451. Too far from the area of need.
452. Not close enough to area of need
453. This location does seem a bit far from the area of need. I think it's important to have these locations and services accessible to those in need.
454. No site is appropriate near homes, schools, high traffic areas, and businesses especially where families with children will be frequenting. Why is 200 metres the number? I believe it should be at least a kilometre or more.
455. Too close to people's homes
456. Better than Site #2 but still near a lot of people. It is right beside a grocery store where there are high amounts of people, that do not want to encounter people on drugs, which could also be potentially dangerous.
457. CTS sites are not the solution. Rehab is!
458. not needed more rehab sites needed not this
459. Don't want a safe consumption site in Cambridge.
460. Too close to elementary school and residential area
461. Too close to schools
462. Location is too close to school and residents. They do drugs and then they leave still wandering the city stealing and harassing to get money for their next fix
463. It is too close to a public school. Young children will be exposed to drug addicts.
464. Much needed
465. I do not want a cts site anywhere in Galt. Crime is rising, homelessness is increasing with people living in from other cities and my children's safety is at risk from exposure to people with mental illness.
466. Same answer as above. do not want this in my community. I pay 6,000 dollars a year on home taxes and the crime in my neighborhood has increased in the last couple of years and this is directly related to the homeless and drug addiction
467. Way too close to shopping centres. I would worry about those businesses and the safety of those using that plaza.
468. To close to residential homes
469. It is too close to schools, parks and residential areas.
470. I think site 1 should not be in such an area. That intersection already has traffic issues due to the light and last thing Cambridge needs is addicts getting high and walking into the middle of the delta intersection. As well to take into consideration its close proximity to multiple schools and shipping centres.
471. Not close enough to core area to be accessible
472. There is a desperate need for rehabilitation not CTS
473. Why not this site within the mayor's neighborhood?
474. It is not easily accessible to the people who need it, which is the point of a CTS site
475. I live near there I'm already scared to let my children go out because of drug users in the area I don't want more
476. The railway tracks, bush area. Breeding grounds for crime with this segment

477. I think this site is a little bit far from other services (I.e., bridges, food bank, etc.) and navigating the Delta intersection as a pedestrian can be intimidating.
478. I do not support CTS sites. We shouldn't provide tax dollars to support the safe consumption of drugs.
479. Against a CTS in Cambridge as drug use is illegal
480. Don't open a CTS, waste of tax money. It enables drug use. Does not solve the problem of addiction. Close the drug ring that is the bridges.
481. This location is too close to residential areas, childcare centres and schools.
482. Absolutely no site. Should be next to councillors and mayor's homes
483. Really is too far from the real need.
484. not in favor
485. This site is not suitable at all at this location or any other location in Cambridge. Stop trying to turn Cambridge into a walking zombie land full of addicts and homelessness
486. I think Site #1 is unsuitable because it is located on a residential street. I know the map says that it is zoned industrial but there are residences on that street. Even though the area is zoned industrial, you have to look around 360 degrees to see who else is going to be impacted. I know the area well as I shop at that shopping centre every week. Is there any concern for the property owners or tenants who live in these houses? That brings me to my second reason for saying this site is unsuitable. Being 200 metres from parks and childcare centres isn't good enough. There is a large grocery store and a dollar store in that shopping centre. These are frequented by families, young children, in fact people of all ages. Is there any concern for these people who may be confronted by users? You cannot guarantee that users will not find an alternative way out of the CTS and end up near the school and parks.
487. This money needs to go towards rehab and social services NOT a consumption site!
488. It is too close to schools and residential areas
489. It's too far away from the bus transit hub. I fear it might be too far away for some people to access.
490. Include a space where people can stay overnight, have lockers where people can store their valuables, offer free mental health services onsite as much as possible
491. While I do think that this site is way too close to Manchester Public School, I do like that it is not close to downtown Galt businesses.
492. Without mandatory treatment this is only enabling. And no exit plans
493. 15 Easton Street is on a dead-end street with Residential properties (Families) It also has a family living in 15 Easton Street property, who have been there for years. Why would the city want to evict a family and drive them to higher rental costs? The property beside 15 Easton - 11-13 Easton as an addict who has been waiting for over a year for rehab services and they are screaming from the rooftop to the City not to have a CTS site in the city of Cambridge, specially beside them. This will be damaging to the businesses in the plaza (Bar) the elementary school around the corner and the daycare that operates out of people's homes. CTS sites are enabling addicts to continue to be users. We need rehab services that are accessible quicker in order to save lives. With one of the busiest interactions in Cambridge steps away from this site, you are asking for trouble. This is not about what site location is better, it's NO to a site in Cambridge.

494. It is larger than Site 2 (square feet), I am under the impression this is not as accessible compared to 8 Oxford.
495. Stop allowing people to be intoxicated in public. You drink or are drunk in public it is a ticket able or drunk tank offense.... same should be for people who are injected and then roaming the area for more money... Easton site will destroy the delta and make freshco dangerous. Give your head a shake
496. best of the worst scenarios
497. It's a bit too far from the downtown core.
498. The only concern is the high visibility of this particular location. It is right beside a shopping plaza and may create a stigma around potential retailers who were considering that space. However, it will be easily accessible if other resources are needed such as medical or police.
499. Too close to a school. 200m is not far enough away. Our children will not be safe.
500. Not suitable at all. I would rather not have these sites in Cambridge.
501. concerns about the houses right next door...also it is too far from the downtown core. The CTS needs to be closer or in the downtown core to be most effective.
502. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
503. Should not be in or close to ANY residential areas.
504. There is no place for a CTS here.
505. Do not put a CTS site near schools, day care, downtown, residential. The Hospital is the best area where a person can get the help they need.
506. I do not support a CTS site anywhere within Cambridge.
507. I do not believe a CTS is suitable for our community. We need rehab.
508. Although I am against any type of Consumption site, please Put it away from residential
509. I think mobile unit is best suited
510. I do not support Safe site injections in our community. This enables drug use and increases the already increased crime rate.
511. Don't agree with having a CTS site
512. No no no no
513. I DO NOT AGREE WITH OR CONDONE A CTS ESTABLISHMENT ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE
514. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
515. Each one of you council members...mark my words will be out of a job come next election! You have run our city into the ground! I am tired of the crime and lack of safety in this once beautiful proud city! SHAME ON EACH ONE OF YOU THAT CONTINUE TO MAKE this illegal activity - NORMAL....it's NOT!
516. Too close to railway tracks and future ION route. Too close to road and busy intersections for people "high" to navigate safely.
517. Move it out of the city, we have enough rural areas.
518. Still in a troubled area. There is already a lot of foot traffic there. If I lived in this area I'd be worried about more.
519. CTS sites are perpetuating the problem. Do not have them, a band aid if you will to a much larger issue.

520. I feel afraid for all the surrounding residents who will have to deal with the repercussions of the illegal activity that will ensue due to this site!
521. The hospital is sitting empty. The site could be there...We would all have the services they need at the hospital, NOT by daycares, schools, and private schools. There are kids walking in this neighborhood from and to their daycares, bus stops and is in the downtown core. Absolutely no to this option!
522. I think only 150 Main should be chosen for its already in place service and security. Saves money and chaos
523. I believe that the 15 Easton Street location is too far away from the centre of the area of need.
524. A community Grocery Store is steps away from this proposed site. Cars will be vandalized and broken into, Cambridge residents will be scared to shop at this plaza and the businesses will all be forced to close. We already have vulnerable people & homeless on every street corner, particularly in this area.
525. This is literally 2 blocks from an elementary school. We don't need our children exposed to this. A local high school is only 1 block away. Tons of residential in the area, we don't need this
526. None of these sites should be in Cambridge.
527. Not acceptable. No CTS
528. No CTS site in the city!
529. Not here, not there, not anywhere. No to any site in Cambridge. There is not community support.
530. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge.
531. I do not support CTS in Cambridge
532. Right beside a popular and busy shopping plaza and several homes. This location is unacceptable and should not be considered.
533. There are residential houses directly beside this location. Therefore, it is not an appropriate site. There are many empty commercially zoned buildings in the area that do not have people's homes directly next door. The delta is a good location - why not use a building such as the empty Chubb-n-checker restaurant on Dundas? It is closer to public transportation and the hospital. Why tuck the site away on a dead-end street? Make it visible. Make it easily accessible.
534. This site is too close to an elementary school. Allowing drug use that close to a school is dangerous and unethical.
535. This is a great location, if it becomes the decided location
536. Meets many criteria for a proposed CTS site, however, lacks accessibility. 15 minutes is a long way away for potential clients to travel to access harm reduction supplies and life-saving services. A site like this MUST be accessible. As someone familiar with the Kitchener CTS, I saw the detrimental effects of accessibility this past year when many clients were no longer living near the site due to covid. The consequence is more preventable deaths.
537. No to CTS anywhere in Cambridge!
538. You people are idiots, both locations are right beside schools and residential neighborhoods.

539. Many schools and daycares in the area, and homes.
540. Stop enabling drug users. No to any site in Cambridge.
541. 200 metres distance to schools seems too close
542. We DO NOT want a CTS site in our city under any circumstances.
543. I feel it is way to far from folx that will need to access the service the most. A large majority of these folk don't have the money to get on a bus daily, or multiple times in the day depending on how often they need to consume. It is not realistic for our homeless population to get out to that area.
544. The property is also a residential area and near a school as well as store that has a lot of foot traffic near it. There are many areas surrounding this building that clients will be able to squat and use as an area to recover from their high once they are released after a very short time of observation.
545. This facility should not be located on any property in Cambridge
546. No CTS. People need treatment and rehabilitation. Neighbourhood residents deserve safety in their neighbourhoods.
547. I do not support CTS in Cambridge
548. Against cts
549. I do NOT support CTS in Cambridge under ANY circumstance.
550. I do NOT support CTS site ANYWHERE in Cambridge
551. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
552. Should not be within the city limits or at minimum established in an industrial area but offer free transportation (bus) to the location.
553. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
554. This location is too close in proximity to homes and shopping
555. We need increase if police force and less drugs on the street. Need to cater to the residents not the drug addicts
556. I do not support any site
557. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge whatsoever
558. I am 100% AGAINST a CTS site in Cambridge
559. Against any consumption site in Cambridge in any residential neighborhood
560. Not supportive if this initiative
561. A CTS site should not be near any residential areas, schools or where young children are such as parks and day care centres. 200 metres is way to close.
562. Too close to residential
563. Do the people using these sites have money for public transit?
564. I do not support a CTS site
565. Not appropriate. Will crate crime and loitering and public urinating and intoxication issues in the area.
566. Suitability is NOT the issue. Do you not see what you are doing to this city? Please consider other options. Do not follow in the footsteps of other cities. Be leaders .and be community minded. Do the hard work and educate yourself on other ways to handle this crisis. Be the innovators. Be the leaders. Do the work.
567. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
568. I don't think it is close enough to the areas in need, specially in the wintertime.

569. It may not be the perfect location, but this needs to have been opened 2 years ago.
570. This is less residential but still not recommended. Why not more detox help and counselling buildings that is the way to go
571. Shouldn't be in the city or even be allowed
572. NO CTS SITE IN CAMBRIDGE
573. Do not support any sites
574. See above.
575. This site is too close to the Manchester public school. With the introduction of this site, there will be a larger number of drug users in the area which will result in more of them hanging out on the streets. The sites chosen are too close to residential areas. These sites need to be away from residential areas and particularly not near schools. 200m away from a school is not far enough.
576. This is way to close to homes and businesses. We need rehab centers do not enable centers. This city is already becoming crime infested we don't need these cites to bring more problems.
577. I oppose the CST. Funding had to be reverted to fight drug dealing instead.
578. If this site will do the job, do it here.
579. It's in a residential neighbourhood and not at all a suitable location.
580. This centre is too far from other social service supports. It is a rather long and uphill walk from the area of need. Also, I hate to be a NIMBY, but the proximity of this centre to the elementary school and shopping centres worries me. Despite the 200-metre rule, the community uses a short cut through the train tracks which puts the site in closer proximity to the school and people's backyards.
581. I do not agree with any sites in the City of Cambridge.
582. I am not sure about the distance of parks and school. The most important thing is that it's located in an area that people use drugs in- get needles out of the parks and off school grounds
583. A 15-minute walk is far too long for people who do not have bikes or access to pay for transportation. Additionally, this site is up a large hill from the downtown core which may prevent people with disabilities from accessing this site. Especially in the winter, with the ice and snow, this site is not acceptable.
584. Not suitable anywhere in Cambridge
585. It feels a bit far from the core, which is an area of high need for these services.
586. "I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances"
587. I am not supportive of a CTS site at locations being considered or anywhere in Cambridge
588. 200 meters is too close!!! Manchester is elementary school and is VERY close, and GCI likewise. It is in a residential neighbourhood, (in spite of not being Zoned residential) We have been told that Drug Addiction affects every stratum and area of a city, so how can any place be " close to the area of need?
589. My first choice.
590. It is too close to parks and elementary childcare.
591. Worry about crime rates, thefts occurring in that area.

592. The delta has these zombies everywhere. GCI students walking and on bus routes go to Tim Hortons they should not be exposed to more of these people with drugs. Kids will know they can go get free drugs at a facility our city provides. How is this showing kids to stay away rather than think it's ok?
593. Too close to grocery store and everyone would have to pass close to homes on a very narrow street.
594. These people need rebabs not CST locations to enable their choices and habits
595. The opinion of the local residents and business are the ones you should be asking.
596. I do not support a CTS site or harm reduction policies anywhere in Cambridge.
597. This location is not suitable as it is in a mixed residential area and close to a school.
598. I DON'T SUPPORT A CTS SITE ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE!!!
599. I think none of these sites are suitable. The highway out to Brantford is...just as Stonehenge is secluded, and accessible. If there is no other option, then Site #2
600. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
601. Away from children is my #1 concern
602. Site 1 is NOT acceptable!
603. There is no suitability
604. Proximity to the hospital is great, does not impact the downtown core.
605. Hopefully far enough away from the DT core to give it a fighting chance at survival.
606. I do not approve of any CTS site in Cambridge
607. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
608. see above, no CTS
609. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
610. Do not want here or anywhere in Cambridge
611. This is near a school and childcare centre. Generally, I don't understand why the money is being used to provide a safe space to use drugs and provide safe drugs when we are not providing treatment. It's hard to answer this survey when one is not in favour at all of a CTS.
612. Not a supporter of Cts sites
613. Why are no concerns listed for the people who live in the area or businesses in the area?
614. Easton site is most suitable
615. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
616. I think it's a great spot
617. I do not support a CTS Location anywhere in Cambridge
618. Do not like this site.
619. I DO NOT SUPPORT ANY CTS LOCATION IN THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES.
620. I don't want a CTS in Cambridge at all.
621. Maple Grove Rd since police need to be there all the time
622. the physical space seems very good for the service
623. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge

624. All of the homes in the area will lose their value. Is the mayor willing to pay the difference in value for the homeowners who will be affected? Imagine leaving your family home with your children and seeing people laying about stoned on drugs
625. I think it will help addicts
626. I DO NOT SUPPORT A DRUG CONSUMPTION SITE ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE!!!!
627. Do not want any CTS in Cambridge
628. If we are evaluating a viability of a location by the proximity of sensitive locations, i.e., childcare centres and parks etc., then by default does that not prove a CTS site poses a threat to any location? Otherwise, why should it not be able to be anywhere?
629. None I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
630. I do not support cts in Cambridge
631. I do not support CTS in Cambridge
632. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
633. Two hundred meters from anywhere children and youth will be (e.g., homes, childcare, schools - Manchester Public and Galt Collegiate - grocery stores, parks, restaurants) is not enough distance. The clients do not spend all day everyday in the site. I do believe they will be walking, as having consumed their drug of choice, they would not be able to legally drive. Businesses in the Delta Plaza deserve the same consideration as the businesses in the downtown core areas, who are not to have a site within them, or within a 500-meter buffer zone around them. The Delta Plaza is less than 100 meters away, as are the homes directly beside the site. Again, when not at the site, where will the clients have access to washroom facilities?
634. The CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
635. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge. (This was clearly filled out by the same person repeating the survey)
636. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
637. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
638. I do not support safe injection sites in our community.
639. To close to residential area as well as parks, trails and schools
640. I worry about the proximity to neighbours and residents as we already have a huge issue with crime and addiction being openly flaunted in Cambridge due to a lack of support or worthwhile rehabilitation services and centers.
641. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
642. Cambridge does not need nor want these
643. I do not support any program that enable drug use. I support rehabilitation and education.
644. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
645. I think Easton St is too close to private residences. I don't want it here
646. CTS should not be in the city limits
647. No, this should not be anywhere near any school, this should not be put where there are residential areas. Should be the outskirts of Cambridge. No one deserves to have the crime and the anxiety that goes along with this. If these people want the help, they will go to where the help is.

648. I do not want a CTS in Cambridge
649. This should not be put here; our city isn't big enough to support this and it will destroy it!
650. My wife's safety and mine is more important so I do not support any CTS sites in Cambridge. We had a meth house on Haddington St, and we are finally free of the addicts from Bridges coming to get their fix. Children should be able to play in their front yards and not have to witness addicts shooting up or smoking crack pipes. Theft was also a issue, we personally had 3 situations of that. We also had to deal with a male on some type of drugs walk up onto our property and sit on our porch. I believe these CTS sites jeopardize the safety of the community.
651. I am NOT in support of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge!
652. Are you crazy??!! There is a school just down the street, and a grocery store right there. Do you think everybody wants to be around those zombies coming out of your stupid building!! Give your head a shake.
653. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
654. Don't support cts sites
655. No cts anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
656. This is the best site
657. 100% opposed to any CTS site
658. Too close to childcare centre and school. 200m buffer is too small
659. rehab is a better solution
660. Access is the same access for pedestrians and vehicles shopping at Freshco and the other plaza stores. It is adjacent to houses on Easton and behind, greatly impacting safety and security of those residents. Also, too close to Manchester Public School whose students walk to that location from nearby neighbourhoods.
661. I do not support the creation of a CTS site in which drugs are still used. Care for people properly, don't allow them to continue in addiction.
662. It is in an industrial area away from community, families, general population and hopeful can have large security.
663. That site is too close to our homes. Some backyards back onto the property. This scares me to have any kind of safe place to use drugs. I am completely opposed to having any of these sites in Cambridge. What works is detox and rehab.
664. The site is not suitable; it is minutes within walking distance of day cares, family homes and schools.
665. It is too small and too close to residential
666. None whatsoever
667. There should be NO consumption sites in Cambridge. They should all be arrested for possession and forced into rehab while in prison.
668. I Do not support any CTS site in Cambridge
669. How close is it to stage 2 iON?
670. This is a very loaded question. Of course, you've "met" the provincial requirements, but the bigger issue is the fact that The Bridges is downtown, and residents and non-residents are causing a variety of issues. If The Bridges wasn't there, you wouldn't need a CTS site at all.

- 671. No
- 672. It's not suitable, people live in the area
- 673. I DO NOT support CTS anywhere
- 674. Get rid of all these sites.
- 675. We don't think a CTS site is suitable for Cambridge
- 676. I believe that someone who wants help says so and then gets the proper help - like a hospice type place with all the help needed to get off alcohol or drug addiction or mental illness period! Should happen whether they have insurance or means to pay! Nothing else will truly work! But better the government spend 2 dollars and appear like helping instead of 10 dollars to truly help someone get to a place of being a self-sufficient person!
- 677. Like that it is close to then hospital and services available there.
- 678. My concern is where are they going after
- 679. Too close to residential areas
- 680. It Is close to schools, day care and residential buildings. Put the site beside Bridges, stop the spread of needles and homeless in our community.
- 681. Cambridge already has a huge issue as is with homeless and drug use.
- 682. Location is in a more private location and is less visible.
- 683. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
- 684. Please do not build/operate this type of site.
- 685. This location is too close to schools.
- 686. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
- 687. It's in a residential neighbourhood

Appendix 2 - Verbatim Comments/Considerations about the suitability of Site #2 – 8 Oxford Street

This appendix includes the verbatim input received and responses provided to question 7 of the community survey which asked for comments or considerations about the suitability of Site #2 – 8 Oxford Avenue as a CTS site location. 699 individuals provided comments. These are numbered for reference purpose only and each number represents a different individuals' comments. Personal identifying information has been omitted. The responses were transcribed as submitted.

1. Not suitable
2. Right in the middle of residential neighborhood, daycare, school
3. This is too close to the downtown core. I have spoken with homeless people in Cambridge that are afraid to go to the Bridges because of the amount of drug use in that area. This will simply bring more harm to that area and make these facilities even more inaccessible by encouraging that behaviour in the area. Someone will inject these drugs at the facility, walk to the Bridges while under the influence and exhibit antisocial behaviors while there. It is completely unacceptable. Not to mention the proximity to downtown is too close and will destroy what remains of our beautiful core area.
4. Both of these locations are either too close to a school and/or daycare and are steps away from a major roadway, which is not only worrisome for persons with addictions, but also for the drivers on this road. Residential areas should not be housing CTS sites that have zero wrap around services. A referral to a detox center in six months is not considered a wrap-around service.
5. Unfortunately, though on paper it meets the criteria, as residents already know, those most likely to use it are not restricted to the same boundaries. There is nothing stopping clients of the centre from wandering to the nearby day care, schools and they are already in Soper Park.
6. It is close to 2 schools! Children take a school bus across the road! It has 2 day cares and a private school! It is right in the middle of a residential area that has dealt with many issues due to location near the shelter and downtown!
7. It would do but is the lesser of the two
8. No sites in Cambridge
9. Terrible location, I will not travel to this area any more
10. Answer remains as to question 6
11. Not in a residential area
12. I do not support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances.
13. Put in another city. Ship them out.
14. It is closer to the main shelter but seems less generous in terms of collocating other support services. I would be curious about what shelter residents say they need - which site do they think would most benefit their needs?
15. I do not support any CTS site
16. Better of the 2 but should be downtown

17. I do not believe this is a good idea in any way.
18. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge.
19. No CTS in Cambridge ever!
20. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
21. I do not support any CTS in Cambridge
22. I don't support CTS anywhere.
23. No interest in having this in Cambridge
24. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge.
25. This is at the end of a residential street right next to a private dwelling. With families living right next door to drug users
26. This is not a suitable location due to its proximity to daycares, schools and residences with young children.
27. Again, site is right in the middle of a residential neighbourhood. NO TO CTS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
28. This location is very close to the bridges which I think is key for users of the site
29. No CTS site in Cambridge
30. Not by kids please
31. No CTS site here
32. not in Cambridge
33. Again, far too close to schools, daycares and is within a residential neighbourhood.
34. I do not wish to see our community's money spent on drugs!
35. My 13-year-old will be going to GCI and will walk past this site daily! Unsafe neighbourhood already.
36. Extremely close to day car and school and is within a quiet neighborhood.
37. This will leave the "people of need" traipsing through a neighbourhood with back laneways, with full views of backyards, for future crimes. The area has ZERO lighting in the alleys and is a prime candidate for crime
38. This site is in a residential area with many young families. Already an area that is victim to crime due to transients. My daughter lives very close to this site and has already had several incidents that have required police to attend. This is most definitely not a suitable area.
39. As stated before. Cambridge has enough of a drug problem. If you build it, they will come. This will only attract additional drug addicts to this town.
40. To close to homes. Please it at city hall or no place in Cambridge
41. I feel that any residential area is a terrible choice for a site of this nature. I do not support establishing a CTS in Cambridge in any form.
42. Not as good at #1 because of vicinity to family homes.
43. I do not support a cts site in Cambridge
44. I live close by, and I do not think this site it suitable. I already see terrible drug abuse & crime in this area. This site should not be considered! The drug and crime issues in this city should be solved in a different manner. Stricter punishment and keeping people accountable for their actions
45. Oxford Street is home to young families, home daycares, daycare centers and schools. Not a suitable location for a CTS site at all

46. I do not support any type of CTS in Cambridge. We should be offering help to them to get off the junk. Do we supply and manage alcoholics with city tax money...Nope? Drug users can get their crap for free from the city, but Diabetics have to buy their own supplies. Do not put any in Cambridge.
47. I feel this location is by far the most suitable for everyone involved. But I feel this is also too close to residential.
48. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
49. There is enough crime in area already. This will make it worse. You want to help, offer them rehab or mental health. Not feed the problem
50. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
51. I have lived on Bond St. for more than 10 years and we have had nothing but robberies, overdoses (on the street) and plain harassment on our streets because of the Bridges now you want to add to it. Please keep them away we are on a school walking street to Manchester and a local daycare walks down these streets. These kids have had to witness all kinds of things don't add to it. Sorry how about in the City Councillor's or Mayor's neighbourhood. Stop the madness these sites don't work. Travel to Vancouver and see the chaos and overdoses when your little shops aren't around. Cambridge once a great city is somewhere we all can't wait to get out of....and yes, we all were born and raised here and so were generations of our families.
52. Certainly, think this location is more convenient for service users as it is closer to the Galt core. But the Easton location, being larger, can support more wrap around services.
53. I want people to get help but the entire idea of this concerns me. Isn't it just condoning the illegal behaviour (drug usage)?
54. Closer to area of need, lower traffic for safety/discretion. Ample staff parking.
55. I do not support CTS sites in Cambridge
56. Whilst I understand there may be a need for such a facility, Cambridge needs to address the root cause(s) of such addiction issues and deal with the homeless situation. There is little evidence freely available within the public domain to suggest a similar amount of effort is being assigned to these latter issues as there is assigned to this proposed facility which suggest a move to paper over the cracks within our society
57. No CTS site in Cambridge.
58. Again, this seems like a difficult location since it is right next to houses. It's not as close to transit as site 1, but I think because it's a shorter walk to supports it might be the better choice. This site is quite close to my house, but I believe that the supports are more important than my own comfort.
59. Far closer to area of need -- and more ideal for this reason.
60. It is not 200 m from a childcare centre. Just down the street there is one. Did you guys even walk through the neighborhood first before suggesting that?
61. NO CTS SITE IN CAMBRIDGE
62. It is in a residential neighbourhood!!!! Too close to schools!!!!

63. I do not support a CTS site anywhere within the city of Cambridge.
64. No CTs in Cambridge
65. I do not support CTS sites in our community. Don't enable and provide drugs. Spend the money on recovery and getting off drugs.
66. I do not support CTS here, or anywhere else in Cambridge
67. I object to any sites in Cambridge
68. I DO NOT support a CTS at either location.
69. There are residential and business that are not being protected from theft, violence, break ins, and loitering.
70. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.... I am proof that people with disabilities don't get the medical help they need...but you are willing to help addicts get high with my tax paid monies so they can be violent and high and steal outside my home all day... the survey is just as manipulative as the Cambridge Council has been on this subject for over a year or more now
71. No sites should be allowed
72. Businesses and resident market like myself do not want this type of care offered in proximity of businesses and residential areas where families live.
73. Do not want CTS site.
74. Council has been trying to make the downtown core attractive to Cambridge citizens and others to visit. Putting the injection site in this area would further turn people off from visiting the downtown core.
75. I am deeply concerned about the proposed location of the CTS site at 8 Oxford Street.
 - a. The neighbourhood within which the 8 Oxford Street location sits is residential. It is surrounded by single family homes, multi-unit homes, apartment buildings, childcare facilities and schools. There is no denying that the neighbourhood is just that—a neighbourhood where people are living. The close proximity of this proposed site to schools and childcare facilities is a grave issue. These extremely short distances (such as 750m to Manchester Public School, 650m to Galt Collegiate Institute, 350m to Pluto Daycare, and 290m to Montessori School of Cambridge) should be evidence enough that 8 Oxford Street is the wrong location for a CTS site. Finally, the proximity of the proposed site to the 'area of need' as indicated by the maps in this survey is misleading. These maps do not factor in the geographical division of the Cambridge Street hill that spans from Parkhill Ave to Roseview Ave. Effectively, this bottlenecked route would serve as the only pathway for people from the area of need to make their way up the hill to the CTS and put undue stress and burden on the homes and residents lining this path.
76. It is too close to residential and school sites.
77. This location is close enough to serve the area in need and hopefully offer other counselling and support services.
78. No CTS site ever in Cambridge, Detox and Rehab facilities only.
79. I disagree with this site.
80. It is too close to a childcare centre, commercial, industrial and residential properties. It is also close to area where high school students go for breaks (e.g., Tim Horton's).

81. The neighbourhood within which the 8 Oxford Street proposed location sits is residential. It is surrounded by single family homes, multi-unit homes, apartment buildings, childcare facilities, and schools. There is no denying that the neighbourhood is just that—a neighbourhood where people are living. The close proximity of this proposed site to schools and childcare facilities is also a grave issue. These extremely short distances (such as 750m to Manchester Public School, 650m to Galt Collegiate Institute, 350m to Pluto Daycare, and 290m to Montessori School of Cambridge) should be evidence enough that 8 Oxford Street is the wrong location for a CTS site. Finally, the proximity of the proposed site to the 'area of need' as indicated by the maps in this survey is incredibly misleading. These maps do not factor in the geographical division of the Cambridge Street hill---a steep incline known by anyone who has climbed it---that spans from Parkhill Ave to Roseview Ave. Effectively, this bottlenecked route would serve as the only pathway for people from the 'area of need' to make their way up the hill to the CTS, and in doing so, would put undue stress and burden on the homes and residents lining this path.
82. No CTS Sites in Cambridge
83. NOT SUITABLE! There are two childcare centres less than a block away from the proposed site on Oxford St. Also, a elementary school in the middle of everything. This is a residential area where there are lots of children. I live on Wellington St. with children. Truly sad to see so many desperately needing mental help to kick the addiction rather than feed it. I do not support CTS site. If there is a CTS site, this is most certainly NOT the right location for Cambridge, and surely does not send the right example to our youth.
84. I don't support a CTS location in Cambridge under any circumstances.
85. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
86. Move these sites further away from residential, childcare and downtown where small business owners are trying to make a living. I'm amazed that you're willing to cater to the convenience of drug users over the safety of tax paying residents and business owners. Users of these sites can walk outside of the downtown and residential areas which will help keep crime out of these areas (crime follows these sites).
87. You must think about the children with both of these locations
88. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
89. Way too close to residential areas where are kids play
90. First, I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge under any circumstance, however this one especially as I live less than a few minutes minute walk with my SMALL CHILD. This area, although outside the buffers is still located very close to SMALL CHILDREN and their families who, currently, feel safe walking the community and playing outside. putting the CTs site in this neighbourhood would mean more traffic from potentially harmful people and would make the area potentially less desirable for us to play and live our daily lives. I don't want my child having to come in contact with people on drugs. There are many lane ways in this area which would provide gathering areas for people who are high or dealing drugs. As I said, I don't support a CTS site at all, but putting one in this area would mean a significant impact on the

multiple families with small children and our ability to enjoy our neighbourhood on daily walks etc.

91. This is too close to residential areas.
92. I feel like both locations are too close to schools etc.
93. I do NOT support any of these sites and as a resident who is now terrified to live in this city, I never will support this
94. I do not support it at all. Put it out of Cambridge.
95. CHILDREN WALK by here every day to go to schools, play at parks, or walk to the store. We do not need drug dealers around here waiting by the safe injection site. It is not fair for my children to be scared to play outside because of these people who would like to use and get high and walk around our area!! My children are terribly terrified as it is now, having people from the Bridges come and look through our garbage, and try and steal their toys, and bikes. Children should feel safe in their neighborhood. Oxford Street IS THE WORST PLACE FOR AN INJECTION SITE. WE HAVE SCHOOLS, DAYCARE, AND CHILDREN PLAYING AROUND ON THEIR BIKES. IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. Reconsider this!! Children don't need to be exposed to this stuff. Let them be kids and worry free. Not scared to play outside. We live less than a block from Oxford St. We are VERY much against this. The hospital would be the best option where we can offer more than just an injection site.
96. Montessori school around the close by does not seem to be considered.
97. I don't agree with a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
98. I do not support a CST site in Cambridge
99. Nothing about this site in a residential neighborhood is suitable. The neighborhood has already been terrorized for years from bridges overflow. To concentrate the whole city's opioid problems to this neighborhood is not a reasonable suggestion.
100. This is too close as the impact zone for this site will encroach on residential areas
101. The close proximity to schools and daycare makes this a poor choice. On top of that the route up Cambridge St hill from Parkhill to Roseview will cause an excess of traffic and will put undue stress on the residents living along the route in this residential neighbourhood
102. There is no such thing as a safe injection site and as a resident of Cambridge I do not want to see one in my city.
103. Not suitable around childcare areas such as Montessori and Pluto day care. Not suitable for the elderly who live in the area. NONE of the above
104. Should be located outside of Cambridge and focus should be to rehabilitate people who take the drugs rather than encourage them to keep on taking them.
105. I do not support any site
106. Too close to residential area
107. I do not support any CTS locations in Cambridge under any circumstances.
108. I do not support any of CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
109. I do NOT support any CTS locations in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
110. Do not support CTS at all. Yes, to rehab.

111. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
112. Rehab center instead - Why do the politicians not listen to the taxpayers
113. I do not approve of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
114. I do not support a cts site in Cambridge
115. In the middle of a neighborhood around the elementary and high school. The people and family's live in these neighborhoods and this can be harmful to them. There is also a private daycare on Portland Street and some small business in the area. Yes, I understand this facility can help the drug problem in Cambridge, but it is NOT suitable in a family neighborhood.
116. Residents are going to be pretty upset with you if you put it here. I don't blame them. You will devalue their biggest investment, their houses.
117. It's smack dab in the middle of a residential area. My grandma lives in this neighbourhood and she already is afraid to sit on her front step because of all homeless people roaming the streets swearing and yelling. This will only make the situation worse.
118. I do not support a CTS of any kind in any location within the city of Cambridge
119. I do not support CTS anywhere.
120. Public transit is not that great in that site #2. There are too many houses in that area. The main reason is that site #1 is better due to the size of it (more 'wrap around services" could be provided & growth), and also site #1 has better access to transit and is more centrally located. The walking distance is the same for both.
121. I DON'T support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances. I chose to do this because selecting any other options will imply acceptance
122. doesn't work
123. Perfect area for families to live or medical practices i.e., dentist, walk in clinics
124. not in my city
125. In the heart of residential area up the hill from the Bridges so that the direct pathway is through the yards of Roseview.
126. Poor site. TOO CLOSE to Bridges (which should be moved into farmlands in Dumphries) and MUCH TOO close to vulnerable citizens.
127. It is too close to residential and the Galt core, there is a lot of visitors that come to see the historic area of downtown Galt and having a CTS location is a roadblock to this, whether that is actually true or not it will have an effect just by being there
128. This should not be within city limits, it should be at a secluded country location with shuttle service for those who need it, it should a rehab facility instead. CTS doesn't work.
129. Do not want this in Cambridge
130. It is not a suitable site. It is located right in the middle of a residential neighborhood that has already suffered many inequities due to downtown and waterfront development. Accessing this site requires walking through a residential neighborhood. There are two daycare centers within 2 blocks of this site. There are many alleyways surrounding the site and throughout the neighborhood. There is less public transport available. No CTS site should be placed in the middle of any

- residential neighborhood. It should be accessed by a major roadway with multiple major bus routes and significant pre-existing activity.
131. This is too close to residential areas and schools. You will destroy people's lives and the property values surrounding this area.
 132. There is much more parking for staff at this location. It is also a 5-minute walk from the area of need which may be more suited for clients who are unable to take public transit to site 1 location and those incapable of walking a longer distance.
 133. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
 134. No CTS site Please.
 135. Once again too close to homes and people. Although maybe substantial on paper 200m is nothing on foot. The general crowd of people that will be drawn into these sites will create problems for the surrounding area as well, as proven by literally every CTS site to date. THEY DON'T WORK!! STOPPING A 10TH OVERDOSE IS NOT ADDICTION TREATMENT.
 136. Do not support
 137. Will ruin safety and quality of life for residents
 138. Mental health...no drugs
 139. Too close to the downtown core especially with the new proposed developments and hospitality buildings.
 140. Let's focus on rehabilitation this initiative will be destroying more lives. I do not support this destruction on communities. Remember drugs ARE illegal.
 141. I do not support this
 142. I think this is an ideal location
 143. Stop providing for these addicts and put the money into long term rehab facilities to really get them off the drugs. Why help them by supplying them. Nothing is SAFE when it comes to addiction. It needs to be treated, not promoted.
 144. NO TO CTS SITES ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES
 145. Not in agreement with SIS, will not fix problems.
 146. No place is suitable, money should be spent on services to help them get clean not keep them addicted.
 147. I do not support a safe injection site in the community. If we must provide a "safe place for drug use", put it in a hospital/health care provider building where they can be monitored. Make it mandatory the users on site the duration of their drug use.
 148. I do not support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances.
 149. I believe both proposed sites are unsuitable. We already have a huge problem with theft, break ins and the homeless wandering the area at all hours with our proximity to The Bridges. The 200-meter requirement is stupid we are already in a war to keep what is ours caused by a facility further away. You can see clearly that the committee for site selection doesn't live in these areas or care about the people that do.
 150. Please do Not put an injection site here. This area of Galt has become a delightful downtown residential area. It is already negatively impacted by the homelessness and drug abuse in Galt. An injection site in this area where young families and retirees live will increase crime and safety issues. Why can't the injection site be put

- in an industrial area off Hespeler Rd where there is bus transit and there is space for support services.
151. 200 meters away from GCI is not far enough. Teenagers will be over-exposed. They may begin to believe that it's OK to do drugs - your community will help you... Teenagers will be exposed to people who are unable to control their actions. And our Galt core's businesses will be negatively affected - customers will stay away from the core because of the risk perceived and filth that will surround.
 152. Absolutely wrong site...too close to homes with small children and vulnerable population
 153. I can't even believe they are considering this. It is literally in the middle of residential. My kids will have to walk right by it to get to GCI - they'll have to choose to either walk past the Bridges or walk past the consumption site. ABSOLUTELY NOT!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Unbelievable.
 154. I live in downtown Galt. This is where the addicts are. This is where the site will make an actual difference to the community. This is the far better location. I live within two blocks of this site. I want this in my area to help my area.
 155. No CTS site in Cambridge.
 156. This is in a neighbourhood with children, and a daycare. This is not a suitable site. There is already a problem with Bridges and this neighbourhood.
 157. This site should be on Hespeler road closer to 401
 158. This site is less ideal, but also suitable. It is closer to the Bridges, which makes it worthwhile.
 159. Keep it out of family neighborhoods
 160. Unfortunately, this site is predominantly residential and therefore will get considerable push back from the community
 161. A CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
 162. A CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
 163. Too close to residential dwellings, parks, children in daycare. Also next to a bar.
 164. Although this site might be 200m away from a childcare centre, that childcare centre, Montessori, will lie directly in the walking path of many CTS users because it is located between downtown and the site. This will provide sites and sounds that young school age children should not be exposed to. It is my believe that this CTS will provide irreversible harm to the area and the school where many parents might choose a different provider and therefore forcing the eventual closure of one of the great childcare providers in the city.
 165. As I said earlier not in someone's backyard or schools
 166. Not suitable at all
 167. Too close to a daycare and private residents' homes
 168. Closer to Simcoe House
 169. Is located in an established residential area which is completely inappropriate
 170. I do not support a CTS site at any location in our city.
 171. I do not support any CTS site for any reason.
 172. Closer to residential, but also closer to area of need

173. I think Oxford St. meets most important criteria, including proximity to existing services and areas of high need. I think consultation with people who use drugs is extremely important and is needed to understand where they would like the CTS to go. I also think that looking at the Kitchener's success with the CTS on Duke St. is necessary.
174. This location is the least suitable of the two options. The proximity to residential areas is unacceptable. I would highly recommend against this address primarily due to the likelihood of private childcare in the residential area. I would like to add that neither location is suitable in my option and now that there is a safe consumption site in Kitchener located at 150 Duke St. The proximity to the downtown core is ideal and the lack of residential habitation is paramount in this location's suitability. As a homeowner and resident of Cambridge I find it concerning that Cambridge Council is considering a location in the middle of a residential neighbourhood.
175. Close to the shelter
176. I think this is a great site
177. I live on York place. there are houses and children everywhere. NO WAY. I just moved from the downtown core and now you're offering this in my backyard again.
No way
178. Cambridge does not need a CTS location
179. This location is totally unsuitable. It is in the centre of a neighborhood with young families, nursery schools and a considerable walk to public transit and other health services.
180. I don't support a consumption site period. Provide rehab and detox to get them off the drugs.
181. The facts you have clearly stated say why it should be in this location either.
182. Is close to Bridges and downtown Galt.
183. I am opposed to a CTS in Cambridge
184. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge.
185. Should not exist
186. I do not support an injection or sit site in Cambridge
187. What about the families' homes and kids, don't u people care of our families, Shame on you all?
188. See comment above #5
189. How is "abutting areas zoned residential" something that makes this suitable?
190. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
191. I don't support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
192. Soper Park is already overrun with people squatting, carting stolen goods through the park, and even selling weapons. We have seen all of this on morning walks - we saw two men exchanging a crossbow on one of the bridges in the park on a Sunday morning, and the police are never anywhere in sight. There is already insufficient security in this small park directly adjacent to homes and used by kids in the summer for swimming in the creek. The considered "buffers" are grossly insufficient for residents' safety and peace of mind.

193. A short survey conducted of folks who may use the sites indicated that this is the preferred location. I think that is the most relevant piece and I would like to support what makes sense to folks who actually need this service the most.
194. I do not support having a CTS site in Cambridge
195. This location is in a residential Neighborhood. Moreover, it would harm downtown businesses that are already struggling.
196. I don't agree with any site in Cambridge!!!! Our town council doesn't listen to the residents that voted them in. We're so fed up with the way this city is run, we've sold our house and are moving away from here! Both my husband and I were born and raised here, sad that we have to leave to feel safe where we live! Good luck Cambridge, you're going to need it!!!!
197. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances. I live RIGHT near this building, and I am appalled that this was even chosen as a location. I have small children who are already afraid to go outside because people who frequent the Bridges are ALWAYS shooting up or passed out right behind 8 Oxford Street on a weekly basis or disrupting the neighborhood. We have had enough. And by bringing a CTS location here will only further the destruction of our neighborhood. There are daycares too close, schools and not to mention hundreds of children and seniors who are already afraid and vulnerable. Please reconsider and DO NOT place a CTS any where in the city of Cambridge.
198. I don't support "safe injection sites" anywhere in Cambridge!
199. I do not condone a safe consumption site in Cambridge
200. We need addiction treatment and mental health services not consumption sites.
201. Against this. Free drugs aren't the answer. Support for those that need it should be. Not to mention petty crime in areas us high enough
202. There are daycares, school close by. The crime rate since Bridges was put there is really high You people did enough damage.
203. DO NOT WANT THIS ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE WE HAVE ENOUGH CRIME
204. Nothing suitable about either of these or any other site. I do not support "safe injection sites". I support detox and abstinent based treatment.
205. As noted above, the CTS should be located adjacent to supporting services such as food banks, shelters, public transit hubs and health care centres. This location is within walking distance of all of the above and is where our residents need help, visibly.
206. Would be better to be in an zoned industrial area
207. OPPOSED TO CTS SITE. Dangerous to residents, nearby children and will attract even more addicts to the area, which is already overrun with encampments within a 5km radius in every direction.
208. I do not want a consumption site located ANYWHERE in Cambridge
209. Too close to Downtown and do not want a CTs site
210. Cambridge doesn't want your disgusting junkie encouragement
211. Super close to downtown core. It's a joke. So, it's a few hundred Metres away. You don't think people walk?
212. No safe injection sites anywhere in Cambridge!

213. This is too close to residences with young families. This will do serious harm to the area.
214. Too close to school
215. don't want site there too close too daycares
216. I don't agree with consumptions sites in neighbourhoods. Build drug addiction beds and just get them help.
217. I do not agree with CIS in Cambridge
218. Do not support safe infection sites
219. Treatment site is needed not cts
220. Same as last comment
221. Highly endorse this option.
222. No location is suitable
223. I do not support safe injection sites in Cambridge. I would only support rehab for addicts.
224. Don't put these in our city!
225. Again, this site is also 3-minute walk from my house.
226. The same as the reason for Easton St.
227. Nothing is suitable about a CTS in Cambridge
228. Rehab and follow up support are needed
229. I do not support this
230. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
231. Not suitable ✓. Surrounded by residential Family homes, apt. complex. Kids will suffer, property values will plummet.
232. No one wants this in their backyard.
233. I do not support a site anywhere in Cambridge
234. don't want a consumption site in our city
235. See above comments or better still let Kitchener or waterloo deal with it.
236. Community safety - residential locations completely unacceptable
237. Absolutely a horrible choice. It is right in the middle of an active residential area. It is VERY visible. Plenty of children play and walk by that building.
238. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge
239. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances
240. No CTS
241. This is in the middle of a residential area where no site should be located.
242. This site is close to GCI and Manchester School - It is not appropriate to have children and teenagers being exposed to this on their way to school
243. Closer to services at Bridges. Walking distance
244. It needs to be further away from the current problem areas, perhaps in an industrial area
245. A terrible idea. Again, these people need treatment! Not somewhere safe to get high.
246. This location doesn't meet the 200 metres minimum of a residential area and will affect a large neighbourhood community, that also encompasses two childcare centres just outside of the 200-metre minimum by 90 minutes.
247. I do not support CTS sites anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.

248. this site will receive a greater amount of public resistance due to residential proximity
249. I do not support a SCS anywhere in Cambridge.
250. In a small sample size of people who use drugs, this was their preferred site. However, when looking at the overdose hot spots, the downtown core is clearly where this service needs to be. Since we are talking about these two sites, this one is the preferred location although I question the size of the building for adequate wrap around services, but social services always find a way to do more with less.
251. None of this makes sense. Put the money into mental health and rehab. Providing drugs and places to do them does not solve the problem. How about fighting for fair wages and world where you don't have to work 8 hours a day just to go into debt. You ever think maybe the harshness of society and the major difference in wages versus the cost of living has a major role in peoples depression and drug use. Help solve the cause. Don't feed the monster. Think people think
252. There should not be a place like this
253. There should be no place like this
254. Same as response in question # 5
255. Don't want any here...put them in Kitchener rather than dumping Kitchener waste here
256. I live very close to this site. I have younger siblings who love playing in our backyard and if this site is put here, it not only puts safety hazards on my family, but it devalues our home. We already don't feel safe in this neighbourhood as is. Not only that, but its walking distance to a daycare, private school as well as a high school. Like I mentioned before, I understand it's intentions but this is just going to lead to more people gathering around these areas waiting to get their high just so they can go back out and roam the streets to do whatever they feel. I'm sorry to be so blunt. Again, this potential site is literally the worst spot to even be considered. It's right by the bridges so we'll have more folk coming up from there, and possible drug dealers out and around the area.
257. No cts site in Cambridge!
258. I do not support any CTS sites
259. This is too close to our home, daycares, schools
260. cts continues the cycle of addiction. Money should be spent on lowering wait times on proper therapy/counseling and detox centers to bring up the bottom line to have someone want to get help. Enabling only helps kill people addicted to drugs. Myself, family and entire neighbourhood is opposed to this. We do not want this in our community.
261. Should not be located in Cambridge.
262. I live close to both sites and we as a community have had enough of the homeless camps in our parks and behind local businesses and stealing! When they move these people out of the cams they offer treatment and a place to stay, and they refuse all of it!
263. Same as above I have no consideration
264. The UW Architecture residence that used to be called Grand House, not sure if it still is, backs onto Oxford St and next door to the homeless shelter. Many Architecture

students and now Conestoga students live in the downtown Galt area. I am aware of the safety issues for students in the area. I don't think that I would want my son or daughter living in the downtown area due to the deterioration of safety in recent years, seems to be rapidly getting worse. UW already funds taxi rides and has for many years for Architecture students after dark due to safety issues due to a student being beat up downtown several years ago. A few years ago, UW did not need to lock the door and now they do and have security guards on site. All of these changes occurred over the time my son was an Architecture student. I personally will not walk in downtown Galt after dark and do not want to even walk downtown in daylight if I can avoid it. If Cambridge is going to welcome these students to our city, their safety has to be a sure thing. Then there are the high school students and younger children in the area. What about their safety?

265. I do not want any CTS sites in Cambridge.
266. Again, this is in a residential neighbourhood. There is a daycare centre down the road. This neighbourhood has already had to deal with break ins, people sleeping in their backyards/garages, needles on their property and now you want to add in this. It is too much for this neighbourhood.
267. This will not solve the problem.
268. No
269. This is way to close to home.
270. Residential area, terrible choice.
271. I do not support any location in Cambridge
272. No Site wanted
273. This is not a suitable location.
274. I DO NOT support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstance.
275. Same as above- too close to parks, childcare, and residential zones.
276. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
277. Same concerns as listed above. The city REALLY needs to ensure that they are not impacting the current residents of the chosen sites. If the city is determined to house a safe injection facility, then the neighborhood needs to be able to accommodate the fallout of having people who are not in their right minds wandering the streets. Legitimately HUGE concern for the people who live here.
278. Same as above!!!!
279. I do not want any CTS sites in this area
280. The Oxford Street location is within earshot of the Montessori School for young children. This neighbourhood, the residents and its real estate prices will be directly affected by this location.
281. You should not build a safe consumption site.
282. This is the least appealing of the two options. It is closer to downtown, but the bus route doesn't go into the neighbourhood anymore. And it is a residential area, so there isn't room for full growth as a social service hub. Being a residential area, locating it here will create a lot of fear among neighbours. This will heighten the division in the community. The map is also misleading as there is more one daycare/school in the area. I think there are four or more: Ontario Muslim Academy,

Pluto Daycare, Montessori School, and at least one at home daycare. Plus, Argus Residence for Young People, Male's Residence.

283. Oxford street is not a suitable location. If Cambridge is planning on developing the waterfront into a higher end location for families to live in condos, then the CTS does not belong in this neighborhood. Bridges has brought many problems to this area; a CTS location would make it much worse. Why would anyone buy a condo that faced the Bridges and was in walking distance to the CTS location?? There is a Montessori school within 1 block of this site which makes it unacceptable.
284. Do not support a CTS site in Cambridge
285. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
286. Do NOT have a CTS site in Cambridge
287. Not suitable site
288. This site would be most convenient to access for the majority of people who will be service users
289. I do not support this in Cambridge Please refer to previous answers
290. Same as above Clean up rehabilitate and put them into society as humans
291. Too closely located to schools and residential neighborhoods, not co-located with other support services
292. A site in the core would be better. But this is better than nothing and will save lives.
293. I do not support any site
294. residential area - schools daycare nearby
295. Site 2 is right in the middle of a residential neighbourhood and abuts streets with young children.
296. My goodness this is right near a neighbourhood. I used to live on Brook St. Check out how many times the police had to go to the Bridges. QUIT HELPING PEOPLE KILL THEMSELVES.
297. This site could work
298. What is to say, there is 2 daycares in this area where they walk children around the block every day, Pluto and Montessori, then there is the Ontario Muslim Academy just down the block. This is a densely populated residential area, it does not have "Direct access" to a bus line as in there is not a bus on oxford, though you can certainly get off on Dundas, Water Street and walk up.
 - a. There are already massive issues with break and enters, robberies, reports of guns being brandished, every week I see the police trying to chase down one of the residents of the bridges for committing crimes in the area, three weeks ago I witnessed a woman get robbed and assaulted on the corner of bond and Cambridge Street by one of those citizens of the bridges.
 - b. I have no doubt in my mind that allowing this SIS to be built would concentrate traffic between these two areas and since I suspect most SIS are 24/7, then there would be traffic moving at all times of the day. You will be bringing down the property value of the area and introducing more crime into the mix than is already present, Let's does not have another repeat with the house of friendship that had its addiction treatment ward set on fire in an attempt to stop the construction.

299. I like that its closer to the downtown core, closer to the other resources that are needed
300. DO NOT BRING CTS INTO CAMBRIDGE - STOP CATERING TO THIEVES!
301. We all know what happens in the many studies. We need to think better for our city of Cambridge
302. It is in the middle of a residential area.
303. Terrible location even if this was a good idea. Older residents, schools, daycare private business are going to be negatively impacted
304. Still too far from where it's really needed but better than the alternative.
305. I live around the corner. The neighbourhood is getting bad. Crime has increased, theft, b&e's. We find drug paraphernalia. Why put it in our neighbourhood? Is it not bad enough we have the bridges down the hill? We don't need this. Consider the children, elderly. We already live in fear. Don't make it worse. Not to mention the value of my home will decrease. Why can't 150 Main be used?
306. I disagree put it by the hospital or police station
307. In the middle of a residential area, on the route for students walking to and from
308. Manchester Public School & Galt Collegiate Institute. 200 metres is not a sufficient buffer - should be 500 metres.
309. Too close to residents
310. Surrounded by residential area and childcare centers. Truly amazing this location is even being considered. Put the site in city hall if you want it here at all. It is suitable by proximity and not surrounded by residential and childcare centers. Its perfect. Why is this not being considered?
311. I think the consideration of compensating property owners in and around the area of these sites for the inevitable drop in their property value and reduction in their own safety would be a reasonable consideration
312. The site should not be provided at all.
313. If councillors want to provide a location it should be mandated that one of their houses is chosen at random to be the DCTS.
314. This is a horrible place to set this up. There is a daycare a few blocks away. Absolutely ridiculous idea on someone's part.
315. 1)The cost of the site is astronomical especially when complete renovation gets added onto the cost. 2) provincial guidelines for distance from children are absolutely ridiculous. It should be much farther away
316. THESE AREAS ARE TOO CLOSE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND WE DO NOT WANT MORE THEFTS AND BREAK INS. FIND A BETTER SOULTION AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS ALTOGETHER.
317. See comments for question #5
318. Do not want cts in Cambridge.
319. There should be no places like this.
320. Unsuitable in a residential neighbourhood, near school bus stops, school walking routes, childcare centres. The neighbourhood is designed with laneways behind properties which makes properties vulnerable to the crime we cannot ignore that occurs around CTS locations. Too far from other services.

321. Too many residents who pay too much tax already just to see their neighbourhoods' decay with petty theft and garbage.
322. Should not be located in a residential neighbourhood.
323. This should ABSOLUTELY not go here. There are two young, aged schools on the street or nearby. Everyone is entitled to safety where they live and this increases risk of that. If anything, provide rehab NO injection sites. They do not work, no one uses them. I have one near my work and it is useless. Drugs addicts also steal from everyone and having them in a residential neighborhood is outrageous. The paper sent out said low residential.... we all know that is a lie there are thousands of people living in this neighborhood.
324. NO sites in CAMBRIDGE. Build mandatory treatment facility.
325. Again, why are we putting it in close proximity to residential areas. Crime will increase for businesses and homeowners.
326. This site is in the middle of a neighborhood with many children and families. I understand that it is close to the homeless shelter, but no family or child needs to see anyone who is using drugs or ambulances everyday. As a single young female, I will be forced to move to a different area as I will not feel safe coming home from work knowing there are people using and high in my area. People are erratic while using drugs I've experienced it firsthand. I do not want anyone in my area who is constantly using drugs. There already has been break-ins in my home from people using my garage as a place to use, the site will not make that better it will bring more people in my area looking for more places to use, especially at night.
327. Not in city
328. This area is too close to residential properties and close to Pluto Day Care. It is not suitable for a CTS location.
329. Too many residential neighbourhoods nearby! Quit making the Galt core a home base for drug addicts, you've ruined this city enough
330. It is by 3 daycares not suitable
331. This site is beside houses, and I do not want our community and kids to see what drugs do to these people. There are multiple childcare facilities around the area what are we thinking about adding a injection site there. Should be placed across the road from Bridges shelter.
332. save the children, stop the cts, we don't need to make Cambridge the drug city of Cambridge
333. This location is not suitable for a CTs site it is in a neighborhood residential area with 2 schools on either side of the area proposed not to mention houses right behind 8 Oxford Avenue this is not the appropriate place to even suggest such a site let's not make matters worse let's get a proper rehab unit and stop feeding our society drugs and call it helping them , that is far from helping people that will use CTs site is just too feed there drug addiction . I think a rehab facility is best solution not a site for injection
334. Because Oxford is only accessible from either Cambridge or Dundas Streets it is a little more difficult to reach between those streets. Being removed from clear view

reduces the possibility of stigma for clients. Wrap around services may be more difficult with only 3,000 square feet depending on what services are being offered.

335. Not in support of safe consumption site here, or at all! There are 2 daycare centres in close proximity. Condoning, enabling drug use is not the way to go. If we have to have a safe consumption site put it away from the residents or at the hospital or where access to supports is or at city hall since y'all think it's such a good idea to have a CTS.
336. There is a daycare on Roseview Ave. We do not find this tone a suitable location.
337. This area will infringe on residents living in proximity to the site. This will decrease the quality of life and security enjoyed with out this site being there. This is not a suitable site.
338. Same as what was said about site 1
339. do not like the site
340. I am not sure if this space will be big enough for wrap around services
341. Located right in the middle of residential neighbourhoods. Unbelievable I even need to comment on this. The location is absolutely ridiculous it's even being considered. Very poor concept & location. Makes me lose faith in any decision-making capabilities of our city.
342. Too close to residential area and schools
343. This neighbourhood already has many issues with its proximity to the Bridges shelter. Adding a CTS to the mix is absolute insanity.
344. We don't require any of this in Cambridge
345. This location is close to multiple schools and is in a residential area. This location should not be up for consideration. Cambridge City council should not be wasting City resources on these sites.
346. None
347. Too close to areas zoned residential. Also, too close to The Bridges, and so more likely to continue the unfortunate equation of homelessness with addiction as clients of CTS will likely congregate in the area.
348. Site #2 is not suitable because it is too close to two Day Cares, Manchester School and GCI. This is a residential area, people will not want to live next to where the drug addicts are released to the community, after they have used their drugs and are walking around high. Where are they getting the drugs? Will the drug dealers be setting up their shops next to these sites? After they are high, how will they even be able find their way back to downtown from this location?
349. 8 Oxford Street is COMPLETELY surrounded by well established older homes. The residents in this area have enjoyed their family homes for many years and are often seen sitting on their porches and walking the quiet well-kept streets. (Except for the people from Bridges occasionally walking the streets). Every morning I watch as the teachers from Pluto Daycare happily walk the children around these streets. Manchester Public School is very near, and these children walk to and from school. How are YOU going to keep these streets safe for them? How are you going to guarantee their safety?

350. This site is at least closer to the downtown core and in an area that is more likely to be accessed by service users
351. This is located in a residential area near 3 daycare centers, a school. There are enough problems in this area without adding more
352. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
353. I am objecting to the proposed CTS site as it is surrounded by residential/daycare/primary school. We have enough problems with drug users breaking into homes, robberies and needles found in the areas.
354. Too close to schools, crime
355. Dead against any consumption site in Cambridge
356. I find this proposed site atrocious. I live right around the corner from this site, and I do not think that it is a suitable location at all. This is not merely for my benefit as I am not particularly offended or disturbed by the associated culture. But the close proximity of this site to a daycare centre and many elderly residents is entirely unacceptable. Children and their families walk around this neighbourhood and a toddler should not be exposed to a man or women screaming and swearing whilst under the influence of psychosis inducing substances. I would ask the Honorable members of our city council, our mayor and city planners this question "Would you want your son or daughter to have to witness a man or woman dying from a drug overdose on their daily walk?". This site is irresponsible, and I hope the city of Cambridge has the decency to find a better location for this site.
357. I live in this area and the most concerning aspect of this location is that there is a daycare centre right around the corner. They take the children for walks around the neighbourhood all the time. I am also friends with a lot of elderly people who are very concerned about this, and I care about their safety. We just had a home invasion not too long ago and this would potentially raise the level of crime in the neighbourhood as it would most likely attract dealers and other criminals. As a recovering alcoholic, I have great compassion for fellow addicts. But meth causes psychosis and is extremely toxic. Methadone sites should focus more on weaning people OFF the stuff rather than keeping them on it. I had a friend who almost died from liver disease because they kept increasing her dose of methadone. She had to go to the States and pay out of pocket to learn that it was killing her. That is irresponsible and very tragic. It makes me not trust the medical community. Instead of enabling addiction we should be trying to help them beat it.
358. Better choice but still too close to a high school. Mixed message to our kids.
359. I am sending these comments on behalf of my mother, who lives on Bond Street near the proposed Consumption and Treatment site on Oxford Street. She is opposed to the proposal and has serious concerns and issues relating to the Oxford Street site. The first serious issue involves safety and security. Having the Oxford site so near would create more anxiety than what she is already experiencing as a widow. She has already experienced several porch robberies (costing several hundred dollars) and has found discarded syringes on the sidewalks. In addition, this site is across the street from a dark laneway which extends along all of the backyards on Bond Street. This neighbourhood is also home for many young couples with small

children, and includes several Day Care centres, so it's imperative that children be protected. Another serious issue would be decreasing property values in the neighbourhood due to decreased demand. All properties, including homes, stores, rental units, and small businesses, would experience a decrease in value. Compounding the problem would be the increasing real estate values in all other neighbourhoods for individuals wanting to relocate. My parents have worked all their lives for their property on Bond Street, and for the property value to decrease at this stage of life would create substantial financial hardship. Because of the serious issues described above, my mother (and the rest of the family) is strongly opposed to the proposed CTS site on Oxford Street.

360. Just say NO
361. I do NOT support any sites in Cambridge
362. Far too residential and far too close to downtown Galt and the Bridges. Too much concentration of homeless, active drug dealers to (Simcoe St) Warrant a SAFE place for the residents and the business community
363. Same comments. When do the rights of the tax paying citizens and the safety of their families get consideration? 200 meters is not a safe distance.
364. This location is right in the middle of a residential area that includes many children and elderly. The immediate area resident's safety and quality of life should be top priority.
365. This is a heavily populated residential area. The Bridges already disrupts this area with people wandering throughout the day and at night. My property has been broken into multiple times and items stolen from the yard. There are many young families moving into the area due to the lower price point being affordable housing and elderly people who have lived there a long time therefore I do not believe this is a suitable location.
366. I do not support cts
367. Too close to residential
368. Not acceptable anywhere in Cambridge
369. I do not support any CTS in Cambridge
370. This location is closer to the downtown core in Galt and would be easier to access.
371. I have a large concern with the proposed location of Site #2 - although it is slightly closer than Site #1 from the area of need, it is literally surrounded by residential. The unintended damage to the surrounding neighborhoods will be (in my eyes) significant - the residential neighborhoods in this area have recently started to improve in terms of safety and security and this would sent in in a negative direction.
372. This location is in the middle of a residential neighbourhood, which is not an appropriate location. Given our proximity to downtown and the Bridges, there is already unwanted foot traffic in the area - i.e., people using the laneways, parks or treed areas to sleep; disposing of clothing/other items on lawns or sidewalks; break-ins.
373. I do not think we need a CTS period.
374. Definitely no! I live near Oxford St & there is 2 daycares nearby. I see the teachers taking the kids for a walk all the around the neighborhood all the time. Why should I

& my neighbors feel unsafe in our own homes & neighborhood. You can't have anything nice out on your property without it getting stolen. Our cars get broken into. It is pretty sad when your neighbor is selling their home because of these consumption site plans. Our property value will go down, the daycares will lose business & probably close.

375. 200 m buffer zone is bullshit. No one wants this in their front yard. Bridges is already in our back yard. If necessary, find new location for homeless shelter
376. Terrible location beside residential homes, day cares, Montessori school, and within 500m buffer.
377. We do not want ANY consumption site it should be located close to the hospital or in the hospital where there is security on staff.
378. The harm that will be caused by a facility such as this will be unacceptable. There is a public school, and a high school nearby. There are also many hidden sites where illegal activities can occur in this area. The degradation in neighborhood property value will occur if a facility such as this is allowed. Crime in the neighborhood will increase if this facility is created. The increase in traffic in an already high traffic area will not be acceptable.
379. We do not support a Safe Consumption Site in the City of Cambridge
380. This is the worst possible site for a full consumption site! There are young families living across the street from this location! It is far too close to daycares and schools! It will draw residents from this area away. It will decrease the property value of the houses in the area. People have put in their life savings into their homes. I would not feel safe to have a consumption site at this location. People's properties are already getting damaged from people who abuse substance, the last thing the area needs is a CTS site in the neighborhood. I am absolutely disgusted, outraged and insulted that this site would be suggested!!
381. You are trying to impose this CTS site on a residential neighbourhood. The only people to benefit are "these people" - why do we, tax paying citizens, have to be exposed to it and have it bring down our property values and add stress to our daily lives so that "these people" can get high safely. You should be using the money to help them get off the drugs. The CTS site should be located in the core, near the Bridges where they all congregate now anyways.
382. This site is not easily accessible by public transport and therefore it would always necessitate an additional trip for clients above and beyond their essential needs that are available near site 1.
383. Again - please look at putting this near the hospital
384. I don't want a CTS in Cambridge
385. Too close to residential area
386. It seems to be within a residential community (surrounded by homes and apartments that could be affected).
387. I do not support any CTS anywhere, in any location, in Cambridge, ON
388. Too close to Montessori school and in the middle of a residential area
389. Not in favor of a cts site in Cambridge
390. Too close to Schools and downtown

391. The ONLY factor that makes 8 Oxford Street appealing is that it is close to Bridges without being the Core areas. However, it is an area with a high demographic of young families, many of whom will walk directly past this plaza each day on their way to and from school. Full disclosure: MY kids walk that route. I have already had to have conversations with them when the neighbour living nearby uses a bong with friends. Education by viewing something in person is not the desired way I would like to teach my kids about this problem in our community. As members of the Cambridge Vineyard, we encounter many friends at Urban Hope who are having a rough time on a Tuesday or Sunday morning and, as our family members witness that, we talk about that together, as a family. It might be mental health issues; it might be drugs. It's hard to know which sometimes. We eat with vulnerable friends, and we help to serve them as best we can with respect and dignity. We've learned that those who visit us there can be unpredictable and unaware of how their actions are affecting others, especially when under the influence of their drug of choice. Security on site (whether at the Vineyard or at the CTS) is one thing, but when someone is kicked out of the site, it spills over into a neighbourhood full of vulnerable young families and elderly homeowners. There is no physical buffer between either site and the neighbouring residences as there is at Urban Hope, which has lots of green space for our guests to spread out in. What has worked well at Urban Hope is that a culture of respect and mutual help has been established. Respect for other guests at UH, for the volunteers, and for others on the property and in the community. They are also asked to respect themselves and set aside their own addiction and not consume while visit Urban Hope. Boundaries are established as relationship is established. Where there is relationship, there is respect to uphold the boundaries and understood consequences if they are not upheld. IF, and it is a STRONG "if," 8 Oxford Street were chosen as the site, it would be wise to have this kind of mandate as a consideration. It may help those living around the location chosen to feel more secure that the integrity of their neighbourhood security will be upheld. We have seen good results at the Vineyard. Speaking to the people at Vineyard and listening to their experiences this offer insight into how these locations will fare.
392. Too close to residential areas and schools.
393. Again, would you like to be the neighbours of a consumption site?
394. I do not agree with CTS sites. Resources should not be spent on providing "safe consumption". That is not the answer, in my opinion.
395. This area is unsuitable. The hospital is a more suitable location as they have the zoning, health care, morgue etc. already in place. Taxpayers already pay for the hospital so let's use the existing hospital location as a CTS site, however I do not support a CTS whatsoever in Cambridge. This is a waste of taxpayer money and will directly and negatively affect local property values. There are young children and elderlies in this area, and they do not need to witness the drug addiction/crime/ and death associated with these CTS's - look to other CTS sites to see the effects of enabling drug users in residential areas.
396. 8 Oxford Avenue is better than 15 Easton it terms of location. Accessibility the most important factor in terms of suitability. Since 8 Oxford is closest to downtown (the

highest needs area). It is also close to Bridges which would benefit them greatly as 2-4 overdoses occur in each shelter every week. As a service worker I know how taxing that is to the staff and community as no one is properly prepared/equipped.

397. Same as above
398. I do not support cts anywhere in Cambridge
399. I live in the area for many years and have witnessed the increase in crime which I have personally experienced and had my home Break and entered. Police can only do so much. I no longer feel safe as a resident since the increase of crime and drug use in the city/ and my neighborhood especially after the Bridges opened. I've found needles and drug paraphernalia within both the proposed CTS site locations. It's not fair to tax paying citizens to be putting up with what our Mayor of Cambridge has allowed this city to become.
400. Same as above
401. If 3000 sq ft is enough space, taxpayer bldg. cost would be less than site #1 by about a third.
402. Again, 200 metres is an insufficient distance for the security of those using Montessori school, Pluto Day Care Cambridge, Galt Collegiate Institute, and parks. Having a CTS site abutting zoned residential areas brings the potential of exposure to criminal and inappropriate activities to those who live in the immediate neighbourhoods and also who commute to these family focused services.
403. I have heard that this is a preferred location by our folks in need.
404. It is in a generally higher traffic area, which means that it may be better utilized by clients and closer to areas in need. It is also further away from residential areas and more sensitive areas, meaning less potential for disturbance.
405. Should not be around residential homes or schools
406. Absolutely against CTS. I do favour Treatment centres. I don't support enabling addicts.
407. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
408. No Site should be built here! There is a huge problem on Roseview with break-ins and theft from people using the street to get from Hespeler Road to the Bridges! Dirty needles all over, and homeless encampments! Not to mention Manchester school is a few blocks away! My friend has major problems with theft and break ins! Actually, found used needles in their backyard and there are TONS of encampments downtown! NO CONSUMPTION SITE!
409. Too close to schools, daycares, downtown, parks. Stupid location.
410. None of these sites are suitable as there is a lot of families and mainly kids living around the area
411. Good location - accessible to public transport
412. BAD IDEA! Same reasons as above. This facility being 4 blocks away from Manchester public school is still four blocks too close. Again, I hope city council is prepared to shoulder the responsibility when the children of Manchester public school become victims of their poor decisions.
413. I DO NOT support ANY location of CTS in Cambridge, Ontario.
414. No site in Cambridge is acceptable for this kind of immoral activity.

415. Same as 1st site.
416. Too close to people's homes and businesses. Will avoid the area as the situation worsens.
417. Please move this to a different city! Ours is bad enough! I live near the Delta, and I already kick homeless crackheads out of my back yard almost DAILY!!!! and I have 3 young children!!! IT IS NOT OK!!! MOVE THE BRIDGES AND THIS SITE OUT OF OUR CITY OR BUILD A REHAB AND ACTUALLY TRY TO FIX OUR CITY!!
418. Too close to established residential neighborhood which has already suffered impact of negative aspects of drug users, homeless persons and increased crime and reduction perceived personal safety of those in the neighborhood.
419. My concern that there is a Montessori childcare within a block of this potential site, and they walk these children at times for exercise. There are schools on close proximity of this site and kids are walking too. We already have Bridges very close to us and they are sleeping on our Cambridge Street property and going through our dumpsters and trying to get into our building. It is a safety feature already that we have because we don't know where these people are going to show up. I know a lot of these folks have mental and addictive problems so with more people coming into this area it is of concern. I know that it would be a good service to offer but we aren't really addressing the right problem. We need better access to mental health for these folks. They still have the problems, and we are just supplying them with needles etc. And people who are diabetic etc. that are seniors and on a fixed income and can't afford their supplies and they don't get the help with it. Where is the fairness? These folks (the addicts) have had unfortunate situations to bring them to this situation but it in some cases was a choice. I'm divided on this situation
420. The proximity to residential housing and residential units is of concern to myself and my neighbours that have lived in the community for decades. There would be no 200-meter buffer zone for this location, as indicated on Site# 1. A concern would be the lanes behind the houses that could attract drug dealers, if there is an opportunity to target their market they will. There are two childcare facilities within the area. The Montessori school takes children every day on a walk down Roseview. This causes alarm to me because this would be the route that potentially people seeking the CTS would use, which could be a potential risk of exposure to improperly discarded needles. There are multiple young children that live on Roseview and surrounding streets that play on the sidewalks. The Bridges HOMELESS Shelter located down on Simcoe, from my knowledge, has seen a spike in drug users seeking care at that location and has caused an influx of resources used by the shelter. The shelter is meant to help the homeless, not addicts and I feel like having the CTS site near the Bridges would only make their business harder to operate and less efficient in their main goal. A safe shelter for those in need.
421. Again, this is too close to schools, daycares, and family-oriented neighbourhoods.
422. I live down the street from this location (less than 5-minute walk). If this site can mitigate the amount of biohazardous drug paraphernalia that's littered around the streets and people's yards, then you'll be doing the neighborhood a great service in

addition to helping these citizens of Cambridge that require the help abs resources of CTS

423. Too close to schools and daycare
424. This location is too close to residential zones.
425. I do not agree with a CTS in a residential neighborhood. There are too many families, children, etc. to be subjected to a rise “safely” high addict. The behaviour of intoxicated individuals does not change if they are safe to inject etc. or not. My child and family shouldn't have to deal with intoxicated individuals walking and wandering about in our neighborhood/yards. Addiction starts as a choice, and I have not made that choice for a reason. Rehab facilities exist for a reason and safe places for individuals to get intoxicated should NOT be in ANY residential neighbourhood. My family should not have to be at risk or in any danger because of someone else's bad life decisions nor should that have to affect the rest of the neighborhood.
426. Too close to residential houses
427. This place is only a few minutes away there are children and train tracks around here a danger especially when no one does anything....
428. Again, we don't want this in our city. How about you open this site at city hall.
429. This site is within a 5-minute walk to the Muslim elementary school, Montessori, Pluto Day Care and Grand River Collegiate high school. Its also too close to the Bridges Shelter (which is already in our vicinity). No one neighbourhood should have to bear the brunt of all social services in the city. Council needs to disperse such services that could cause security and safety concerns and lower property values. Its bad enough that our neighbourhood crime rate has gone up since Bridges opened, so Council owes a fiduciary obligation to ensure it does not overload OUR neighbourhood which the CTS site most certainly will. Its odd that the city did not choose its own building to hosting the CTS site but rather is trying to pawn it off further away. Several City council members have already gone on record indicating they have personal/family histories with drug treatment. I sure hope they do not plan on voting in this vote as that would be a conflict of interest and subject to court review!
430. It is located in the middle of a residential area. Does more really need to be said? How would you feel living on the same street???
431. Downtown Cambridge is a nightmare already. A CTS site will only make it worse. I don't want to see any CTS sites set up anywhere in Cambridge
432. A shoot up site makes no sense unless you are holding the less fortunate troubled people there. Like a mental health clinic that you check into and don't leave.
433. It is NOT suitable. 15 Easton St. is not suitable either. The best location is on the ground floor of Cambridge City Hall. Anything else is too close to homes. City Hall is the go-to place. Alternatives are having the CTS inside the Bridges shelter. As well, there is a vacant lot on Water Street at the corner with Simcoe St., south of the Shoppers Drug Mart. A structure for the CTS could be built there.
434. Unsuitable
435. I think this is also a good option, but the proximity to residential is the biggest downside I see.

436. It is closer to the area of need and more accessible.
437. No CTS anywhere in Cambridge. Our safety and the safety of our children cannot be mitigated.
438. The city and police have already proven they cannot handle the current situation and crime before a CTS.
439. It is a good distance from residential areas, and provides space for parking, activities and services at a good distance to other businesses and homes.
440. I think the site that makes the most sense is 150 Main Street especially now that it has supports for many needs!
441. Why does this area have to subject to both the bridges and a drug injection site? It is in the middle of an established residential area.
442. To close to daycare and residential homes
443. To close to day care & residential
444. This location is 2 blocks from our home and business. This is a neighbourhood where we walk our dog. We have a newborn on the way. We do not feel safe with this location. We do not want this location to be considered
445. NO, I do not support safe injection sites (CTS) anywhere in Cambridge
446. Not sure why anyone would suggest putting a site in a residential neighborhood. You can't take a walk downtown from those surrounding streets now without feeling fear and apprehension. It's an up-and-coming area with homes being renovated everywhere you look. How does putting a site there make any sense. The reason there is a high need there is because of the Bridges. An issue that needs addressing also.
447. I currently reside on Bond Street in Cambridge. We have lived at this location for the past years and have a young child. Last September, we had firsthand experiences with users from the downtown area. We are within a short distance of the proposed Site No.2 which directly violates the minimum standards of the provincial candidate site evaluation site. This area is also zoned as a residential area and again does not meet the minimum requirements set forth in provincial candidate site evaluation. Our child as well as other children within the community use the same travel routes that potential users will use to travel to and from school and daycare facilities. A CTS site will add increased health and safety hazards, risks, and concerns to this upcoming neighbourhood. Living within close proximity to Bridges (homeless shelter) we have experienced multiple thefts from our property and a break in attempt. All of which have been documented through police incident reports. Our cars have been broken in to, we have been followed by users and homeless while walking to and from daycare and school and have been issued threats of harassment and violence. Last year in November a homeless addict attempted to break into our house in the afternoon when we were home. He attempted to kick in our door as well as the neighbours until the police arrived and arrested him. We acknowledge and appreciate the efforts that our police force provides and are internally grateful for what they have done for our family. If the CTS site is approved these incidents will continue to occur as they already do. The lifestyle of a user is not compatible with the family neighborhood we live in. The region and city are in place to help maintain and enhance our community;

the CTS site will not achieve this. We will not feel safe living in such close proximity to the site if approved. The neighbourhood, residents, and children must be considered and prioritized over potential users and clients of the site.

448. This is right in the middle of a residential area with TWO daycare centres. Traffic to and from the proposed site will take clients right through the neighbourhood, not to mention that the children walk past the site EVERY day. This city does NOT need any CTS locations -- taxpayer money will be better spent, and the needs of people better met if an emphasis is placed on rehabilitation and support services. What about the increase in crime that this neighbourhood is already seeing due to its proximity to The Bridges?? What about the impact on our property values?? But setting all that aside, what about the fact that in other jurisdictions opioid deaths are still taking place in private homes when a CTS location is available? This does not work and will only cause a further decline in area around the downtown core. Have you listened to the people of this city who no longer feel safe walking the streets of downtown Galt? Have you seen the decline and decay in the downtown core? It boggles the mind that the City of Cambridge can court developers and take steps to improve and expand upon this area, but then do nothing to clean up the absolute mess that has become of The Bridges and then take active steps to make the situation and the area even worse by allowing this to proceed. Listen to your constituents for a change -- we do NOT want this in our neighbourhood.
449. This address is not suitable at all. These facilities, when located in residential areas, bring serious litter, crime and social disorder. Since the drugs are not provided for free, there will be a great increase in drug trafficking. There will potentially be hundreds of homeless drug users sleeping on the streets. We have enough of these kinds of issues with the neighboring Bridges shelter, which has caused the area to be an overdose hot zone.
450. Wherever I look, it seems that these sites only cause issues to the residents of the surrounding neighborhood. They attract many homeless drug-addicted people to spend nights on the streets nearby, which itself causes littering and street violence. An overdose inside the "safe" facility just means lots of ambulance sirens near our home day and night. I don't believe the users of the site will be getting free, clean drugs, so that would just mean that shady drug dealing would increase in volume and locations. I live right by the Bridges facility, which already causes many problems related to garbage, looting and a general sense of uneasiness when being outside my home.
451. This is certainly the most convenient location for a CTS as it is incredibly close to the Cambridge Shelter Corporation. This CTS Facility is so incredibly, desperately needed and this location would be wonderful. However, I can see concerns from residents here and the fear associated with CTS sites. The size of the property is also much less than 15 Easton Street, and it would be such a shame to lose out on the ability to have all recovery and rehabilitation services in one location. While location is a strong factor in determining the location of a CTS so too is the ability to provide ALL the resources needed for users of the facility. I think that the number of people that

need a hand up would surprise City and Regional Council. I truly believe that location at 15 Easton Street could do so many wonderful things and save so many lives.

452. Not a suitable site
453. We already have a prevalent crime and unsafe issue in our neighborhood here. Bringing a CTS smack dab in the middle of a residential area with schools will increase this risk- I have a baby. I feel unsafe taking her for a walk by myself from previous encounters so now I can't do that. It will only become worse when you bring the traffic into a residential area! Not just these two sites. I am speaking on the behalf of my daughter's future! I want her to feel safe playing on our own property and not have it be a jail!
454. Residential area. I've been harassed by the homeless just walking my dog down Cambridge St. I've been threatened with a bat. The shelter was supposed to be moved so don't add to this problem.
455. This is a terrible location. I do not support this at all.
456. Again, this site is near a residential area, school and day care. The city needs to do better for the law-abiding citizens it represents.
457. Again, this is right where kids walk to school, go to preschool/ daycare and Montessori is right around the corner! How is this acceptable.
458. Not suitable as it is near Montessori School, Pluto Daycare, and a private Daycare, and surrounded by residential. Our neighbourhood already has issues because of Bridges and Argus House.
459. Not suitable - In a residential neighbourhood, near Montessori school, Daycares.
460. Close to area of need and easily accessible.
461. Closer to area of need
462. This location is closer to the downtown core where services are needed the most I believe.
463. No site is appropriate near homes, schools, high traffic areas, and businesses especially where families with children will be frequenting. Why is 200 metres the number? I believe it should be at least a kilometre or more. Our neighbourhood has had the Bridges as a neighbour for years with many not so pleasant interactions. Do you not think we have done our time? And now you wish to compound our issues with another project to help illegal drug users and not the hardworking families trying to enjoy our wonderful neighbourhood?
464. Too close to people's homes
465. Highly disagree with this location and can speak for many people that live in this community including myself and my brother. This is smack dab in the middle of a residential neighborhood. We do not want to see people like that in the neighborhood, it is a step back in terms of creating a safe neighborhood. There are also kids that play around this area and I'm sure parents would feel very unsafe about having those types of people around their children.
466. See above comment
467. not needed need more rehab sites
468. Don't want a safe consumption site in Cambridge.
469. It's within a residential area and close to an elementary school.

470. Too close to schools
471. Again- it is too close to residential and childcare- they leave the site after doing the drugs. The public fear isn't seeing them doing drugs, it the behavior of them after as they wander the streets
472. There are 2 daycare schools in close proximity. Young children will be in close proximity to drug addicts. Having such young children exposed to drug addicts is not healthy no matter which way you look at it. Having taxpayers' dollars pay to subsidise illicit drug use is ridiculous. Hard earned taxpayers' money ear marked for homeless, drug addicts are completely ludicrous. Why not use the taxpayers' dollars for more positive projects that can be used by everyone not just a select group of people who by the way don't pay taxes?
473. Great location
474. I firmly oppose any CTS site in Cambridge (Galt). This is a historical town with long term families in homes their families built half a century ago. We shouldn't have to move because of safety concerns.
475. It's a little better as in it is close to the shelter. However, still in a residential area. Those who own houses there are going to see a drop in their property value. Also, those who have children they are raising near by you are putting them at risk.
476. To close to residential homes
477. It is too close to schools' parks and residential areas.
478. The location is slightly better. Still in close proximity to schools and residential areas. However, it is closer to the shelter which could help contain it to one area
479. Most accessible for clients in core area. Residential homes nearby may be fearful of increased traffic.
480. Rehabilitation
481. Why not put this site within the mayor's neighbourhood.
482. It's within a km of my house both sites are there are schools here parks for kids and you want to bring more of these people to the area give your fucking head a shake we should make it harder for people to use drugs not easier. We are supposed to lower our quality of life and live-in fear to make it easier for addicts who don't want help.
483. Railway tracks, overpass area, bushy area. Again, an area to congregate.
484. I think this location would be more suitable because it is located near existing services for the homeless population and therefore would be more effective.
485. I do not support CTS sites. We shouldn't provide tax dollars to support the safe consumption of drugs.
486. Against CTS in Cambridge as drug use is illegal
487. This location is too close to residential areas, childcare centres and schools.
488. No CTS sites
489. We urgently need CTS and its related supports. We can no longer claim this isn't important and blame the people who need support.
490. Not in favor
491. I think Site #2 is unsuitable because it is located on a residential street. I know the map says that the property is zoned industrial but there are residences on that street. While it is not high-density residential there are many elderly people living in that

area. Is there any concern for the property owners or tenants who live in these houses? Again, you have to look around 360 degrees to see who else is going to be impacted. Being 200 metres from parks and childcare centres isn't good enough. Is there any concern for these people who may be confronted by users?

- 492. Money must be spent to set up mental health, social services, and rehabilitation
- 493. Too close to schools and residential areas
- 494. This is in a much better location especially for a lot of concerns people might have about location. It's far enough from school and residences (for those who don't want to see it), and it's close to a transit hub for those who might not have a car.
- 495. Include a space where people can stay overnight, have lockers where people can store their valuables, offer free mental health services onsite as much as possible
- 496. It is very close to a daycare, which is fundamentally disagree with. It's also very close to Bridges and I think those residential neighbours have been through enough with Bridges. Its also too close to Downtown Galt businesses.
- 497. What's the exit plan? Free clean drugs for all?
- 498. Again, this site is surrounded by residential properties and businesses including a bar. It's near the schools and daycares. CTS does not have community support and the peaceful rally on July 24th gave that message when 100 residents came out against the site and 6 people for it. Please save taxpayers money, save council time and stop trying to introduce a site in Cambridge as it DOES NOT have community support. The community is promoting rehab and rehabilitation services. We have two groups of addicts, Group A, who want help and get off the drugs and group B, who are looking for their next fix. Group A wants to get off drugs and the struggle is real. Allowing them to find people with the same addiction will not help them get off the drugs. Drug dealers will be camped outside the plaza looking for their next client. What's to stop group B from consuming illegal drugs at the site and then go with their friends and bang-up by the river. I can go on forever on how allowing drug users to use drugs on a government-funded and run facility is not good for the community and the users.
- 499. Residential neighborhood is all around it. Childcare centres around the corner
- 500. This location is way too close to the downtown core and schools. It is unacceptable to put small business at risk in this way. Our downtown community has worked too hard to rejuvenate and our efforts to build up this neighbourhood so it is a safe and welcoming place for merchants, families and tourists to live, shop, play and dine should not be compromised. The livelihoods of all those involved need to be supported and considered.
- 501. Alongside wrap around services this location is close to the Cambridge Food Bank (food), Cambridge BRIDGES shelter (supportive housing/shelter), Cambridge Career Connections (employment). Very close to Ainslie to make services accessible and part of downtown core for any outreach needed. This is where people can feel safe being closer to community.
- 502. In a neighborhood... way to destroy those tax paying citizens lives. if your goal is to destroy that neighborhood and the value of its homes you are well on your way!! h
- 503. Right in the middle of residential zones; terrible choice

504. On a daily basis, the pre-school children take a walk in the neighbourhood. I don't think they should have to walk by an injection site. I don't care what is said, property values will decrease, just take a look at properties that have recently gone up for sale, because they don't want to live in this environment. Take a look at the Kitchener site on Duke St., not in the middle of a residential area. We already have the Bridges and Argus House in the area, we don't want or need this in our neighbourhood. Why not share some of the social burden to some other part of the city? Is there going to be a police sub-station nearby, as the police are at the Bridges on a daily basis, not hyperbole, and it will only get worse with the CTS. Is 200 meters from pre-schools, far enough? Why not have it closer to the hospital, or in the hospital where everything they need is close at hand. If it is true that it is predominantly a mental health issue, then have a social service facility instead, pretty sure there wouldn't be much resistance from the public, but to shoot up then let them out, really isn't an excuse from what I believe is still an illegal activity. Please think twice or thrice, would you really want this in your neighbourhood? If so, please take it.
505. This location is in the heart of a residential area. It will be less visible to the general public but will have negative impact on prices of homes in the area. However, it is a lot closer to the homeless shelter for additional support.
506. Too close to a school. 200m is not far enough away. Our children will not be safe.
507. Would rather not have CTS locations in Cambridge.
508. Again, it is right in a housing area. It is closer to the downtown core, which is good, but the CTS site should be within the downtown core to be effective.
509. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
510. Should not be in or close to ANY residential areas.
511. There is no place for a CTS here.
512. I do not support a CTS site anywhere within Cambridge.
513. This is not a healthy option for our community. We need rehab.
514. I think this is a better location. It's more in the zone of need, closer to the shelter system and other social services
515. I'm against this site however if we are getting it out it far away from residential. Easton road is better site
516. I think mobile unit is best suited
517. I do not support safe site injection sites.
518. Don't agree with having a CTS site
519. No not in the city
520. I DO NOT AGREE WITH OR CONDONE A CTS ESTABLISHMENT ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE
521. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
522. Why continue this madness?!!! STOP!
523. It is too close to the downtown core and would negatively effect businesses be selling consumer goods to the public
524. Seems better choice as closer to area in need and keeps police and emergency services close since they are at Bridges a lot anyway. Neighborhood already experiences troubles so shouldn't add to another area of Cambridge.

525. Move it out of the city, we have enough rural areas.
526. This is too far into a residential area. Too close to a childcare centre. Given the proximity to downtown I imagine this area already has more foot traffic from downtown than they care for. No need to add more who may expose children and residents to things that should be kept to a hospital or clinic.
527. CTS sites are perpetuating the problem. Do not have them, a band aid if you will to a much larger issue.
528. Same as above.
529. This site is located in a residential complex. Absolutely not thought out at all.
530. Only 150 main st suitable you already have services there and onsite security
531. I believe that the 8 Oxford Street location is a far better location primarily due to its proximity to the centre of the area of need.
532. There is a School/Day Care and 2 Montessori Schools 1 block away from this proposed location.
533. These Schools take their children for walks everyday (weather permitted of course) and play outside as much as possible. Used needles, drug paraphernalia and other dirty items will be left throughout the streets around this site. Not to mention children being exposed to the occupants of this facility. Why take the chance? 200 metres or not it is definitely not worth the risk!
534. This is inside of a residential area. I feel bad for the residence in this area
535. These sites shouldn't exist. These drugs are illegal and you're allowing this stuff to happen. How about enforce the law.
536. No CTS
537. NO CTS SITE IN THE CITY
538. Neither here or there or anywhere. No CTS sites in Cambridge. There is not community support.
539. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
540. I do not support CTS in Cambridge
541. This location is also right in the middle of a neighborhood and should not be considered.
542. There are residential houses directly across from this location. Therefore, it is not an appropriate site. The location is tucked away and not easily accessible. It might not be close to schools but the children who attend those schools live in the area. Just because a building is empty doesn't make it a good location. What's wrong with putting it in any of the visible, accessible, strip mall or empty buildings on Hespeler or by the hospital? Those are out in the open, not close to schools/ parks/residential areas and have public transportation available.
543. This location is too close to public parks.
544. This is the better location of the two, again, both are very suitable.
545. This site is much more accessible to the people who will use it.
546. No to CTS anywhere in Cambridge!
547. You people are idiots, both locations are right beside schools and residential neighborhoods.

548. Two daycares extremely close by, with playgrounds fenced but exposed to passers by. One is on an alley
549. No to consumption sites anywhere!!!
550. 200 metres distance to schools seems too close
551. Again, we DO NOT want a CTS site in our city under any circumstances.
552. This potential location would be most realistic for folx to get to.
553. This may be a site that has a few commercial buildings, but it is surrounded by a residential area, daycare and school. The owners of the building as well as the current tenants of the building do not want it in the location either. There is already a huge problem with crime in the area which the police have not been able to control or prevent and this will only contribute to the problem. There are also many instances of addicts passing out and walking in the neighbourhood high and that will obviously increase because they will be released into the neighbourhood shortly after they take their drugs. There are many families and children in the area, and it is already becoming unsafe to let them play in the streets and sometimes even in the backyard alone due to the amount of foot traffic from questionable people in the area.
554. This site should not be located in any area of Cambridge
555. No CTS. People need treatment and rehabilitation. Neighbourhood residents deserve safety in their neighbourhoods.
556. I do not support CTS in Cambridge
557. Against cts
558. I do NOT support CTS in Cambridge under ANY circumstance.
559. I do NOT support CTS site ANYWHERE in Cambridge
560. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
561. Should not be within the city limits or at minimum established in an industrial area but offer free transportation (bus) to the location.
562. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
563. Again, located in a residential area.
564. Residential area, no
565. I do not support any site
566. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge whatsoever
567. I am 100% AGAINST a CTS site in Cambridge
568. Against any consumption site in Cambridge residential neighborhoods
569. I do not want a CTS in Cambridge I'm tired of all the druggies walking around Cambridge this is just a way to help them get their drug addiction going. NO TO CTS
570. Not supportive if this initiative!
571. A CTS site should not be placed in historic Galt. It should be placed accessible to addicts in a hospital or right beside a hospital. The hospital in Cambridge is accessible by public transit and is easy to find. Addiction is a disease and should be treated in a hospital where all diseases are treated.
572. Too close to residential
573. I do not support a CTS site
574. Not appropriate. Will create crime and loitering and public urinating and intoxication issues in the area.

575. Please see comments above. Please look at how other countries are handling this situation. I'm sure if you really wanted a positive change for our city, you would actually educate yourself and do the work. Show some leadership for once.
576. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
577. I think this area is a great site given that it is close to area of need and close to transport system.
578. It may not be the perfect location, but this needs to have been opened 2 years ago.
579. Oxford is too much of a residential area. And children do not need to be exposed to that in any way. Our children are our number 1 priority. There is a school close by and children all around there all the time stay away from residential.
580. This is laughable these people need help not drugs
581. NO CTS SITE IN CAMBRIDGE
582. Do not support any sites
583. See above
584. This site is too close to Galt Collegiate. By placing this site here, the city is basically advocating to high school students it is ok to do drugs and hey, here is a facility where you can do them safely. It is completely irresponsible of the city to have this site so close to schools and residences. It MUST be moved away from residences & schools.
585. Too close to homes and businesses. If they need one to go to another city or build one outside the city. Or put it beside your houses and see how you like it.
586. This site seems to be much closer to the defined area of need.
587. Putting a CTS site in a residential neighbourhood with children, schools and daycare is absurd. Everyone knows that social disorder, antisocial behaviour, loitering and drug trafficking will follow the site, resulting in a decline of the living conditions in the neighbourhood. Driving property values lower and contributing to decline towards a "skid row" district.
588. Definitely should NOT be at this location. These residents have to cope with individuals occupying the Water Street shelter. The litter and disrespect of property occurring in that neighborhood is more than any resident should be required to contend with.
589. Closer to the shelter, transit, and other services.
590. I DO NOT AGREE WITH ANY SITES IN CAMBRIDGE> (yes, I am shouting)
591. I would prefer Easton over Oxford- less residential
592. This site is very small, 3000 square feet smaller than the CTS in Kitchener. This may not allow room for multiple consumption booths including a private consumption booth and many wrap-around services.
593. The main factor that our Mayor and City Council have taken into account is facility like that must be completely prohibited in residential area, especially this one. We have a very short distance to daycare, Montessori School, Galt Collegiate Institute, University of Waterloo student residence and several small businesses. There is no concept as safe drug injection.
594. Not suitable anywhere in Cambridge

595. While I don't have many concerns about its location near residential areas, I suspect that it will face more pushback from the community because of that fact.
596. "I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances"
597. I am not supportive of a CTS site at locations being considered or anywhere in Cambridge
598. Same as for Easton St. and even closer to Zoned residential area.
599. My second choice.
600. This site is in the middle of a residential community which provides elevated risks for those who live in the immediate area
601. although fairly accessible to those in need, it creates a dilemma for residents in the neighborhood. Crime rates have been increasing in Cambridge.
602. Right in the middle of a residential neighborhood! No, no, no thank you. More thefts, more vandalism, more junkies in our parks if you put one here.
603. Closer for those walking from the Bridges. Large open space in front so not as congested as Easton St.
604. These people need rebabs not CST locations to enable their choices and habits
605. The opinion of the local residents and business are the ones you should be asking.
606. this is located too close to the downtown core
607. I do not support a CTS site or harm reduction policies anywhere in Cambridge.
608. This site is even less suitable as it is a residential area, close to a high school, 2 primary schools and 3 daycare centres.
609. I DON'T SUPPORT A CTS SITE ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE!!!
610. I think none of these sites are suitable. The highway out to Brantford is...just as Stonehenge is secluded, and accessible. If there is no other option, then Site #2
611. I do not support any CTS location in Cambridge
612. Too close to school and daycare
613. Site 2 is NOT acceptable
614. there is no suitability
615. Too close to homes and downtown businesses.
616. This is too close to DT - this area is already plagued with social services and issues.
617. I do not approve of a CTS site in any area of Cambridge
618. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
619. See above no CTS
620. This is way too close to my home daycare
621. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
622. Do not want any CTS in Cambridge.
623. this is the least suitable of your 2 options speaking as one of many business owners in the core. Just what we need after surviving a pandemic and folks avoiding the core because of safety concerns and drug use. Putting a CTS sight within 500m of the core is completely CRAZY.
624. Not a supporter of CTS sites
625. Once again, no concern or information in regard to safety or security of residents and businesses around the area.
626. Not a suitable location

627. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
628. As a long-time resident and business owner, I care greatly about Cambridge. Services are required. As are auxiliary services. And these must be together. It would be short-sighted however to not also consider the greater needs of a city trying to reinvigorate itself. The downtown core was a vibrant core. Over the past 20 years, it has gone almost to the verge of dereliction. Many people are putting time, energy and a lot of their personal investment into bringing the Galt core back. It is working. Galt has been identified as a massive potential for Cambridge. Its reinvigoration will help revitalize the city at large encouraging visitors, commerce and residents. Having visited many of these sites in other cities, including Vancouver, placement of these services is crucial. and has an impact on surrounding areas. 8 Oxford Street is too close to a vulnerable downtown core struggling to reinvent itself as a vibrant destination.
629. I do not support a CTS Location anywhere in Cambridge
630. Bad location. Too close to schools
631. I DO NOT SUPPORT ANY CTS LOCATION IN THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES.
632. I don't want a CTS in Cambridge at all.
633. Too close to housing and businesses
634. Its nice that it is closer to the downtown core, however the core has a heavier police presence that may deter people in need from accessing services
635. I do not support a CTS sit in Cambridge
636. same as above
637. I believe its closer to the downtown
638. I DO NOT SUPPORT A DRUG CONSUMPTION SITE ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE!!!!
639. Do not want Any CTS in Cambridge
640. See above comments.
641. None I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
642. I do not support cts in Cambridge
643. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
644. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
645. This site is centred in a residential area. An apartment building is directly across from 8 Oxford Street. Many children live here. This is one of the few areas in our city where real estate for young families is still affordable. We need a safe and secure neighbourhood.
646. NO CTS is suitable in Cambridge anywhere.
647. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
648. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
649. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
650. I do not support safe injection sites in our community.
651. To close to school, parks, trails residential area

652. I worry about the proximity to neighbours and residents as we already have a huge issue with crime and addiction being openly flaunted in Cambridge due to a lack of support or worthwhile rehabilitation services and centers.
653. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
654. Move them to a larger city. Stay away from Cambridge
655. I do not support any program that enable drug use. I support rehabilitation and education.
656. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
657. Oxford St isn't suitable, too many private residences, who wants to look out their front window and see that all day? No one, that's who!
658. CTS should not be in the city limits.
659. No, this should not be anywhere near any school, this should be put where there are residential areas. Should be the outskirts of Cambridge. No one deserves to have the crime and anxiety that goes along with this. If these people want the help, they will go to where the help is.
660. I do not want a CTS in Cambridge
661. It will bring down the whole city!
662. My wife's safety and mine is more important so I do not support any CTS sites in Cambridge. We had a meth house on Haddington St, and we are finally free of the addicts from Bridges coming to get their fix. Children should be able to play in their front yards and not have to witness addicts shooting up or smoking crack pipes. Theft was also a issue, we personally had 3 situations of that. We also had to deal with a male on some type of drugs walk up onto our property and sit on our porch. I believe these CTS sites jeopardize the safety of the community.
663. I am NOT in support of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge!
664. No one in Cambridge wants this stop putting it in our backyards put it in your own! What a stupid word, suitability, you actually think having zombies walking around high is suitable for our town?? we're pretty much Fed up.
665. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
666. Don't support cts sites
667. No cts anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
668. If you select this site, you will further be destroying downtown Galt. It is already overrunning with homeless and drug addicts making it unsavory to want to go and shop or feel safe.
669. 100% opposed to any CTS site
670. Too close to childcare centre and school. 200m buffer is too small
671. rehab is a better solution
672. This is in the midst of a residential area, an established neighbourhood that has been, and continues to experience issues with drug users and homeless as recent as people leaving the Bridges shelter and setting up in a vacant building on Oxford Street. Crimes increased drastically lately, thefts from properties, sheds and vehicles. Also, individuals high on drugs, passed out of lawns of homes where young families reside. Also, this site is a short distance from a Montessori Daycare and a Pluto Daycare whose children walk with their ECE teachers right past 8 Oxford on a daily

basis. This is the most inappropriate of any choice made by the City of Cambridge as a potential site. Many residents have lost confidence in the ability of council to represent and do what is best for its citizens.

673. I do not support the creation of a CTS site in which drugs are still used. Care for people properly, don't allow them to continue in addiction.
674. I can not see how putting this in this area would be safe at all.
675. Again, this site is not suitable; it is minutes within walking distance of day cares, family homes and schools.
676. It is too close to downtown Galt
677. None whatsoever
678. Cambridge will become a toilet of drug users and dealers anywhere within a 10km walk from the proposed sites. Do NOT allow these to happen or watch our city become a wasteland.
679. I Do not support any CTS Site in Cambridge
680. nice and close to the bridges
681. This is too close to Soper park and GCI
682. This is a very loaded question. Of course, you've "met" the provincial requirements, but the bigger issue is the fact that The Bridges is downtown, and residents and non-residents are causing a variety of issues. If The Bridges wasn't there, you wouldn't need a CTS site at all.
683. No
684. It's not suitable, people live in the area
685. I DO NOT support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
686. Get rid of all these sites they need help but not help to get more free drugs.
687. We don't think a CTS site is suitable for Cambridge
688. I believe that someone who wants help says so and then gets the proper help - like a hospice type place with all the help needed to get off alcohol or drug addiction or mental illness period! Should happen whether they have insurance or means to pay! Nothing else will truly work! But better the government spend 2 dollars and appear like helping instead of 10 dollars to truly help someone get to a place of being a self-sufficient person!
689. Too close to the downtown core and far from the hospital.
690. Too close to residential areas
691. It Is close to schools, day care and residential buildings. Put the site beside Bridges, stop the spread of needles and homeless in our community!!
692. If I new this city was going to allow this to happen, we would never have moved here 10 years ago. We see the mistake we made by doing so. Other cities do not tolerate this.
693. Able to expand and grow with increasing attendance. Closer to greatest area of need.
694. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
695. This is a residential area with young families - will you be also providing 24/7 security to deter dealers and how will you safeguard children - can you provide daily transportation to and from their chosen schools everyday.
696. Please do not build/operate this type of site.

- 697. Closer to downtown and area of need.
- 698. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
- 699. It's in a residential neighbourhood

Appendix 3 - Verbatim Comments about the suitability of these sites

This appendix includes the verbatim input received and responses provided to question 8 of the community survey which asked for comments or considerations about the suitability of Site #2 – 8 Oxford Avenue as a CTS site location. 744 individuals provided comments. These are numbered for reference purpose only and each number represents a different individuals' comments. Personal identifying information has been omitted. The responses were transcribed as submitted.

1. Treatment and affordable housing should be the focus.
2. Just get it done so we can save lives, it's been too long already
3. Neither of these sites is suitable and will cause harm to our community. Please listen to those who you represent and do not implement this site. We do not want it here. Provide shuttle services to Kitchener. Literally anything other than implementing more services for this behavior in Cambridge. It is not acceptable.
4. Cambridge does not need a safe consumption site. We need rehab and detox, and we need someone to step up and say, "this community is not safe, and we need to figure something else out". Bringing drug wars to town will increase the crime in this city. Citizens do not feel safe, and nor are they. Incidents are either downplayed by the police chief or not reported properly, in my opinion. A recent hostage taking and violent break-and-enter recently in this area where you propose a CTS site, was reported as a home invasion. I'm sorry, these people were carrying guns and held two young people hostages. If we can't get our own police chief to tell us the truth, then I can tell you there is a long way to go before convincing anyone in this town that we need additional crime.
5. Keeping people mired in their despair because it is the only funded project is just cruel. This is largely a health crisis that we are treating primarily as a social issue. It is out of hand because the necessary supports are not available but as long as we continue to waste dollars where they are least effective, we will never get the rehabilitation and detox beds, mental health supports to address the underlying hurt they seek to numb or supportive, affordable housing we never will solve this problem. A CTS does nothing to help the majority of those with substance abuse problems who die in their own homes still waiting for real help! Cambridge does not need a CTS site. We can't save everyone, but with the proper services available we may be able to stem the tide of future addictions. The pandemic has created an ever-greater need, so let's get on with REAL help, not accepting keeping them addicted.
6. I believe that any help should be affiliated with the hospital! Again, rehabilitate with emotional and mental support! Please do not subject your taxpayers to more frustration and fear! We have lived in our area for plus 20 years and have been exposed to criminal activity by ourselves and our neighbours! Again, please reconsider this solution! It is not going to alleviate the problem but add to it substantially! I would like to add that other cities have not placed one in a residential area!
7. Focus on better solutions with rehab, detox, treatment, prevention and education.
8. Oxford is likely closer to the real area of need.
9. terrible location, I will not travel to this area any more

10. These sites are too close to Manchester Public School.
11. Any site, if passed needs anyone from the community to be allowed to utilize mental health services if indeed they are a part of services. As CTS is funded with the public dollar and mental health services are sorely lacking.
12. I do not support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances.
13. It's really important that a site is set up close to where people need it! Our community members need these services.
14. No one in the city wants this. Did you even ask us? The taxpayers?
15. I hope input is being collected from knowledgeable front-line staff and organizations that understand the needs of this population and how they can be best served.
16. Maybe if a CTS is in the mayor's street I may support.
17. Why not 150 Main St?
18. Any option has already been vetted, so either one would be acceptable.
19. Why not use Shoppers's drug marts? A back door system they go in the Pharmacist watches then they leave! There are a lot of Shoppers drug marts so no one place will be affected by dealers and Pharmacies are equipped with naloxone and are trained! They can give the so-called wrap around services (there aren't any available) pamphlets off they go ... easy not one area will be affected
20. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
21. I do not believe this is a good idea in any way.
22. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge.
23. We do not need a CTS in Cambridge.
24. I believe safe needle disposal boxes need to be installed in parks and areas known to have drug users as well as Safe Injection Site.
25. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
26. There is already a drug problem, and our homes are not safe. Had many times ppl stealing my stuff from my yard
27. I do not support any CTS site in Cambridge
28. I live on Haddington Street in the middle of both sites, we have seen enough with a crack house on Haddington Street. Children on street should be safe to play and ride bike again
29. I don't support CTS anywhere.
30. Not interested and do not support this in Cambridge
31. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge.
32. I find these 2 sites are not suitable at all as they are in the middle of residential neighborhoods where families live. Just put it at the hospital. Not in residential areas.
33. These locations are absolutely not suitable for CTS sites. These would put young children in risk. These sites are too close to homes as well as daycares and schools.
34. My family will not support any businesses in the immediate area of either sites brought up in this survey. How can you do this to the local taxpayers. Shame on anyone who would even think these two locations is acceptable!
35. This is a service that is needed in the community. Users of this service are our family members who need help. As a community member I strongly agree with this service.
36. No CTS site in Cambridge

37. No consumption site only full treatment centers to get off of drugs not a consumption site to enable to do drugs
38. No no no to CTS in Cambridge!!!
39. I DO NOT want this here, the safety of our citizens is more important than those that will use this facility and steal from all in the area, that has been proven, our city has become a dump
40. Please listen to the people of Cambridge. I do not want my tax dollars used to purchase drugs for anyone. Please provide mental health supports and rehab services to our citizen in need.
41. Both sites, especially Oxford Street are terrible options. Considering these sites, when there is open real estate on the corner of Market and Ainslie seems poorly thought out.
42. It is important to have a site selected and to move forward with providing life-saving care as soon as possible.
43. I oppose this in Cambridge anywhere.
44. This will leave the "people of need" traipsing through a neighbourhood with back laneways, with full views of backyards, for future crimes. The area has ZERO lighting in the alleys and is a prime candidate for crime.
The site should be chosen to be a close as possible to the mayor's house. Ideally next door.
Alternatively, the site should be a fenced in area, with mandatory wrap around services, and no leaving the site until all services have made them drug free.
There is no benefit for having drug users in the city for one more minute. The adults in the room have to arrest them and get them sober and functioning members of society, with no clutching at pearls about their sob stories.
45. My family member lives across the street from the Oxford site and has been followed by transients walking to daycare. Also had a homeless man try to break into the home while they were at home. All the families in this area have small children. There are not one but three daycares within a very short distance from this site that aren't shown on your map. There was an armed break in recently on Oxford, so this is ridiculous that you are considering putting anything on this street.
46. Cambridge has changed a lot over the past 5 years, and not for the better. The crime is outrageous, the mayor won't even live here. The police are terribly underfunded and cannot keep up with the drug and crime activity. This town is no longer safe. This funding should go into supporting the police and not attracting more drug addicts and criminals.
47. They should not be anywhere here homes
48. Cambridge citizens are downright fed up with the city's current drug problem and associated crime. Enough already!
49. I feel the best way to keep residence from making a fuss is placing it in a quieter area where participants can seek services without the gockers of residents. These services have been needed for years. Thank you for doing this.
50. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge
51. There should not be any CTS sights in Cambridge. Council needs to reassess and find better ways to fight drug & theft crimes in this city. I believe the people of

Cambridge DO NOT want a CTS site in their city. Real estate (business & residential) around these areas will suffer. These sites are both in my neighbourhood, and I say no!

52. Cambridge needs services for mental health and drug addiction. It does not seem appropriate to put that resource right in the middle of a residential area. Easton street has better options for people to go after they visit the site
53. These addicts don't need a place to use. That is called enabling!! These addicts need detoxes and rehabs!
54. I do not support any type of CTS in Cambridge. We should be offering help to them to get off the junk. Do we supply and manage alcoholics with city tax money...? Nope drug users can get their crap for free from the city, but diabetics have to buy their own supplies. Do not put any in Cambridge.
55. Too close to someone's home in both cases. I don't live on either street so I can't endorse either, but I do live near the Galt downtown core. I want CTS in Cambridge, very much. But I think mobile is going to be the best way to serve everyone respectfully.
56. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
57. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
58. Think before you act. Neither area is appropriate. Save on ambulances and move it to the hospital where you have the care and the security. Please listen to my neighbours for once.
59. I hope that the city selects a site and moves forward with this much needed treatment in our community.
60. I want people to get help but the entire idea of this concerns me. Isn't it just condoning the illegal behaviour (drug usage)?
61. I do not support CTS sites in Cambridge whatsoever.
62. I feel for those living in the neighbourhoods impacted by homelessness and addiction as much as I feel for the unfortunate individuals that are painfully enduring these circumstances.
There is no easy solution but what is being addressed through other avenues to address the addiction issues and homelessness? There is a lot of emphasis on the CTS projects, but we do not hear anything of addressing the root causes or of being asked to complete surveys related to those specific issues.
63. I'm not versed enough in this discussion, but I don't understand why the consumption sites aren't closer to the downtown core and next to the additional wrap-around supports that will be offered. The bylaw keeping these services out of the core seems wrong and we should be meeting the people who need to access these safe consumption sites where they are at. Also, I'm making a big assumption in thinking that there are many people who use the services at Bridges will also need services of the CTS. If the discussions about moving the Bridges are accurate, I think that the CTS needs to either move with it, or there needs to be an additional site that is opened when/if the Bridges moves.
If you're so concerned about the CTS being 300m from a child care centre, why are you not concerned about the children who live in the houses and apartment buildings

right next door?

People needing to use a CTS should be treated with respect and compassion. We, as human beings, need to support these people who have clearly dealt with horrible trauma in their lives. The way we ignore the needs of marginalized people in our society is wrong and we must do better. Providing CTS's (as soon as possible) is an opportunity to do better.

64. SO much strong planning and effort went into the selection of these two candidate sites. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this--it is so needed!
65. There should be none of these sites in Cambridge. Our tax dollars should be invested in treatment programs, not consumption sites. If a site is built, it should be put in an industrial area near no parks of schools. The city can pay for free bus service to and from the site to amenities.
66. If you want a CTS, or whatever name it goes by, put it in at the Bridges where you'll have the audience for it. Nobody wants one in their neighborhood as it is. If you put it at the Bridges and offer it as a part of a service they offer to their clientele, you'll find a much higher use rate than the two places above.
67. I don't agree with either location and I don't agree that Cambridge should even have a CTS site.
68. Why are both of these sites located in East Galt where crime and drug use are already rampant in this area of Cambridge? If you insist on having CTS sites share the love with Preston and Hespeler. How about Ayr? What a great example for our children who will walk back and forth to school in front of these buildings and view drug addicts waiting for a fix. This is insane!!!!
Instead of offering a safe consumption site why not develop sites to deal with mental health.
My comments and others will not mean anything. The city will do what they want anyways.
69. I do not support a CTS site anywhere within the city of Cambridge.
70. No CTs in Cambridge
71. I do not support CTS sites in our community. Don't enable and provide drugs. Spend the money on recovery and getting off drugs.
72. I do not support CTS here, or anywhere else in Cambridge.
73. Stop these sites!!!
74. I DO NOT support a CTS at either location. Please listen to the tax paying, law abiding citizens of Cambridge that are opposed to this! We do not support this!
75. No one wants to talk about the people and residents that live in these areas. It's time to talk about their life and how it effects their quality of life.
76. Not only is neither suitable Cambridge is not suitable at all for this optionno to CTS site in Cambridge and no to the city council
77. No sites should be allowed. Start cleaning up Cambridge and stop catering to the druggies. Start working for the taxpayers in this city.
78. Neither is a good portion nor should not be continued. This will be a detriment to business and the residential inhabitants that want to live or continue or create business.

79. I used to like living in Cambridge. Now I can not wait to get out of Cambridge. No longer can one walk along the river, use the Paris trail or stroll the downtown core without being confronted by the addicts. There is human urine and feces in shop doorways, tent cities along the Paris trail. You can not stroll the downtown core without being affected by the number of people who are high and pan handling. Shame it used to be a nice place.
80. CTS does not work and is not suitable for Cambridge.
81. Having lived within a 1km range of the Bridges for 8 years, site #1 is too far away to support the area in need.
82. No CTS site ever in Cambridge, Detox and Rehab facilities only.
83. A consumption site will not help those addicted in any way. Immediate mental health support, detox beds, rehab with continued social support is the only way to help. These are people in severe mental crises with the complication of addictions who are unable to make any form of rational decision for their own wellbeing. This is where society steps in to help them move forward, clean and with mental health treatments until they are able to do so on their own again. Anything else is irresponsible and borders on cruelty.
84. I resided in the east side of Vancouver in the neighbourhood of a CTS site for 5 years. I have seen firsthand the issues that this will bring to the community. I am 100% for social services to address wellness and health; however, a CTS site here is not the solution. This is only adding to the problem. I strongly encourage the city to reconsider a CTS site in Cambridge.
85. The City of Cambridge should be embarrassed and ashamed that 8 Oxford Street made it onto a list for public consultation. As a taxpayer, debating this proposed location--- which clearly is a poor choice---is an insulting waste of time, energy, and resources, not only for the residents of this neighbourhood but equally so for prospective clients who would use the site. Do better.
86. The money you have wasted on studies, city planners, surveys, poor chose of site selections, you could have put that taxpayer's money to better use. Invest in Rehabs and Detox Centers. We (the taxpayers) are not paying for the supply of the drugs
87. Very disappointing & concerning that Oxford St is even a proposed location.
88. I don't support a CTS location in Cambridge under any circumstances.
89. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
90. Crime throughout Cambridge is increasing. Residents don't feel safe in their neighborhoods. Business owners are losing customers stepping over drugged out people sleeping in their doorways, or vandalized business'. Move these outsides of cities! away from where tax paying residents, childcare and businesses are trying to survive. Think about tax paying residents and commercial business' rather than catering to drug users. Users will find and follow all these free services.
91. Are you guys kidding me. There are children that walk right past where you are wanting to put this crap!!!!!! Doesn't anyone take that into consideration? My gawd, and why should us taxpayer pay for this. We are being taxed to death!!!!!! Enough is enough. NO CTS IN CAMBRIDGE PERIOD!!!!

92. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge. Locations near school and childcare centers are ridiculous to even propose. I work 100 feet from Bridges and the amount of time people have shot up in our parking lot leaving needles. Using our cars as toilet stalls. setting up camps. Fighting. Screaming and shouting at anyone who dares to walk by them. As an adult I feel fear and will not be in my office after 6 because it might get dark and I'm afraid to walk to my car by myself. You need to seriously reconsider any location near children and adults as well
93. I do not support a CTS site, but the Easton at is more acceptable.
94. Both sites are not suitable for the city of Cambridge. As a resident of this city and a mom of a young baby girl, I fear for her safety if these sites open up. Not only will going out for a walk along the streets be deemed unsafe, but also the possibility of my house broken into by the drug users, as has been seen multiple times! Kindly have these CTS opened away from homes, schools and parks where our children belong!
95. I do NOT support any of these sites and as a resident who is now terrified to live in this city, I never will support this
96. Do not put it in Cambridge. And please listen to the people of Cambridge.
97. People need help. They don't need a place to go to safely get high!!!
98. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
99. Drug services and treatment within city limits should be preformed at the hospital. Any other location would have severe negative impact on location of choosing. The services are definitely needed. But there are serious implications to these places set up independently in other cities. Hospital or community setup on a property outside downtown and residential areas is the only reasonable option.
100. There is no need to make these sites "convenient" as they will congregate wherever the site is. No site has to be in Cambridge and should be located in a rural setting
101. I realize this is a service that is needed in the community; however, the safety and security of all residents must be considered, and a residential neighborhood is just the wrong solution especially when the route from area of need will see all traffic going along the same path
102. Cambridge made a huge mistake building The Bridges let's not make the same mistake again! My vehicle was stolen last year from a resident of The Bridges. I live a couple blocks away from one of the sites and my daughter owns a home close to the other proposed site.
103. None are suited as they are residential, and 8 Oxford is within city core limits so not sure why it's on the map still.
104. Should be located outside of Cambridge and focus should be to rehabilitate people who take the drugs rather than encourage them to keep on taking them.
105. I don't want a site in Cambridge at all
106. I do not support any site
107. I am not supportive of the site in Cambridge
108. I do not support any CTS locations in Cambridge under any circumstances.
109. I do not support any of CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
110. I do NOT support any CTS locations in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
111. Do not support CTS at all. Yes, to rehab.

112. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
113. No consideration is given to the taxpayers that are getting stolen from to support people who don't contribute to society whatsoever. They steal for these drugs
Spend money on rehab, not supporting the problem
114. I do not approve of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
115. I do not support a cts site in Cambridge
116. You should not be putting a CTS in the middle of a family neighborhood. The CTS is needed for sure but not in children could be so close to interact with. It's not safe.
Putting it by the Delta is much better suited as it's not in the middle of a neighborhood
117. If you ask me what you are doing is misguided. It encourages and normalizes drug use. I admit I know some who believe this approach works but by far most people in my circle feel this is a bad mistake.
118. Why don't you place the site across the street from the hospital?
119. I do not support this
120. I do not support a CTS of any kind in any location within the city of Cambridge
121. Stop wasting money asking the same questions. Citizens have already said no.
122. As a city taxpayer who is constantly replacing stolen items from my property, I do not approve of any such site as the crime rates here have been enabled by such things.
Actual help is needed but this will only help those that want to be helped. I am afraid to go anywhere and enjoy the beauty of this city with my family. It's sad and only getting worse day by day.
123. I am happy that Cambridge is thinking of setting up a CTS site. This city needs it, and it could save lives. For those people that don't want it, I feel they think, that if there is no CTS site; then the drug problem goes away...not true. A lot of people also think that CTS sites makes the drug problem worst...not true. People also think that this is just a place for people to 'shoot up'...not true, and that is the only thing the city is going to do to help with drug addiction...again not true.
The City of Cambridge has always gone through these backlashes, like 'Cambridge Shelter for the Homeless (not far from me), 'Argus House' (not far from me), 'Haven House' etc., etc. Everybody makes such a big fuss about this, and shouts out "not in my backyard", but in the end it all worked out, and has made Cambridge better. The City of Cambridge should just go ahead with the CTS site, and it will all work out in the end also.
Thanks to everyone who has worked on this...greatly appreciated.
124. No site is acceptable for CTS
125. CTS sites do not work
126. Let the drug addicts kill themselves. No need to turn this city into another Toronto.
They made the choice to inject chemicals into their bodies they can suffer the consequences of the outcome. And if you got a problem with what I said I'd be happy to speak directly about it. Nobody forces anyone to stick a needle in their arm
127. Not in my city
128. A Cambridge treatment centre should be for all of Cambridge. Not all clients are at the Bridges. I thought the hospital was a good place or even near the Cambridge Mall.

129. Drug addicts have NO VALUE for anything (including children, pets, other people, other peoples' possessions). Treatment AND CONSUMPTION must be in an area in which drug users are not tempted to destroy, use, squat, leave unsanitary garbage, etc. where regular working tax paying citizens live. Downtown Galt will become abandoned by businesses especially with no TOURISTS (avoiding the area); investors will NOT invest when they realize the MAGNITUDE of the problem. I have been broken into twice. Two cars have been vandalized. Items stolen. And this issue is FORCING ME TO SELL MY HOUSE.
130. We have a beautiful tourist attraction in the downtown core area, even though it is important to help people and provide services the downtown Galt business need all the help they can get to people back into the area, especially after the last years trying times. We need to protect and help this area. The further the CTS is from the core the better, even if there will be no issues the psychological perception and optics will help the core.
131. This should not be within city limits, it should be at a secluded country location with shuttle service for those who need it, it should a rehab facility instead. CTS doesn't work.
132. Do not want this in Cambridge
133. The Delta is an accessible and community friendly site at a major intersection that can be accessed more easily from more areas of the city (including easy and direct access from the highest area of need in Galt). The Delta site can also be more quickly and easily accessed by emergency services. There is already significant traffic and activity around this proposed site.
The Oxford Street site is an utterly inappropriate location in the middle of a quiet residential neighborhood. It is surrounded by family homes, small apartments, daycare centers and alleyways. It is less accessible for all concerned.
134. Please stop trying to find a location for a CTS site in Cambridge. Consider a rehabilitation center and / or increased police funding and training.
Our city is ravaged by crime and homelessness. We need to motivate people to stop using, not help them use safely.
135. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
136. Our addicted community need support, counselling, health services, rehab BUT NOT a CTS site that enables and continues their addictions. Please stop this insanity. I do not in support any CTS sites in Cambridge.
137. Please demonstrate that the city council listens, because in local groups it is widely known/discussed that so far, the community has only been allowed to give input as a courtesy and voices are not being truly heard. Please step up and admit this is a step in the wrong direction. If these CTS sites move forward here, it will signal the beginning of the end of a good city. People who have lived here generations have been moving away the past couple of years and crediting the decisions to our municipal government and "Cambridge's finest" (not the police -- the rampant addicts left alone by the police to do whatever they want all over town). This city is in a state where it can either get much, better or much, much worse. Please make it better by making the right choice.
138. Do not support

139. Terrible idea doesn't do it will become a plague for years
140. I feel you should listen to citizens who pay taxes. They need help. send them to Langs.
141. I do not support any site in Cambridge!!
142. I do not support this. Please don't be part of this illegal activity!
143. Please do not put a Safe Injection Site anywhere in Cambridge
144. Has to be 24 hr surveillance on site with perimeters.
145. Stop providing for these addicts and put the money into long term rehab facilities to really get them off the drugs. Why help them by supplying them. Nothing is SAFE when it comes to addiction. It needs to be treated, not promoted.
146. I DO NOT SUPPORT CTS SITES ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES
147. Provide treatment, stop enabling!!!
148. No place is suitable, money should be spent on services to help them get clean not keep them addicted.
149. I do not support a safe injection site in the community. If we must provide a "safe place for drug use", put it in a hospital/health care provider building where they can be monitored. Make it mandatory the users on site the duration of their drug use.
150. I do not support any CTS location in the City of Cambridge under any circumstances.
151. These sites should not be located anywhere near schools and residential areas, 200 meters is a joke.
152. I think a CTS should be located somewhere on Hespeler Rd mixed in with the various commercial plazas. Not near residential neighbourhoods, schools, parks or the downtown. But still accessible by transit, and lots of parking available. Visitors/clients of a CTS along Hespeler Rd will not dominate the scene as they will be balanced out by all of the other customers visiting other shops in the area. I am still unsure that providing a safe place to inject is what we should be investing in- though have no problem providing the other social services that these clients need.
153. They are both too close to schools and businesses.
Those who consume drugs are a risk to society and should be treated at locations far away from children, teenagers and businesses trying to succeed.
I support any attempt to treat those who are addicted to terrible drugs but do so elsewhere. Do not "enable" them. Make them recognize that they must quit - for their own personal well being and our taxpayer's pocketbook.
154. You are putting our kid's safety and health at risk. these sites should never be in a city
155. Neither is suitable...needs to be away from residential neighbourhood...good site would be off Hespeler Road closer to industry...on bus routes with access to food services and away from resending area
156. Move the Bridges to the outskirts and have a CTS right beside it. Get it out of the Galt core where it is ruining things and making people feel unsafe walking even in the daytime! Unacceptable that this is even being considered.
157. I would say put it near the Bridges. This would put a Shoppers Drug Mart nearby as well. But Oxford St. is a suitable alternative.

158. Until crime is addressed in the city there is no room here for a CTS site. The city needs to separate mental health needs and drug addiction. For some reason the mayor believes they are one in the same issue.
159. I'm excited about this next step in getting a CTS set up in Cambridge. I'm also very interested in how service users would feel about the sites, and I would like their preferences/accessibility concerns prioritized in this ongoing process
160. Please keep the site out of the residential neighbourhood!
161. Do not add any CTS sites in Cambridge we already have a large problem with drug addicts ruining this city. Encouraging them to all congregate in an area is a terrible idea!
162. This site should be on Hespeler road closer to 401
163. I'm very supportive of CTS being built finally in Cambridge. This problem has languished for way too long and needs to be addressed. The success of Kitchener's Duke Street location only furthers the emphasis that this is needed in Cambridge.
164. CTS sites are the wrong approach. Look at East Hastings Vancouver or Seattle to see how ineffective and destructive these sites are! In East Hastings drug overdose deaths have increased! Don't enable! Rehabilitate! Put our money into counselling and rehabilitation. Wait times for those who want help to get off drugs are ridiculously long.
165. Why don't you consider putting it in City Hall? It should not be on a residential area period
166. We do need a CTS as presently usage is by the river or local parks with ZERO support (except for 150 Main St. and the Cambridge Self Help Food Bank)
167. A CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
168. A CTS is not suitable anywhere in Cambridge.
169. The help for these folks is necessary, but not sure this model is what is best for the community. If the community is not on board, and we are not, I can't see how the program will be a success. Good idea, poor execution, both terrible locations.
170. Please consider the impact this CTS would have been so closely located to a childcare facility if 8 Oxford is chosen. We need these quality care providers in our city and having a CTS so close to the school would have a negative impact on our future generations.
171. As I said earlier not in someone's backyard or schools
172. We should not have a CTS site in Cambridge
173. I still don't understand the need for a CTS. Why are we enabling drug users when we should be rehabbing them? It doesn't matter where you put it, the value of the homes in the neighbourhood will definitely take a hit. How is that fair to people who pay this city a good amount of property taxes and the drug users' contribution is a drain on taxpayer money because we feel, as a society, the need to provide them with a safe place to do drugs. I'm just left shaking my head.
174. No CTS in city limits!! Citizens who live here and pay taxes are tired of watching these people around town, tired of having their properties and belongings damaged and stolen. These people just think its ok to walk up on someone private property and help themselves to whatever catches their eye that day/night. We have had our gates kicked in twice, once damaging the attached fence so bad that we had to have it all

rebuilt. I've left businesses downtown due to people shooting up in front or hassling me for money etc. while I'm in a store. So, I no longer shop downtown for anything! Work on getting them out of here, not providing a place for more of them to use their illegal drugs.

175. A far better site is 150 Main - more services and willing host. What is also needed for these Cambridge residents is a play for daytime activities. Also, in a much better place
176. I do not support a CTS site at any location in our city.
177. I do not believe the sacrifices that need to be made by the community are warranted in anyway. Ex. Crimes. Physical danger to citizens. Unsafe public spaces due to needles etc.
178. If we don't start to truly address the problem with substance use and lack of services in Cambridge, the problem will NOT get better, it will not magically disappear, we cannot NIMBY this community issue anywhere else, it will get worse, and more people will die preventable deaths. The drug poisoning crisis will only escalate as it has over the last 5-6 years. I grow tired and exhausted watching the community argue over a CTS site that is so desperately needed. CTS is health care. CTS is health care. We need to stop spinning our wheels and take action.
179. If I had to choose then 8 Oxford St. I feel there should be one right beside or in the Bridges shelter this would benefit them the most. Not somewhere they have to walk to as they probably won't use the resources they have to do so.
180. Personally, I don't like either option or would prefer no site at all. I think you all need to focus on mental health instead of this band aid, but regardless of you will do what you do. Out of the two options presented I think Oxford St is better. It's close to the shelter which is really the only positive. Any homes/families in the surrounding areas will suffer no matter what choice you make.
181. People need complete rehab they do not need to be given drugs freely, have you not watched all the addicts walking downtown not even knowing if they are in this world or out of it, they are causing havoc for their families and abusing their elders,
182. The fix is in and engage is a joke. Just about as big a joke as Cambridge Council.
183. I don't support a consumption site period. Provide rehab and detox to get them off the drugs.
184. Neither site is suitable. This kind of site needs to be out of site I can't believe in all of Cambridge there isn't an empty building in an industrial area that is also on a bus route. Either site allows them to shoot up and then walk out in public and cause havoc. Do we not see this enough just driving through Cambridge? We have a beautiful downtown that is being invaded by addicts. It is enough to not want to go down there. What I don't understand is how this is the priority. What about the actual homeless people/families and the people that suffer with mental health? They have no place to go and no mental health treatment. This should be the priority. I am all for helping those in need of shelter and medical attention. Why do we continue to take care of addicts? They steal from people to get their fix and have no disregard for anyone but themselves.

185. The building located between Market and Ainslie that has space for lease would be a better choice. Lease the whole building and provide the services needed to help the clients get back on their feet.
186. I am opposed to having these very problematic, inadequate and unsuccessful sites anywhere in Cambridge. CTS are not a solution. My family members live a few blocks from the Kitchener CTS and the effect it's had on the neighbourhood is terrible but that is never discussed.
187. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in the city of Cambridge.
188. It is disgusting the way we have allowed addicts to take over our city... beggars filth safety all are concerns
Too many bleeding hearts think everyone can be saved a lot do not want to be saved Giving them money all they will do is buy drugs I don't understand how that is ok if the money you gave them caused their death....
We need more rehabs not consumption sites
189. I do not support an injection site in Cambridge
190. In order for potential future clients of the CTS to fill out the survey, requiring that someone has an email address they can access is a barrier.
191. These all have families around them
U already have people picking up needles and camps all over town
Do u want our children to turn into druggies with this environment, does our safety mater to.
Stop making Cambridge the new drug city capital of Canada
Please stop
192. I really don't think these are the best options, and I'm so discouraged that these are the best options we came up with in the entire city of Cambridge. If I had to pick, Easton Street makes a little more sense.
A CTS site is a good thing for this city. I firmly believe that. Why can't this desperately needed service be located downtown?
193. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
194. I don't support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge. You keep asking us and we keep telling you NO CTS. Please listen
195. I do not believe that a CTS should be established in Cambridge at all. I live in East Galt. I have previously lived in downtown Montreal and did not encounter there this level of crime, and this number of people with mental health issues walking around in the streets. It is clear that we have a disproportionate number of people needing help in Cambridge than in neighbouring cities - the solution is not to ensure that Cambridge is the #1 destination for people with drug problems in the area.
Rehab and treatment facilities are needed, NOT a free and steady supply of drugs. Moreover, these services should not be installed in the middle of residential areas. Why should homeowners be penalized? They did not have the opportunity to choose whether or not to invest their savings in a house near such a site. Does the city of Cambridge or the province plan to compensate all of the nearby homeowners for diminished property values, destroyed peace of mind, and lost enjoyment of their homes?

196. I do not support having a CTS site in Cambridge
197. Consumption sites should not be in areas where children and family congregate. Nor should they be in any of the core areas as they are already struggling. They need to be large enough to have wrap around services and
198. The Bridges ruined this city, I can only imagine what a safe injection site would do, and the people it would attract from neighbouring cities. I do not agree with a safe injection site anywhere in this once beautiful, safe city.
199. Get your heads out of your ----- and start looking after the residents that actually pay taxes to this city. The current mayor and council have ruined a beautiful quaint town. Such a shame! I know both sets of my grandparents would be saddened to know what their town has become! Shame on the Mayor, perhaps if you actually lived in our town, you'd have a better appreciation for it! The first of your many lies!!!
200. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
201. Money should be spent on lowering wait times on proper treatment such as therapy and detox. Not enabling the problem. All proper rehab is about lowering the bottom line to help, not continue the cycle of addiction and that's what CTS sites do.
202. I don't support "safe injection sites" anywhere in Cambridge!
203. I do not condone a safe consumption site in Cambridge. It is not wanted anywhere in this city.
204. We need addiction treatment and mental health services not consumption sites.
205. Against this. Free drugs aren't the answer. Support for those that need it should be. Not to mention petty crime in areas us high enough.
If it needs to happen have it at city Hall.
206. Put your sites by a hospital or out as far away from people and towns, teach them how to grow food and work. Get rehab or put in your neighbourhood mayor doesn't even live in Cambridge. They had Crack house on Haddington Street, and it took years to clean out and shut down. There are too many kids in this area.
207. DO NOT WANT THIS ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE WE HAVE ENOUGH CRIME
208. I do not support "safe injection sites". I support detox and mental health.
209. Counselling and something to clean their system from the drugs would be better than giving them free drugs
210. I don't support Site in Cambridge
211. CTS is not the answer for addictions. This is enabling. Sites have been set up in other cities and it has created other problems. People from outside of our city will come and use the site. We have enough issues with addicts in our city and the problems that come with that, that we don't need to attract more from outside our region!
212. I will not be using this service. I'm concerned that this survey is being forwarded to other constituents that, like me, are not in a position to provide input that will actually guide decision makers into choosing a location that will support our residents and help end this public health crisis. I sincerely hope that a more accessible and straightforward survey is being circulated through the demographic that will be using this service such that their needs are being met when the CTS is inevitably built.
213. One map that has not been published is a heat map of personal and property crimes as it relates to the areas of need.

214. I do not support enabling addicts or addiction. The city does not have the community support that is required to move forward with this project.
215. Please do not open a CTS site! This will not reduce drug use in Cambridge, nor will it reduce the existing theft issues within these neighbourhoods. I already fear for the safety of all residents of Cambridge due to the extremely high volume of addicts wandering these areas. Please do not encourage more drug use within this city, because that's all a CTS site will accomplish.
216. Please do not open a site in Cambridge
217. Please rethink this stupid idea. Helping people put needles in their arms is a joke. Save our city and taxpayers. Not the addicts. We need help.
218. CTS sites are not suitable for Cambridge. Take your ignorance elsewhere. Junkies aren't worth our tax dollars they made their choice they can live with it. We don't need more of a drain on our society helping them.
219. I do not support consumption sites in Cambridge.
220. They are both too close to schools and in residential areas
221. NO SAFE INJECTION SITES IN CAMBRIDGE. Cambridge residents who help pay for these services should have a say in how our money is spent and the need to KEEP OUR COMMUNITY SAFE!
222. I feel this site would be better located nearer the hospital where emergency services are present. There is good bus service to the area.
223. We do not need these sites, instead out the dollars into the cause of addiction and removing access to drugs on the streets
224. Too close in a beautiful old part of the city
225. Sorry, I donate to the Bridges and the Food Bank but the drug addicts in our downtowns have taken over our city. It is time to get full treatment beds and get these people clean. I don't go to any of the downtown restaurants or stores any longer because of panhandlers who are high and sometimes aggressive. It is time to put in full treatment mental health and drug addiction facility. Stop wasting our money of loose stop gap solutions. Our Region needs to start being more proactive on more sustainable solutions that do not continue to erode the rights of law-abiding citizens.
226. I do not agree with CTS in Cambridge
227. I do not support safe injection sites
228. A treatment site is needed not a CTS.
229. Our city has deteriorated a lot in the last few years. Increased drugs, violence, crime, etc. We should focus on that and work on a solution
230. There is enough crime in Cambridge. I don't feel safe anymore and I was born and raised here. These sites are just going to increase crime. Increase the risk of a child picking up a dirty needle. Keep this crap out of the city. We don't want them here.
231. Bad idea we have enough zombie walking around already
232. I do not support safe injection sites in Cambridge. I would only support rehab for addicts.
233. This is disgusting that we have these. It should be free rehab for all! Not somewhere you can just shoot up and be safe. Go to rehab or go to jail. That is, it. One way or another they will get clean

234. Both proposed locations for CTS site in Cambridge are a few minutes walk from my house. Everyday life in my neighbourhood is very much negatively affected by ever growing number of homeless and drug addict people on the streets, in the past 4-5 years. There is theft of property and 'break & enter' into cars and houses every night. Parks and trails are unsafe, full of tarp tents and garbage. People are scared to go out after dark, scared to go for a walk on trails, scared for their safety and their property.
Please imagine this is in your neighbourhood... would you like to have a CTS site next door?
Tax dollars should go to make a treatment centre to help these people put them back on their feet. Their wellbeing is important, and so is mine!
What is being done to protect me and my family from drug addicts roaming and destroying our life, property and the whole neighbourhood?? My business has been vandalized several times. No cameras or security system can protect from crime when there is no punishment for offenders.
I am over 50 years old, I worked all my life, I pay taxes and contribute my part to the society... does my life and well-being matters?
Please make sure my voice is heard, opposing to a CTS in Cambridge.
Thank you.
235. This city should be the first to stand up and say that these sites have value in society. It is quite obvious that they have no benefit whatsoever. They increase the crime rate in the areas in which they are placed and have a very low rehabilitation factor. There are far better ways of helping these people rather than enabling them to continue with their drug use. I have seen a lack of support for these illegal drug injection sites from a vast majority of voters in this city, but city council still forges ahead with installing and one of these places in the city. It is a sad statement of how our government listens to the people that they supposedly represent....
236. No area in the city of Cambridge is acceptable as CTS.!
237. People need help with their disorders or addictions, not somewhere to take drugs.
238. Providing drugs is a magnet for trouble. Where do these people come from? I've witnessed increased transients in the past 2 years in my area. Galt is becoming a centre for drug activity. End it now!
239. No CTS site in Cambridge
240. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
241. A SPOT TO CONSUME ILLEGAL DRUGS IN ANY RESIDENTIAL AREA DO NOT BELONG!
242. Cambridge should export these imported problems. To Toronto or other places that are already equipped to handle the flood of addicts and crime that follows. Using taxpayers' money to fund illegal drug use, safe suicide sites should be illegal.
243. I am not in favour of having a CTS site in Cambridge
244. We do not want any of these facilities in our city.
245. I do not support a site anywhere in Cambridge. Cambridge City Hall does nothing to control the ongoing issues with thefts, vandalism and harassment that the community

of Cambridge faces on a daily basis from the "addict's" that City Hall allows to run rapid within the community.

246. Don't want a consumption site in our city.
247. Allowing these encourages users from other areas to come to our city. Don't encourage this acceptance culture. Start doing more to discourage users and tents. You know crime cones along with the drug use so why proceed with this? How about law and order for the citizens who pay taxes and your salary.
248. It has been proven that injection sites negatively impact residential and commercial buildings nearby while not targeting the root causes of addiction
249. This is a biased survey not addressing any of the negative concerns surrounding a CTS site. 19 sites in Ontario not one in a residential area. Community safety - residential locations completely unacceptable
250. I can't believe Oxford is even on the table. You can not put this in the middle of a residential area.
251. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances
252. No CTS.
253. I don't agree with either site I think money should be spent on rehabilitation. If a site was to be opened it should absolutely not be in a residential area. All citizens have the right to be safe.
254. Again, the sites need to be moved further from the problem areas. The Galt core has been destroyed by these people
255. These sites are going to ruin our once beautiful city even more. Why not make detox centers? Rehabilitation centers?
256. Over the last six years, Cambridge has focussed on rebuilding and inviting new families to the city. While mental health and substance abuse continues within Cambridge, I feel that the 500-meter range from downtown should be reconsidered and that the most obvious CTS sites would be the bridges. The individuals who require services from the bridges feel safe and have built relationships, this would allow them to be more inclined to use the CTS site while providing a safe distance from residential and childcare centres/Schools.
257. I do not support CTS sites anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
258. No additional; comments
259. I do not support a SCS anywhere in Cambridge.
260. I think the general community has had more than enough chances to speak. I would like to hear from those most impacted by the opioid crisis and I would like to see our local government stand up for ethics and human rights and put in life saving measures. I would like the voices of the people who use drugs in our community to carry more weight than those who are not impacted. If it were any other population, the solutions would have been swift and without all of this back and forth. It has been a three-year conversation. In that time, we have lost hundreds of community members. It is time to truly care about that.
261. None of this makes sense.
Put the money into mental health and rehab. Providing drugs and places to do them does not solve the problem.

How about fighting for fair wages and world where you don't have to work 8 hours a day just to go into debt.

You ever think maybe the harshness of society and the major difference in wages versus the cost of living has a major role in peoples depression and drug use.

Help solve the cause. Don't feed the monster.

Think people think

262. Council should be ashamed of wasting time and money on even considering locations near my house thanks for making me ill from the stress...also there was no area of need until the city allowed the bridges to house more then original permit was signed for, battered women and children. not 30-year males who rob and steal
263. There should be no place like this
264. I don't feel safe letting my daughter walk alone in our area during the day... never mind evening or after dark. Just keep saturating the lower income areas until there is no safety left. Can't even leave things in my gated back yard without these people helping themselves. Thanks Cambridge
265. Don't want any here...put them in Kitchener rather than dumping Kitchener waste here
266. No further comments. Both sites are terrible options.
267. Should not be allowed
268. Looking to replace the mayor in the next election, no cts site in Cambridge!
269. I 100% do not support any CTS support sites. This will only enable drug users and ruin any businesses that are close to them and not to mention bring the value of any surrounding houses way down.
270. I do not think that either of these locations are good considering it is too close to highly populated areas that are homes.
271. I believe they should not be close to residential homes also not safe to be put close to daycares and schools
272. CTS continues the cycle of addiction. Money should be spent on lowering wait times on proper therapy/counseling and detox centers to bring up the bottom line to have someone want to get help. Enabling only helps kill people addicted to drugs. Myself, family and entire neighbourhood is opposed to this. We do not want this in our community.
I worked in Europe years ago in one of these sites. I am a child and youth worker and community service worker. I saw a decent hard-working neighbourhood completely destroyed within two years. Crime and violence went up and it ricocheted into surrounding communities as well. It is not a good idea to have this in Cambridge at all. I don't know what the answer is but having this in Cambridge is not the answer. It's not up to us to provide the answer except to say that this is a very bad idea.
273. Sites should be in Kitchener.
274. I don't want a site near my property, I live on Haddington Street, and we had a 5-year fight to get rid of the drug house in our neighborhood and the stealing from our properties. If we have to have a site in Cambridge then put the site out on Hespeler rd., the old Pizza Hut building or maybe in Preston or Hespeler, not in Galt area! I've had enough and to me they don't want help, they just want drugs! My tax dollars should not

have to go to addicts. I might as well let a minor drink because he wants it!

Damn it, I'm mad as hell!

275. We really must move ahead with a CTS somewhere in Cambridge. No location can be perfect, both locations seem like good candidates to me.
276. I'm against any site.
277. Drug addicts, dealers, weapons and the numerous homeless people in downtown Galt needs to be solved by Cambridge. I moved to Cambridge over 30 years ago because it WAS a safe city. It no longer feels that way. The last time actually went for a walk downtown, was 2 years ago at lunch time on a weekday. As I walked past a man walking with his daughter, approximately 8 years old, a woman on the opposite side of the Main Street shouted over "I'm going to murder you and your daughter". That was the last time because I won't walk there again, and I am willing to bet that man and his daughter did not enjoy their walk. I currently work downtown, near city hall, but as soon as soon as I can retire, I will be out of Cambridge. When a city finds it necessary to hire security guards to protect its downtown businesses there is a serious problem with the city. I don't see the presence of the CTS sites in the downtown Galt area being a fix for the very serious problems we have. A lot of my income goes to pay property taxes and I feel I can't enjoy being out in this city anymore. How many of the people my taxes are supporting are taxpayers them selves? How many of these people are even from Cambridge? It used to be annoying seeing dog messes on the streets and now its humans. Enough catering to the drug addicts, make this city safe for residents. Clean up the mess this city is in and make is safe for residents and students to live here then see if you need a CTS site downtown.
278. I do not want any CTS cites in Cambridge.
279. We need to stop offering drop-in services at the Bridges!! Yes, provide transitional housing services, counselling etc. for RESIDENTS of Cambridge. This drop in style programming just invites people with issues from outside of the city to come here. The more we offer the more people will come. If we add in a consumption site, we will now be offering a full-service package. Not sure how many more people we are planning to accommodate! Would love some statistics on how many people from Cambridge use these services and how long they have been in the city.
280. This is not a suitable solution for this problem.
281. Don't destroy this city!!
282. I don't want any sites here in Cambridge.
283. I do not support any location in Cambridge
284. Should not have a CTS site at all
285. No site wanted
286. The residents of this town do not want this implemented! These sites do not belong in Cambridge. The potential locations are directly next to our homes, children's schools and the places we grew up and still enjoy today. The town of Cambridge has lost so much beauty in the past 10, 5 even 2 years alone. Implementing these sites would have a detrimental negative impact to the residents of the city- the ones still fighting for our economy and city to thrive. Many GOOD people are moving further and further from this city, and they are being replaced with low/no income, no contribution, no-

futures addicts. If this town does not wake up, it will lose all of the people who have held Cambridge together, while it has been falling apart.

DO NOT PUT THESE SITES IN.

287. Identifying sites without a fulsome plan of what services are going to be offered is backwards. Our community can and should do better. People with substance use problems need to have easy access to a full complement of services, on site.
288. I DO NOT support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstance.
289. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge. Rehab and treatment are needed, not a steady supply of free drugs.
290. I realize that you will be near various amenities and locations when picking a location within a city, however both seem too close to childcare, schools, parks, and residential buildings.
While I understand the potential benefit for people who use drugs to have a safe space to do so, I also believe that the resources that would go into developing a site like this might be better used elsewhere. Specifically, to go towards strictly treatment sights and support to encourage reducing and terminating drug use and promote healthy choices.
291. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances. I support Rehab and Treatment sites, and strong police enforcement of illegal drug activity within city limits.
292. This is not only about bringing people to a facility to inject their drug of choice and supporting them in the event of an overdose. It is also about how they impact the community.
There are many communities already reeling from the increase in theft due to those people who are looking for a quick fix. Now you want to tell these communities that we have to accept people who are intoxicated in public, beside their home. Not just one person, but several.
The whole idea that the city can accommodate this type of service in the core should be re-evaluated.
Please, think of the impact on everyone - not just this one group. And when you come to the final decision, understand that this will have an impact on the communities that you may not reside in, but your constituents do.
293. We should not be building one.
294. We have enough theft and break ins in the area. Now you want to bring more addicts into our area. This is not help for them or us. If the mayor wants this so bad put it on her front lawn in Ayr. Get them real help and out of our downtown core so some of us can enjoy the small stores down there with out being verbally abuse.
295. As a community member, I support a CTS in Cambridge due to significant need for harm reduction and addictions/mental health treatment services.
296. As a citizen, owner of a business in Cambridge and have family here I am TOTALLY AGAINST ANY CTS SITES IM MY CITY!!!!!!
297. As a civil society, we are not in the business of dispensing free illegal opioids and associated drug paraphernalia to our residents. Rehabilitation is the way to go, with all necessary wrap-around services to compliment such a location. The disgusting and disregard of drug paraphernalia is disgusting! Associated crime, homelessness and

uncivil behaviour of drug addicts in either location will be a constant concern for residents and law enforcement.

298. I think another site should be considered in stead of these sites they are both near schools and houses where kids live by

299. These are in neighborhoods near schools. Get your minds together and understand that people do not want this. Put it in your neighborhood before mine. Then you can start making decisions about my area.

300. Please go with 15 Easton. It is big, has room to grow into a real hub and is easily accessible.

8 Oxford really makes very little sense because the area is so small so while it could be a safe injection site, you will need more than a strip mall to grow into a real social services hub. It is residential, the bus route does not pass through the neighbourhood like 15 Easton, there are at least four daycares/schools that operate within a short walking distance as well as a residence for our community's most vulnerable young men.

Cambridge needs to do something to provide for our community members who need safe injection sites, but you could do so much more with this space to provide services. Make this a positive decision into growing a whole health hub at 15 Easton. Show what is possible. I fear putting an injection site into a residential spot would mean it's just an injection site and it would pit neighbours against each other--an council would be dividing Cambridge even more.

301. Neither site. Do not support a CTS site in Cambridge

302. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances

303. I am not supportive of this being placed anywhere near residential homes. An industrial setting would be much better. Taxpayers are tired of needle and paraphernalia litter in our tax dollar supported public spaces not to mention risks to children. Kitchener has shown no net improvement in the number of needles collected. These people need some tough love and rewiring of their brains to get them back in to society as productive and contributing members. The volume of new residents coming into the core under new condo developments will not be supportive of having this in their backyard let alone business owners. Who are we serving here? The doctor who gets to bill nicely for administering "treatment", what favours are we doing for the users to improve their situation? You need to be able to show as a result of saving a life, what improvement has been made to that life.

304. Do not have a CTS site in Cambridge. Listen to the taxpayers. We do not want a CTS site in Cambridge. We the taxpayers should be able to decide this. If the mayor or council members want one, the only way taxpayers may allow is if it is in their backyard. If you want one locate it next to your house. Propose a property close to your house and the taxpayers may decide to allow it.

305. Thank you for exploring options for our vulnerable population. Try to co-house as many services as possible and get a top community org to manage

306. Addicts should not be treated with drugs. money wasted. a program should be in place to help them get off drugs and become contributors to society.

307. Not suitable site.

308. Both are a bad idea. This city is no longer a nice place to live. The current city council is destroying it. The crime level here is so bad and you do nothing about it. And now you want to give addicts and place to go get it for free. And how is this helping them! Give them a place to get help and clean and off the streets!
309. It has been more than a 3-year battle to get a CTS site in Cambridge and I am greatly disappointed. In that time, hundreds of our community members have died- many of these deaths have been preventable but weren't prevented. I feel that it is important to stop stalling as a community and provide basic human rights and dignity for people who use drugs in our community.
310. While this project putters along slowly for the last 2 years, our community members have been dying.
311. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge
312. I think they should put a site right next to the mayor's house and if she is ok with that then good if not then don't put it in Cambridge and don't ask why we don't want this. Solve the problem Rehabilitate back into society drug-free wake up
313. I do not feel that a CTS location should be established in Cambridge. You are only contributing to the huge drug problem that already exists in this city. Let's not turn a blind eye to the drug problems in this city. Let's have safe places for people to live and medical help to get them off of drugs, not encourage them to do more. This is just a copout for not providing services to get people off of drugs. Let's address the problem and not just put a Band-Aid on it.
314. Please Reconsider
315. Consider sites in the vicinity of the hospital. Current location of Bridges should not be an influencing factor.
316. I have heard strong opinions from residents of Cambridge being opposed to this. However, it is needed and the people that would use this site deserve our help. It is our duty to help them.
317. I think oxford is the better site but please just pick one of them and get on with it! People's lives are at stake and the dithering that has been done needs to stop.
318. I do not support any site
319. Proximity to the area of need may be the most important factor in this decision. The difference between a 6-minute walk and a 14-minute walk could be the deciding factor in saving a life.
320. Neither are suitable - too close to people's homes, school's daycares.
I live on York Place right behind Oxford and there is a dead end on York and a lane way. This will be prime area for addicts to hang out etc. There are young children that live RIGHT there.
321. There are sites in KW, give a bus pass to those who want to use them.
It is shameful that this is even being considered.
322. We need a CTS in Cambridge - either site is suitable.
323. Honestly both these sites -----, One (oxford st) is near 2 daycares and 1 school and is nestled deeply into a residential neighborhood that is already experiencing the impact from the bridges and the other is near a school (Easton st), my only pro of that spot is that it is not as nestled into a residential neighborhood.

324. Either places will be great, and this is something that our city needs!
325. How disgusting of you to cater to thieves, there is a daycare and school a block away from Oxford St. We had our car broken into 3 times and had to pay \$1500 deductible out of pocket for repairs and you want to provide these people the opportunity to shoot up even more?!? Buy them the same bus tickets they used to get here and ship them out. If you are forcing this bullshit on residents, I expect the city to FORCE the landlords to charge rent accordingly if we are to live in the ghetto - considering this building was here way before Bridges. These people steal, break property, do whatever the fuck they want, and you guys are enabling this. Look at what you allowed to happen to downtown Galt - what was a beautiful core to walk around in now can't even walk from the car to a storefront without being TOLD to hand over money. YOU GUYS ARE A DISSAPOINTMENT TO RESIDENTS FOR ALLOWING THIS - If you want the CTS, put them in your garage and you live with the constant ----- us residents have to deal with. WAKE UP!
326. There is already a large problem with the homeless in the area. That created subsistence abuse problem as well. That has caused a secondary problem with discarded needles. As a resident of this area, none of this was welcomed and only forced upon us. We have suffered enough and want our neighborhoods back.
327. We love our home which is very close to these sites, we don't want to leave, we don't want to put up extra security. We don't want to be outside our home trying to protect it from drug dealers who will be lurking or drug users looking to steal our property to pay for their illegal drugs
328. I would prefer places closer to the bridges and other areas that are in more need of these facilities. Making them as accessible as possible is key to their use and having them centralized with other ongoing services may be better suited for their use.
329. This should be placed where it's needed most, following evidence-based medicine guidelines. This should not be a political matter.
330. Please don't do this. There are too many children in these neighbourhoods.
331. I completely disagree with you putting this in a neighbourhood where tax paying people own their homes. We are not safe due to the amount of drug addicts that we have roaming around already. We pay taxes to live in a safe neighbourhood, we pay taxes to have a police force to help when in need. Everyone in my area has had at least one run in with a drug addict coming on to their property or stealing something from the property. We do not feel safe. Police can never do anything about it. Move bridges closer to the hospital or police station. Your injection site moves to the hospital or police station. Stop putting us at risk.
332. Neither site is suitable, however, if the choice had to be made, 15 Easton St. is farthest away from school routes.
333. We don't want a safe consumption site.
334. Too close to residential properties
335. The criteria for suitability outlined here is prefaced with the use of casuistry. 200 metres from childcare centres? What that equates to is less than two blocks, in other words, not far. These potential sites might not be zoned residential, but one is directly adjacent, and one in the very middle of residential neighbourhoods. Also, the proximity

to public transit, I don't think is a selling feature to the drivers and fellow patrons and service providers. I live nearby these site locations and have experienced several break ins and thefts to my property, with many thousands of dollars of stuff having been taken. So have my neighbours. Putting a site that will act as a magnet to those who perpetrate criminal activity from not only our city but from neighbouring cities as well is negligent to our community. I think ample consideration should be given to the law abiding, tax paying citizens who contribute to our society and not the illegal drug taking criminals who parasitize it.

336. Setting up the site within the city limits is extortion.
337. Both sites are far to close to where a mass amount if children play. I don't object to the need for one of these sites, but they don't belong anywhere near where there are children. Common sense would dictate that you shouldn't have to be told this.
338. 1)The cost of the site is astronomical especially when complete renovation gets added onto the cost. 2) provincial guidelines for distance from children are absolutely ridiculous. It should be much farther away
339. Stop trying to put these sites in town close to any residential areas. We have so many problems with the people from Bridges running through our neighbourhoods stealing and breaking into vehicles, shed etc. and taking anything that isn't nailed down. It is absolutely ridiculous that there is any consideration for this kind of program to be put anywhere within areas that are occupied by homeowners/renters.
340. No CTS sites anywhere in Cambridge
341. This does not belong in our city of Cambridge
342. These sites are not the answer we want our city back. I want to be able to take my daughter out for a walk/park without fear of seeing or being approached by these people or finding any of their needles around. This is not a good environment to live and raise our children in. This should NOT be ok. Something else needs to be done with these people. And whatever it is, not here in Cambridge or waterloo region. The amount of crime and drugs/overdoses and homeless on our region is ridiculous and unacceptable! These people need help not just by giving them clean needles to use, you're saying it's ok to do drugs! these people need to be moved and off our streets and into rehab or some sort of community for them. Away from "normal society " I should NOT have to be afraid to take my child out and neither should any other person in our community! Very frustrated, disappointed and angry that this issue has gone this far.
343. There should be NO places like this.
344. Consideration must be given to the residents that will be impacted by illegal drug use, intoxicated persons, and crime related activities. Security to residents should be considered. These sites are too close to schools, daycares and residential neighbourhoods. Unfortunately, you cannot ignore the reality of crime and problems that occur around CTS locations. This option of sites only services the homeless population, not the suburban user that may also overdose. These 2 sites are far from wraparound services.
345. Easton is more central to service a larger area of the city without having to draw clients to downtown Galt where great efforts have been made to revitalize and draw business

back. Have to face facts that most folks recoil at the sight of an addict, challenged or homeless person.

346. I don't agree with consumption sites. It's only prolonging the problem not fixing it. Increasing mental health and addiction services are the answer but Cambridge has cut these services. So, feeding addicts with more drugs?? Not my idea of an answer to the problem.
347. Not in city
348. Easton St. seems like a good location. I am hoping that Safe Supply will be incorporated soon as part of the plan.
349. STOP MAKING CAMBRIDGE A HOME BASE FOR DRUG ADDICTS.
350. I live near this location and work in the prison. Inmates go to the bridges and come around this area and with the safe injection site it will make them come more. I am concerned for my safety if I encounter them if they come into this area. This would be very bad if they knew where I lived. Also, a child in the family plays around this area and the worst thing would be for him to be around these individuals drugged out.
351. I think there might be a better spot downtown Galt or Preston area
352. Put it in city hall, where our tax dollars go
353. CTs sites should not even be considered in any residential /school zones!
354. While I've chosen Easton as my primary choice, either one could be made to work. What I think City Council should be focused on is the urgent need for this service and the need to open is soon. We have been too long with no service and increasing hostility toward the homeless, sufferers of poor mental health and addictions. A CTS added to other services provided/funded by the Region, the Ministry of Health and other provincially funded service providers working collectively could go a long way to changing the atmosphere and environment of the city.
355. I am NOT support of a safe consumption site within Cambridge city centres. I think if there has to be one that there should be social workers, mental health supports on hand to focus more on getting them OFF drugs and into supports for mental health and addiction recovery programs. Don't enable them in their addiction but HELP them out of the addiction. These sites should be in outskirts of town, far from children. Drawing them to a site is ridiculous and dangerous to the businesses, children and residents close by the sites
356. It really does not matter to me where the site goes. I'm just pleased that we are finally identifying the need for a safe injection site so that our city, province and country can move forward.
357. Being close proximity to schools, daycares, public parks, or places where children can be influenced or harmed is not found to be appropriate. We believe that a CTS site isn't necessary or desirable for the people of Cambridge and should be left to Kitchener or Waterloo areas. For Cambridge to grow, we need to clean up or homeless and drug situation to start the drop in crime. To do this, we need to forgo the CTS and build a better future.
We find neither site to be of interest nor of use.
358. These two sites are not suitable due to the issues and general welfare of the public. There will be safety and security concerns regarding the effects of user's activity prior

and after affects of use. We have more than enough problems in our city that are not being addressed and we do not need any more added with these sites. People do not need to be enabled in continued addiction.

359. Smarten up.
360. Would rather not have a site but #1 is preferable than #2
361. I think both locations could work
362. We should have no sites in Cambridge.
363. people who use drugs are our neighbours and community members - we should prioritize ensuring services are accessible
364. 1 is too close to residential neighbours and children
2 is too close to businesses. I think it would deter people from doing business there.
365. CTS sites are a joke of a concept that should not be entertained. For our community and our children's future, inviting more drug users to Cambridge making it easy to get their next fix shouldn't be ENCOURAGED. Absolutely ridiculous.
366. CTS site should not be in residential areas
367. Feedback has been loud and clear for the beginning - Cambridge citizens, me included, do not want a CTS anywhere in Cambridge. We should stop wasting taxpayer's money on consultants and surveys and just put the issue to bed. No CTS in Cambridge. There is so much wrong with this I do not know where to begin. Let's first just reflect on the fact that we would be creating a service to complement the activities of drug dealers - people that sell poison to our children. Does the city / region want to cooperate with these criminals? because that is what a CTS is, it is public infrastructure to support criminal activity. Our own police department has already said they will not enforce the law around these sites - why would we build one? Next, the proposed benefits do not exist, the data simply does not support the idea that the sites save lives, anyone that says otherwise is not so surprisingly trying to open one. Encouraging / normalizing drug use is not helping those in need - this has been the overwhelming message from countless recovering addicts. Instead of this shameful idea, lets invest in things that will help people that are struggling such as: 1) Vigorously enforcing the law, drug and property crime are devastating our city, but the police and courts don't take / keep criminals off the streets. The city / region should demand better from these organizations and spend money there instead. 2) Housing support, it must be harder to keep clean living on the street, people should have better access to housing. 3) Treatment options, if people want help, they should be able to access it, current shameful wait times are not acceptable. At the end of the day the addict should have two paths to choose from, one of treatment and support, or one of crime resulting in prison time - either way they are put on a path to get off drugs. Sadly, we've already taken both these paths away, what we are left with is this gray area of being allowed to continually break the law, but also live free with the rest of us - Cambridge has seen this happen before our eyes over the last few years and seen the pain and suffering that results for addicts and the community at large - a CTS site is just taking another step down the path of this terrible experiment.
368. We don't require any of this in Cambridge

369. Neither of these sites should be considered suitable candidates. Think about the people living beside or in close proximity to these sites. There are multiple schools that extremely close to both locations. The city seems to care more about addicts than the safety of children travelling to and from school.
370. My family is moving because of this. It will not work. Rehab yes. No injection site. The city is making it worse.
371. Again, both are too close to residential areas. 15 Easton right next to residential home, 3 yards away!!! This is crazy, use the hospital, use a ward for this and stop spending taxpayers' money!!!
372. Do not do this to the people of Cambridge, both sites are too close to schools and are in residential areas. Put it downtown where the drug addicts are or put it close to the hospital.
373. I would like to know why these sites are not located across or at the hospital, or by the police station. All the services would be readily available for them and transit also. Plus, better security available if things go wrong. Or possibly put it in one of the small rooms on the main floor of the Cambridge City Hall.
The ideal site would be in the downtown core where service users are located so the Oxford site is better but not ideal
374. If the drug problem is only in downtown Galt area, then the solution would be to contain it in the area, 150 Main Street is the Regional Health unit and has many of the services required on site already. City Hall can provide space if needed.
375. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
376. Please reconsider your decisions, keeping in mind that these people should get professional help, use the money to get them help, not encourage them with poisoning their bodies.
377. Should be close to the police stations so quick reaction time and crime reduction
378. We do not support any consumption site in Cambridge. Do not want any of our tax dollars suppling people with drugs.
379. Please make the right decision. Thank you for taking my voice into consideration.
380. Again, I don't know much about the Easton site, but I pray that it is in a responsible area. Site 2 is definitely an irresponsible choice. Please be VERY considerate when choosing. I have no problem with addicts being a recovering alcoholic myself, but it absolutely does attract bad people who exploit our weakness, and it is a very, very toxic drug that kills people. I have known many, many people who have died from this addiction. If you've ever been to an Narcotics Anon meeting you would know that it kills a LOT of young people. Something more needs to be done than just enabling it to go on. It is depressing to me that this problem is ever growing.
381. To close to schools and students walking to and from. We need to put more money into helping kids with mental health before they get to young adults who experiment with drugs to begin with. I believe in the sites to help people and give support not as a place to do their drugs then go. Street drugs are illegal. We are sending out a very mixed message to future generations. Then there are the seniors who are retired and have to pay for medication or Diabetics who all stuff is not covered for, but we are going to put money into a site for people to do their illegal drugs. There has to be another way.

Maybe start with a place to help mental patients who don't have medicated drugs they need.

382. Clear out city hall and put it council chamber. Put next door to our mayor's house
383. I don't agree that my tax dollars should be used for any of this, nor do I believe that the mayor (who does NOT even live in our city) has an right to make this decision
384. Please consider not having a CTS anywhere in Cambridge and in particular anywhere close to Galt. We have borne the brunt and burden of managing and supporting homelessness, drug activity, and "unwanted" activity for far too long. If need be, place the CTS right on Hespeler Rd in the middle Of Cambridge. Let's share the burden and disperse the concentration that is in the tiny downtown. No one will stop utilizing business in Hespeler Rd as it is a drive to destination shopping and service area. Downtown Galt is pedestrian. Too up close and personal With street people. We can't sustain our lovely downtown with anymore of this activity. We are over social serviced in a tiny space. But in the end - choose spending money on recovery, which is badly needed, not sustaining addiction which at this level is a monstrous killer even with CTS.
385. WAY too close to an elementary school and the downtown core. When council promised they'd keep it out of the core they didn't say it would literally be one street over from the core....
386. CTS is not the solution; it is a cop out. You cannot hide the problem in 4 walls and give out drugs. Nothing changes. Long term drug use damages organs, literally kills people overtime. Detox and treatment are the only solution. Effective treatment centres may cost more but it is the only way to get people off drugs and give families back their children that turned to drugs. I want to be part of a city whose officials want to make a difference and advocate for real change with treatment centres that gives people their lives back.
387. Neither of these locations should have been a consideration. Only the hospital would be adequate to house a CTS as they already have all of the resources available to mitigate issues that a CTS would bring to the immediate vicinity that it is in. We currently have services available such as OATC Cambridge Clinic, Emerald Addiction Treatment Center and Renew Medical Clinic to supply Access to social services, primary care and treatment supports on site or by referral including mental health support. To house a facility other than a hospital that has existing resources already in place that allows for the consumption of illicit drugs in or near a residential neighbourhood is irresponsible.
388. As a realtor and homeowner in the city I believe that the Easton property is a better location. Property values will be affected if put on Oxford st and it is already tough enough of an area.
I personally don't support a site at all but if I have to choose from the 2 sites then Easton it is.
389. CTS sites have not proven to be effective on a large scale. Crime rates in our city will increase

390. Please keep are city Drug Free for our whole community's sake I beg you do not turn our beautiful city into Skid Row
391. As a mother of young children, we come across drug paraphernalia dispensed by the government in parks and trails already. Something other than enabling needs to be done.
392. Oxford street would be the best location as it would provide all the services needed in a CTS (like the one in Kitchener) and is closer to the people who need to access it.
393. Very little information has been provided to those of us who live in the immediate area of a proposed site. Accurate information and education are important. What has the impact of the CTS in Kitchener been on the surrounding neighbourhood? Why has there been no opportunity to speak with a representative of a Kitchener neighbourhood association who have experience living close to at CTS site? This may help to allay some fears, or it could confirm that there is a negative impact, but at least it is information. Has there been an impact on property values? You are asking for input without providing much in the way of information.
The diagrams provided make no mention of drug use in other parts of Cambridge. Are we to assume that overdoses only occur in Galt?
What is happening with the Bridges? At one point there was mention of looking for a potentially larger/different location. Is that work still on-going? Both of the proposed CTS sites seem focused on the Bridges in terms of location. What happens if it moves away from the current location, but a CTS site is set-up nearby? Will that site relocate as well?
394. No CTS.
395. Unbelievable that either of these sites could be recommended by staff. Show me some research that CTS sites work effectively in a residential neighbourhood. Explain where these drug users go when they leave the CTS. Kitchener and Guelph have sites away from family homes. You are proposing it in my front yard. Have been trying to be objective since the whole idea emerged. Now it's getting personal. I don't want my quality of life affected for the sake of a few individuals in need. I don't want to take a huge hit on property value in a place I have lived for over 35 years. While all lives matter, it has to be balanced against the needs of all (including taxpaying) citizens. Absolute hard NO to both sites.
396. Instead of enabling addicts we need to provide rehab and detox. If a location has to be opened the only suitable spots are the hospital or 150 Main St.
397. These two areas are residential with plenty of seniors and children taking walks and playing in front yards the conception site should not be in a residential area should be at a hospital or designated walk-in clinic.
398. A suitable location for a facility such as this is on the outskirts of the city away from residential areas where families with children are nearby. It should also be located nearby a police station.
399. We do not support a safe consumption site in the City of Cambridge
400. No consumption site is needed in Cambridge!!!!!!!!!!!!

401. We do not agree with the CTS sites, nor do we want them in close proximity to our or anyone else's residential neighbourhoods. Instead of helping them get high safely, how about helping them get off the drugs!
402. Decisions should be made regarding site selection based on convenience and potential success of the program. Access to public transport and other services are critical issues.
403. Please look at putting this near the hospital. Support services could be located there. Might take a bit longer to achieve this but it would better suit the community as a whole
404. I think City Council members should consider approving the location of a CTS site as though it was on or near their own property/street/business. My concern is for any residential homes, schools, parks or daycare centres that will have a CTS near it which makes it nearly impossible to select an appropriate location. I do not want an East Hastings St in Cambridge.
405. Could it not be closer to the Bridges? Maybe a commercial location close by?
406. What about doing the CTS within the Bridges? Or what about moving the Bridges and doing both the shelter and the CTS at the same location?
407. I do not support any CTS anywhere, in any location, in Cambridge, ON! It is ridiculous to even consider placing any CTS in any residential area. Especially when children will now be at risk as well as plunging property values.
408. We built Bridges into the side of a hill. There is never going to be room enough to expand that site into a really well functioning program. I don't think residential areas are suitable for rehabilitation. Buy a farm. Make it a peaceful place where healing is probable not just possible. We can't seem to take the drugs off the street so remove those most affected and give them half a chance with strong, well-run programs and experts running them.
409. Not in favor of a cts site in Cambridge
410. It would be helpful to see some data about how the Kitchener CTS site vetting process went and how the final location performed in terms of the considerations listed above. If a link could be added somewhere on this survey or following surveys, or sent by email, that would be of interest to me.
411. Please do not put in a residential area
412. Please, there are homes here, outrageous! Keep it downtown where all of their other "services & resources" are.....Get these people real, help, this is a band aid solution.
413. I don't believe there should be a CTS location. There should be consequences for drug addicts, not "safe consumption" sites to encourage drug use. That said, from the two sites 8 Oxford seems less invasive to residents.
414. If we need to put one anywhere, put it at the local hospital where it belongs. This is a health care issue so keep it out of residential zones and keep it in a properly zoned area, such as the Cambridge Memorial Hospital.
415. I would consider adding another option that is closer to downtown, I know Public Health in 2017 identified 150 main St as a potential location. I would highly recommend you reconsider that option now that the public is aware that it could end up in a residential area. Plus 150 main is in the core of downtown which is where the need is. Please take

the feedback you receive and prioritize the future potential clients. Look at their feedback go with whatever option they decide is best. People who use drugs are so of the biggest advocates for safety of the residents and children.

416. I do not support cts anywhere in Cambridge

417. I'M AGAINST CTS SITES IN BOTH LOCATIONS

I live in the area for many years and have witnessed the increase in crime which I have personally experienced and had my home Break and entered. Police can only do so much. I no longer feel safe as a resident since the increase of crime and drug use in the city/ and my neighborhood especially after the Bridges opened. I've found needles and drug paraphernalia within both the proposed CTS site locations while out for walks. Tent city's set up everywhere in Cambridge. It's not fair to tax paying citizens to be putting up with what our Mayor of Cambridge has allowed this city to become. City should make drug testing mandatory and not enable these programs. Provide mental health services in government buildings, not safe site injection sites. This is too close to home, too close to schools. We need to think about the residents and children not someone who can't live life without drugs.

418. Re site 1: Concern for possible increased discarded paraphernalia; we've had it in our front yard hedge across the Delta intersection and even had addicts sleep on a bench on our porch leaving their waste food and used drug gear for us to discard, addicts likely from Soper Park area or from The Bridges on Ainslie; hence we are somewhat biased already, although we do donate Xmas turkey or ham and periodic dental care materials to the Bridges. Thank you.

419. There's also a concern of the clients loitering in the nearby neighbourhoods before and after accessing the CTS services. If there's a high influx of clients, or when the site isn't accessible, there's another concern of inappropriate acts on residential neighbourhood properties in regard to lack of washroom facilities or sheltering during inclement weather i.e., setting fires to keep warm.

420. At the end of the day, I hope to see a CTS site in Cambridge to serve those in need. Addiction and substance abuse are mental health issues and should not be stigmatized. Our community needs to come together to help those in need and support the facilities and infrastructure that will lead to better wellbeing and overall health of our friends and neighbours.

421. A consumption and treatment service site should not be anywhere near residential homes, schools or daycare. We are trying to teach our children that drugs are not good and for them to see people walking into a building and coming out even more intoxicated is not sending a positive message. People under the influence of drugs and or alcohol near schools and school aged children worries me, my family and my friends immensely. I was in our lovely downtown Galt core when a lady, no doubt in my mind was high on some sort of drugs, told me that she was going to kill me and her friends from mars was going to help. I no longer shop downtown Galt in fear of the people that are intoxicated due to drugs. How is that safe for our children?
Please find an area that is away from family homes and schools.
Thank you in advance

422. Absolutely against CTS. I do favour Treatment centres. I don't support enabling addicts.
423. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
424. There is a huge problem with homeless addicts in the downtown core and surrounding area ever since the Bridges was established and now you want to add more centres!? The city needs to give its head a shake! Nothing like walking past human feces on the sidewalk when going to the bank and having to step over an OD in the bank kiosk (I called 911). Homeless encampments everywhere with used needles littering the area (give out clean needles but don't bother cleaning used needles up). City works have sharps training but shouldn't be responsible to clean up all these used needles!
425. How could you possibly think putting something like this in our city is acceptable and a solution. And then to suggest it goes near a high school. You are asking for substance use in teens to rise.
CTS is not a solution it supports use and prolongs death.
Put in rehab and supportive services. CTS is NOT the answer. Stop encouraging the addicts to be here. What was once lovely city is now trash and is dangerous.
426. Cambridge do NOT need a site like this! The city it's going to be ruined if this happens and the people and investors are going to leave the area!!
427. I am outraged at the lack of priority given this project, leading to the all-too-slow response. Especially given the progress shown in other municipalities. This response has tainted my view of our otherwise efficient city council
428. Glad to see that we are moving forward with establishing consumption & treatment sites. Mental health, addition, etc. are often ignored in our society and people need help!
429. No rehab, no solution. Period.
430. We can solve the problems with addiction in this city by implementing an addiction passport. People should be required to show clean drug tests in order to participate in society. Otherwise, they should be kept in quarantine until they are cured of their illness and can pass a drug test. Freedom is a privilege, not a right. We've all learned that over the last year and a half. Let's us it to our advantage and clean up our streets.
431. I DO NOT support any CTS location in Cambridge, Ontario.
432. You don't raise the quality of life in the city by playing to the lowest denomination.
433. Please find a group of people who are strong in their faith to minister to the needs of the addicted. Theirs is as much a spiritual need as it is physical and psychological!
434. People need to be taken off of the streets. Not given easy access to questionable services and thrown back out the same day. We already have safety concerns and limit our visits to the proposed areas because of this. The city should be working to reclaim the core and its image, not add fuel to the fire of this illness.
435. Please move this to a different city! Ours is bad enough! I live near the Delta, and I already kick homeless crackheads out of my back yard almost DAILY!!!! and I have young children!!! IT IS NOT OK!! MOVE THE BRIDGES AND THIS SITE OUT OF OUR CITY OR BUILD A REHAB AND ACTUALLY TRY TO FIX OUR CITY!!
436. Site one while further away from Bridges is less impactful on residential neighborhood.

437. The past 5 years my family and I have witnessed to much, we've had a drug addict hangout house on our street. My children were afraid to play outside on their own property, we've witnessed drug deals and fights and yelling all hour's day/night. I do not support a CTS at all in Cambridge!!! My child should not have to walk past one to get to school, it should not be down the street from a elementary school, a high school or a day care. We shouldn't have to worry about one near our family friendly neighbourhoods. I'm speaking up for my children, I do not support a CTS site.
438. They are both adequate, Easton Street slightly more so but just because of the better proximity to public transportation and other essential goods and services for cts clients.
439. I believe my position of this topic is clear in my response to question 7. I will copy it in this box as well.
I do not agree with a CTS in a residential neighborhood. There are too many families, children, etc. to be subjected to a rise "safely" high addict. The behaviour of intoxicated individuals does not change if they are safe to inject etc. or not. My child and family shouldn't have to deal with intoxicated individuals walking and wandering about in our neighborhood/yards.
Addiction starts as a choice, and I have not made that choice for a reason.
Rehab facilities exist for a reason and safe places for individuals to get intoxicated should NOT be in ANY residential neighbourhood. My family should not have to be at risk or in any danger because of someone else's bad life decisions nor should that have to affect the rest of the neighborhood.
440. Going by just the proximity maps, 8 Oxford Street would be the choice - they are closer to area of need/proximity to Galt and services, and farther away from schools.
Proximity to childcare services seems to be the same for both locations.
441. I don't think either location is suitable as it makes me, and others around feel uneasy/unsafe. There are many families with young children in the area
442. Understand we own our house we pay property taxes both of these are very unsuitable and dangerous we should have a say in what is decided this is our neighbourhood!!
443. How about you help the hard-working people of Cambridge when these people destroy/steal our property. Maybe do the right thing. If a working person did some of the things these people, did we would be in jail. We don't want any of this near our children. Keep this shit in the big cities or on the property of government officials. Stop destroying our town!
444. I grew up in this neighbourhood. I have known multiple people who have died over the years from drug overdoses. Poor federal, provincial and municipal policy led to this. Not having decent paying jobs locally unless you work for one of the government agencies perpetuating this failed appeasement policy.
I know you are going to do what you are going to do because decades of ----- on ward 4 has been par for the course.
445. The Oxford Street location is located in the heart of a residential community that has already borne the brunt of social services such as the Bridges Shelter and a group home. Our property values have taken a hit, our neighbourhood crime rate has gone up, and many more homeless individuals wonder our streets, despite it hosting several schools. It is unconscionable for the city to even remotely consider implementing the

CTS site in our neighbourhood. The city has a duty to disperse all services around the city so that no one neighbourhood bears the brunt of City needs. Certainly, it will be interesting to challenge the city in court if it decides to locate the CTS site on Oxford Street. In addition, several City Councillors have already made comments that put them in a conflict position. More fodder for potential court challenges!

446. I honestly think, different locations need to be worked on. I think you need to find a location where you can house the homeless and a CTS location. People pay a lot of taxes in Cambridge. We work hard for our money. No one wants to come home with their families and have homeless people hanging out in their back yards. Homeless people need to go.
447. I don't want any CTS sites anywhere. It's not about location. Is about not wanting them at all. It will not help clean up Cambridge. It will just make things worse. Crime, needles and druggies everywhere.
448. I don't feel like controlling how and where they get the substance fixes the problem. And I think the safety of Cambridge is still compromised and because you are providing an address for all of these people to go to get high, what is the follow up when they are high. Are they kept there because if they are not then they are still roaming the streets, begging, yelling or freaking people out because they are not functioning normal?
449. I believe in rehabilitation, however, not at the expense of the Quality of Life of others, therefore, if there is to be a CTS site in Cambridge, it must be located away from residential homes by at least 800 metres. Neither of the sites proposed are at least 800 metres from residences.
- If a CTS site must be in or near the Galt core, I propose that it be inside City Hall. If it cannot be inside City Hall, I propose it be at the Bridges. If it can not be at the Bridges, I propose it be at least 800 metres from the nearest residence and be on the outskirts of town.
- What about a CTS site in an unused industrial building on Samuelson St. or Beverly St? If it must be in Galt, then another site could be in or near the Ainslie St. bus terminal or near the food bank on Ainslie St. Again, ideally, the CTS site will be inside City Hall, then we can see our tax dollars at work in a positive and uplifting way, helping others in a clean, healthy and welcoming environment, with full services on-site or close by.
- The Bridges shelter is a short walk away. Clients of the CTS can rest and recuperate at the shelter. Lutherwood Social Services is also very close by to City Hall.
- Another site to consider is inside or beside the Cambridge Hospital. There are services in the immediate area that will complement the CTS.
- The best proposal is that the CTS be inside City Hall. The second best is that it be at least 800 metres from homes and on the outskirts of town OR in a core area location such as the Bridges.
450. "The practice of harm reduction is meeting people where they're at. You're not trying to force people into treatment. You're trying to acknowledge the use and work with them to make it safer"
- As a nurse along with others I have had the pleasure of helping countless people, not just with life-saving overdose reversals but support with connecting to housing, food,

and addictions related networks in the community. I am proud of our work and happy to continue to support our clients! Potential clients deserve to have this support here in Cambridge.

There is a lot of stigma and misinformation regarding safe consumption sites not only in Kitchener, Waterloo, and Cambridge but all across the country. I'm not here to spread hate about people's opinions or choices but hoping to educate and support as a way to build bridges and keep ALL our community members safe.

Here are some facts surrounding safe consumption sites:

MYTH: Supervised consumption sites normalize drug use

FACT: A wide range of health and social harms are associated with illegal drug use.

Supervised consumption sites (SCSs) are an integral part of a harm reduction strategy that focuses on limiting the adverse consequences of substance use. While building non-judgmental and supportive relationships between substance users and health-care providers, SCSs help individuals stop using drugs when they are ready.

MYTH: Supervised consumption sites make it easier for people to get drugs

FACT: SCSs do not provide illegal drugs to users. An SCS is a place for people to use the drugs they have in the presence of a trained health-care provider, often a registered nurse.

MYTH: The new federal law¹ makes it too easy to open these sites in any neighbourhood and provides no option for community consultation

FACT: The Supreme Court of Canada gave the federal government specific directions to use evidence-based decisions when granting or denying an exemption to a proposed SCS under the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act. The new law, Bill C-37, adheres to the court's unanimous ruling and continues to make community consultations necessary before any SCS can open.

MYTH: Supervised consumption sites will make safe neighbourhoods unsafe

FACT: Vancouver's Insite clinic has been operating since 2003. Research into the community impact of Insite, and other similar programs around the world, shows that SCSs have a positive effect on community safety: reducing the rates of public drug use and discarded supplies without increasing substance use, violence or property crime.

MYTH: Supervised consumption sites take resources away from other parts of the health-care system

FACT: While SCSs focus on reducing the adverse consequences of substance use, it is important to point out their cost-effectiveness. As one example, illegal drug use is often linked to the spread of infections such as HIV and hepatitis C. Given that the estimated lifetime cost for treating HIV is \$200,000 to \$300,000 per person, those are future expenditures SCSs can prevent. Each day these sites also decrease health-care costs by reducing the number of emergency department admissions.

MYTH: Harm reduction is a temporary band-aid solution and cannot replace long-term treatment and prevention

FACT: Harm reduction is not a band-aid solution. Although it includes abstinence as an option (if and when the person is ready), harm reduction recognizes that abstinence is not always realistic for a person with addictions. Harm reduction programs are often the first point of access to safe treatment and prevention resources for persons who

use illegal drugs. Harm reduction approaches like SCSs are an early, important part of a substance user's recovery process and their access to other primary care services.

MYTH: The previous legislation,² which had 26 criteria for authorizing a new site, was much stronger than the new law with only five benchmarks

FACT: The 26 criteria listed in the previous legislation, Bill C-2, did not support improved health for communities or individuals. Instead, they acted as a barrier to access for care to prevent harm and improve health. Excessive barriers to opening SCSs, as the current opioid epidemic shows, leave substance users and health-care providers on their own to find solutions. Some of these solutions, such as pop-up SCSs, have decreased overdose rates and improved access to care. Without SCSs, we see an increased risk of overdose-related death and more substance use in other locations such as public washrooms.

MYTH: The opioid crisis only affects people with addictions

FACT: The opioid crisis affects all Canadians. It is devastating communities, taxing first responders and health-care facilities, and leading to an overwhelming number of deaths. It is killing teenagers who make a single mistake, creating orphans out of children whose parents die by accidental overdose and killing people who have recovered and relapsed. The opioid crisis is everyone's problem.

451. Totally against any consumption site in Cambridge. Against enabling any form of consumption but totally for rehabilitation. Talking from our family tragedy concerning drug use and loss of life of a loved one and others struggling to stop using drugs. More help needed to help these people recover rather than help them stay in a downward spiral.
452. No CTS anywhere in Cambridge. Our safety and the safety of our children cannot be mitigated.
The city and police have already proven they cannot handle the current situation and crime before a CTS.
453. Because of the proximity to the downtown and the bus routes, Easton seems most appropriate. The closest businesses are a coffee shop and a grocery store (with security), so very suitable.
454. I feel Cambridge is too small and diverse city to house a CTS site. I do not believe in enabling drug addicts. I would pay to have a safe drunk house either.
455. I think the site that makes the most sense is 150 Main Street especially now that it has supports for many needs!
456. These two sites are unacceptable. Why not have the safe injection site at the hospital? Were any sites on the west side of the river ever considered?
457. Too close to schools, daycares grocery stores. High residential area
458. Too close to children
459. We purchased our home in Galt (which is immediately in between the two proposed locations) and we're excited to invest in the community, grow a business, and raise a family here. This is not what we invested in. We do not want these locations to be considered.
460. NO, I do not support safe injection sites (CTS) anywhere in Cambridge. Too many people live and own properties in these areas. way too close to schools and homes.

461. I don't believe either is suitable and that the taxpayers of Cambridge are not being given the opportunity to say NO. Having said that the only thing about site 1 that makes any sense is that it's close to the hospital. Of course, we have a large need here because people are being dropped off here and encouraged to come because we have allowed it to get out of control. Our tax dollars should go to help our residents first, with as many wraparound services as we can provide. We don't agree with aiding and abiding someone to continue to harm themselves and others. Drugs, alcohol, cutting are all addictions caused by many different factors, are we going to provide a place for the latter to consume or cut? Probably not, so why would we do it for drugs. People need help getting off the drugs, not to be encouraged to consume safely or not. We need to address the Bridges and provide other types of supports to move people away from a setting that perpetuates more of the same behavior. The money we spend on Police, Fire, EMS dealing with the high needs area calls daily should be put towards getting them the help they so desperately need. We have become victims of our own success, and now the citizens of Cambridge should also have their voice heard. More support services, NO SITE.
462. As a resident in Cambridge, I don't want any CTS located within my neighborhood. There is already a shelter nearby, please add no more.
463. The hospital is the only suitable location for a CTS site. I am not in favor of a CTS site at any location in Cambridge.
464. This will only lead to a rise in crime -- as if things haven't gotten bad enough on your watch. This whole proposal is predicted on its proximity to The Bridges. WAKE UP and solve the real problem instead of looking for an easy fix that will only make it worse. If you want to revitalize the downtown core and surrounding area and are committed to making this city the DRAW that it can be, then why are you taking steps to draw even more drug addicts to the area when what they need is more rehab facilities and support services? If the city allows this to proceed, this whole area will become a haven for drug addicts. Great job!
465. I would highly recommend creating such a facility far from any residential areas. If you must maintain a short walking distance, build it right next to city hall to get a taste of what it's like.
466. I am not trying to be selfish here; I hope that no neighborhood in Cambridge ever gets such a site. Please highly consider selecting a location that is far from where people live. Any industrial or shopping area would be better, and we have plenty of those pretty close by in Cambridge. I understand what the city wants to do, but I don't think any of them have ever experienced first-hand living next to large quantities of homeless and drug-addicted people.
467. Keep them away from neighborhoods.
468. I really hope that the City of Cambridge and the Region of Waterloo will give one of these locations a chance. No CTS site is ever perfect in the public eye. There is so much fear associated with a CTS and its users. Surprisingly, crime actually decreases and so does transmission of diseases and waste such as needles. Despite public fear, a CTS would go a long way towards helping this area and all of the residents.

Especially since the homeless and those less fortunate are still residents in our Cities and Region.

469. Neither site is suitable nor should not even be considered for a CTS site.
470. CTS sites do not belong in the middle of residential areas with schools, small children, the elderly as this is a huge safety risk. People who need help should be able to get it from an area that is not primarily residential! This would be easier to maintain for the city and gives the residents of Cambridge a sigh of relief. This is not fair for our children's futures! I can't even go out on my own without being followed, or some instances where some Individuals purposely got close to my child. THIS IS NOW. it will only become more dangerous once a CTS site is near my house. The Bridges is already at the bottom of Cambridge Street hill.... Which was intended for getting help to people in need. I 100% support help for people with addiction issues but I do not support a CTS site anywhere it puts our residents at a considerably high risk of theft, crime, assault, abduction!
471. From an financial and insurance standpoint, a safe consumption site may increase crime risk and increase the cost for insurance to the community. I'd say a site isn't acceptable in many aspects to a first world community. To support the needs of the community, I question if the money that could be spent on possible future crime risks on home insurance in these residential areas could be invested instead towards supporting other crime prevention and drug prevention measures and mental health support instead? I'd rather see more effort and focus put into drug prevention or reduce the drug consumption rate. Invest in removing the need for a safe consumption site location. I'm sure I'm missing a lot of information to be able to make the results of my input in this survey accurate and useful for this hard choice, so please help me out if I'm missing something. Thank you.
472. Neither are suitable. What happened to the Main St mall?
473. No sites that are located in neighbourhoods are suitable. How can you support one segment of the popular that is marginalized while marginalizing another? I do not support this at all.
474. CTS Sites in residential neighbourhoods cause a high risk to theft, abduction, break-in, etc. to increase. I believe in rehabilitation and not enabling drug use. This is no different than a mother giving her son money to buy drugs. We deserve to feel safe in our own backyards as this is becoming an alarming issue currently with out CTS Site. How can you promise CTS site will not add to the problem?
475. Strongly oppose these 2 sites.
476. Neither are suitable.
477. This is needed regardless of which location is picked. We can't let the hate from a small group of people prevent lifesaving services for people. CTS works.
478. This is needed. Every overdose death is a direct result of policy and systemic failures. There are copious amounts of research that show the benefits of harm reduction services, where CTS saves lives, unloads burden on local hospitals, and saves money to continue to fund social services. Everyone deserves a chance at life, to feel safe and loved.

479. A CTS site is necessary in Cambridge and to help those in need in our community. While I do believe site #2 would be better for accessibility, I would be happy to see either location developed and used because it is a desperately needed service. I recently received a flyer at my home about a group who is actively advocating against these sites, but they clearly don't understand how helpful these services would be and how in the end it will help vulnerable people and keep our community safe. I hope city council, and those in positions of power to make these final decisions, will listen to the science and research and do what is truly best for all of our community, not just for the most privileged citizens. Thank you.
480. Seriously? The fact that these two sites were chosen out of 25 (that we know of but have never been told where they were) just blows my mind. It is beyond my comprehension that a neighbourhood has been chosen. If the heat map you show showing the density of the issues lies with the Bridges at the centre. Why is it not the obvious CTS site? Why is our neighbourhood the chosen one to help clean up this mess? Does not the majority of the responsibility lie with those doing these drugs and those creating the problem. We need treatment, rehab and mental health supports - but illegal drug consumption sites - NOPE! I cannot and will not support this.
481. The residents of these neighborhoods will not feel safe, and they will never be able to sell their homes for their rightful value. A CTS should be located in a hospital
482. Thank you for making a survey and allowing the community to voice their opinion.
483. See above comment
484. not needed how come there's no diabetic sites this is not needed they need to get help not have a place that they can shoot up and have a nurse be there just in case. It's a horrible idea
485. Don't want a safe consumption site in Cambridge.
486. Get them out of residential areas, focus on rehab!
487. Would de-value property values. Why not use the empty rooms at city hall? It's close to all the homeless and the Bridges.
488. I would like to know where the closest councillor lives to either of these sights. My guess is they are prepared to accept one of these two sights because it is not "in their backyard"
If it is so necessary why don't we use the hospital, where if an overdose occurs the help is right there, and we don't tie up an ambulance and how many police officers going to the cts.
I don't believe drug addicts will make their way to a specific site, they will do it where ever they are
489. One site is too close to high school. 500 meters is not suitable
490. Why does City Counsel and the Mayor of Cambridge turn a deaf ear? If we hold on long enough, we can vote those people out of office the same way we voted them in. Especially when the mayor in her election campaign said she was against injection sites. There are no such thing as SAFE injection sites when it comes to illicit drugs. These people made choices in their lives to start using drugs. They made the choice. Why do we have to pay for their ineptitude now? There are consequences for people's

actions. You don't pay your mortgage the bank for closes and you lose your home. Where is the city for those people? Where is taxpayers' money to help those people. You don't pay your water bill; the city turns off your water. That's right the City. Consequences right there under your nose. Where is the city to help those people? Setting precedence means the city could be tied up in thousands of court cases for citizens wanting help from the city just like the drug addicts. The city needs to walk extremely careful because bankruptcy for the city could be just around the corner.

491. Cambridge can have more CTS until is a plan in place to protect those that are suffering with addiction and the rest of the population. As a society we have to help them but also protect our next generations. Kids are afraid to ride their bikes because of the drug addict are taking over the city and nobody do anything
492. Both locations are good.
493. Find an industrial area in another city. OUR DOWNTOWN DESERVES MORE THAN HOMELESSNESS AND MENTAL HEALTH. Cambridge will "die" if this happens. I firmly oppose both sites as they are too close too schools, residences and businesses involving children!
494. I don't think there should be any safe injection site ... opiates are illegal, and we should condone this. we should instead be offering substance abuse programs, housing programs and work programs
495. Build it and they will come!
Crime increases where sites are located.
Why not put site in the area of Cambridge Hospital, in the existing strip malls on Coronation where other medical services could be located and made available for treatment.
496. Children and families that live in these areas should not have to see this daily. I don't agree we should give a safe space for drug users to inject illegal narcotics.
497. Keep it out of our neighbourhoods. Would like to see the homeless shelter along with these types of services close to the 401.
498. Currently Cambridge has done next to nothing to deal with a situation which is growing increasingly out of control. The CTS will only create further issue as it will be poorly managed. Case in point, this survey is full of spelling errors and refers to the CTS as CST at one point. "Experienced"? Cambridge needs to put some real effort into cleaning up this situation, these camps and chop shops should not be spilling out of Bridges. It's like the Wild West in Galt, no rules no order and the city and police turn a blind eye. That's lovely to think we rehabilitate but without any sort of infrastructure and enforcement, it's just not going to work.
499. Would be nice to see more than one CTS as it allows for more people to access the services. Harm reduction saves lives but only when there is enough resources and support for all those that need it. Also, more information to the community about how CTS helps people to recover from addiction. More information about what CTS offers!
500. Let's help people not enable them. What they need is counseling and career training and rehab.

501. If I had to choose, I would say oxford street is the more suitable out of the 2 options however I think that the cts should be as close to bridges as possible to maintain the issue to one place. Maybe even put on Dickson Street where walshies used to be. In the end cts shouldn't be needed the money from a cts should be going into programs and affordable rehab for these people. I've watched many friends in that boat say they want to stop but don't know how and can't afford help and get slapped on waitlists for years. Hire more counsellors. Make more government funded beds at the rehab facilities. You have to give these people the opportunity to get clean instead of encouraging to use drugs safely.
502. I selected Oxford Street only because it appears to be slightly closer to downtown. Most important is to open a site ASAP and prioritize people's lives over people's opinions like this city has done for far too long now.
503. Would prefer no site.
504. If you're going to use tax paying money. Start by helping these people. You guys make no sense trying to impose sites where you're saying it's okay and "safe" for users to get High off of illegal drugs that would clock jail time if caught in possession. Bring healing love and help to these people. Probably a "safe" space encourages these people to keep using until maybe one day their last time.
505. No to Cts in Cambridge. Look what happened in Vancouver. People who experience addictions need proper treatment. I work in addictions field. Cts is not the solution!
506. These sites should be moved to the mayor's neighborhood.
507. This is not going to end well this area already has to many crazy people putting one of these in is just going to be a beacon that centralizes the problem and that's stupid if you want a safe injunction site invite them to your house keep them out of our neighbourhood.
508. We need to stop attracting the homeless and addicted to our community. We have become the "go to" community for people seeking these services. It's one thing to treat our own but other municipalities are sending their problems to us because we have the services and facilities to treat them. This is what I was told by the police, who are fed up with this problem. I've observed and interacted with these people considerably. They have opened my eyes to a lot of things I had no idea we're going on. This has become a growth industry and the focus has become on further growth. Our time and resources need to be directed to things that are more important. We have become a dumping ground for these unfortunate souls. 85 % will never be saved (by there own choice) and the other 15 % will find their way to health. As it is, I struggle to pay my taxes and live my life. All the while my increasing tax dollars get gobbled up by this growth industry. This is not an issue the majority of citizens want. There is a vocal minority that turn out and speak very loudly. But I do not see any of them volunteering to have these sites located next to them. I know this is a done deal and I have just wasted my time but those are my thoughts.
509. I am so happy that Cambridge is proceeding with CTS sites. It is an important part of supporting our most vulnerable residents while reducing things like drug use in the streets, needle litter, etc. Thank you!

510. I'm having a hard time understanding why the city is supporting CTS sites, when there is a clear lack of regard for the safety around our downtown cores. Shouldn't the money be re-directed in a way that supports safety and businesses in the core areas? Simply put, I shouldn't have to feel uncomfortable go for a walk with my wife and daughter downtown.
511. Against a CTS in Cambridge as drug use is illegal
512. Shut it down!
513. Both of these sites are too close to private homes. When deciding where to locate a CTS site, consider if you would like to have it next door to where you live.
514. Concentrate on rehab not enabling and drug enforcement deal with the real problem
515. Please hurry and get this done. People are dying.
516. not in favor of either site. too close to schools and day care.
517. This is a needed component of comprehensive services for mental health and addiction. Please persevere despite the vocal minority pushback
518. Should these sites be erected, residents of the nearby area should be provided the option to claim for damaged and stolen property as a result of the increased homelessness and crime that follows
519. The CTS should be located in an industrial area that is not smack in the middle of a residential area.
10-15 minutes walking distance is not a good criterion to measure by. It depends how fast you walk. Are users capable of walking 10-15 minutes?
520. You MUST listen to the residents. We do NOT want these consumption sites - we want rehabilitation!!
521. Needs to be further away from the community of children and residential areas
522. No injection sites in Cambridge!!
523. I'm in favour of this treatment site because, during the pandemic, drug use has gone up and rehab is expensive and doesn't always help. Injections sites, like the one in Vancouver, have been proven to help those safely use. There has never been an incident where someone has died because in the case where someone overdoses, there is a medical team that can help them. Also, it's not like these centers provide the drugs, they are there to make sure someone doesn't die.
524. We need to give these people housing, without housing, access to hygiene and mental health care the CTS won't work. I am a strong supporter of CTS sites, but we have to put in more and stronger support if we are going to help people and see a reduction of addiction in our community
525. While I think there should be NO CTS IN CAMBRIDGE, I think Easton St. is a preferable location.
526. A site like this needs to be mobile. Not near homes and schools
527. Years have been spent discussing a CTS site. Its wasting time and money. We need to be introducing real solutions. How can you offer users "treatment" and offer users "drugs" in the same place? This is not a solution; this is not treated, and this will not save a life.
You can a homeless crisis and a drug problem in the city. Do you think people want to get off drugs when they are sleeping on the street? Can we put some more effort into a

housing solution? Having an overflow of people from the shelters living in a motel is a band aid solution. Drug deals actually do more transactions at hotels and motels, as the traffic is not suspicious. This is the beginning of a downhill spiral.

Let us get to the root of the problem and have a plan that gets people off drugs and off the streets. Giving someone easier access to do drugs is still a death sentence, just a slow and painful one as their mind and body are shutting down with every hit.

528. I don't think we should have a site in Cambridge but between the 2, site one is better as not a residential neighborhood
529. These sites, if at all, should be in or near the hospital. Not near business, schools or residential neighbourhoods. I know there is concern that people won't use the site if they feel stigmatized and there is concern that that can happen at a hospital. Let's focus on mitigating that concern instead of writing off the hospital as a site all together. There is too much at stake for surrounding communities to not consider such a site.
530. Staffing on-site and outreach team may be needed. CTS Kitchener has been massive successful.
531. Oxford is okay- but honestly it should be at 150 Main Street with the other regional services. It should be in a regional building with other supports. I don't like it near residential homes. However, since the by law states it, can't be in the downtown core this is what we get. We desperately need a CTS. Not having one is not an option in the long run considering the drug poisoning epidemic we are in.
532. No cts sites.... you want to build one put it right beside police headquarters out maple grove way... makes the police job easier to get them as it is ILLEGAL to be intoxicated in public. these addicts will do anything to get more.... ANYTHING... now stop wasting or tax money on those who have thrown their lives away... also put the new LCBO in the Applebee's spot... and a beer store.... its the middle of the city... you suck
533. If we want our city to look like the Vancouver East side, then either one will do. Terrible ideas!
534. Neither is appropriate, convenient that city council dismissed the downtown core as appropriate, but the old mall on Main St. would tick off all the boxes, why not have it where they are anyway?
535. This site is needed. No matter where it goes it will have an impact on the community, but I think the crisis that is happening is far more dangerous than lower house prices.
536. Please reconsider about putting the site in the downtown core where it is needed most.
537. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
538. These sites have been proven to fail in other cities. They will not succeed here.
539. Please listen to the residents of Cambridge. CTS are not a good idea for Cambridge.
540. I do not support an CTS site any where. I have worked with addicts, and this is NOT the way to go. Help them by rehabilitating them and perhaps a sense of purpose instead of keeping them high
541. We don't want a CTS site. The individuals who would use it need mental health and outside help not to be able to shoot up get their fix then come back for more. Not in a residential area near school, day care, downtown. We have enough issues now with addictions and homeless people. They need mental health facilities and not to bring more individuals in from other areas such as Toronto. Clean up the Bridges.

542. Please do not build a CTS site
543. Rehab is needed. I do not agree with a CTS. Let's put our efforts into treatment and prevention.
544. Should not be in any neighbourhood in Cambridge these people need help money should be spent for rehab centres.
545. This city will look like a slum in a few more years
Giving people drugs doesn't stop the problems
The mayor needs to go
546. I think mobile unit is best suited
547. I DO NOT SUPPORT EITHER LOCATION FOR SAFE SITE INJECTION SITES. THIS IS TOO CLOSE TO SCHOOLS AND RESIDENTIAL TAX PAYING CITIZENS.
548. DO NOT agree with having a CTS site in Cambridge
549. I can't believe anyone would agree for a site.
550. No cts remove these people. They should get narcan once after that if they od they should be left to die.
551. I DO NOT AGREE WITH OR CONDONE A CTS ESTABLISHMENT ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE
552. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge.
553. Get your heads out of your asses - this is NOT a solution!
554. We need to get rid of the Bridges and save our city
555. I prefer that neither site be selected but if one has to be selected the 15 Easton would be less damaging to the community.
556. Would prefer we didn't waste the money and neighborhood on a site. Rehab is what we need...not enabling. Feel sorry for both proposed areas.....
557. I'll repeat, move it out of the city, we have enough rural areas, if people are being bussed into Cambridge from areas like Windsor, they can be bussed to a ranch or farm setting set up as the CTS.
558. In the renovation of the hospital, this should have been included there. The hospital is in walking distance to downtown Galt and would have been easily accessible to those needing services.
559. No, no, no, get them out. Get the bridges out. Don't contribute to the problem. Forced rehab. Period.
560. The government needs to deal with the severe drug and homeless problem, and urgently! Law abiding citizens shouldn't be pushed by the wayside, and endure harassment, theft, etc., while this situation is running rampant!!
561. Bridges is already causing a huge problem in this neighborhood. A further deterioration of this neighborhood would be a huge catastrophe for the future of downtown Cambridge. The two daycares on Cambridge Street and Roseview Ave are not considered in this discussion, not the high school and elementary school. When the clients of these sites inject, they will not walk back to Bridges or home. They will linger around the neighborhood and this will bring detrimental causes to this area. Both areas are NO!!!!!!

562. Placing a CTS site too far away from where it is critically needed will reduce its effectiveness in preventing overdose related deaths.
This has already been delayed far too long. We need this site ASAP.
563. Increasing the number of CTS sites is a lazy approach to a very complicated and complex issue. There is zero evidence to support that CTS sites work.
The Bridges has turned downtown Galt into an unsafe environment for families and businesses while offering a minimal at best improvement to those who attend.
Something needs to change because we are being overtaken by homelessness and their rights are being put ahead of Cambridge residents.
Walk or drive past The Bridges and ask yourself if that is a welcoming place for a battered woman to escape to?
There is garbage, people out of their minds on drugs and others who are intimidating that surround the building and downtown Galt where it is way too often that people using drugs are visible, and their used needles left behind.
Crime has increased dramatically, and Cambridge residents have been forced to drastically change their lives to accommodate.
If this truly was about the safety and health of Cambridge, CTS residents would not be permitted to beg and steal during the day to fund their addictions.
We could have 10 CTS sites in Cambridge, and we would still have people living down by the river and in our parks...it's not simply an overcrowding issue.
I sympathize with our most vulnerable citizens but not at the cost of public safety.
Please keep these sites more than 200 metres away from schools/childcare centres at the very least!
564. I suggest if council wants a CTS site it should on the City Hall property. It only makes sense to keep these services downtown and closest to city officials
565. please setup a site asap
566. Neither are suitable options. I do not want to own a home near a CTS. I fear for my wife's safety everyday as it is. I've been robbed in broad daylight! My dog got a needle in the paw! This is enough!!!! NO CTS IN CAMBRIDGE!!
567. WE DON'T WANT A CTS SITE IN THE CITY
568. No sites in Cambridge. Neither here, nor there or anywhere! They do not have community support. Enough with the continuous push to force one in our city. Defund the Region! Take back Cambridge! We need to separate from region or at least stand up as a complete city of Cambridge.
569. I can't believe that anyone would think it's ok to put a CTS site right beside people's homes! A CTS in Cambridge will just drive this city further towards ruin where it may never recover. No to a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge!
570. I do not support CTS in Cambridge
571. People are dying and the problem is getting worse everyday. We need action Now. Stop discussing this for years and years and just get it done. Enough people have needlessly died in the street because of this crisis and the city's lack of action.
572. Safety and security of city residents should be top priority. Please stop considering new sites in the middle of established neighborhoods and stick to more industrial areas or existing social support locations.

573. I am shocked that these are the two sites identified as appropriate. There is nothing appropriate about putting them directly beside residential homes. The delta is a fine spot but not on a residential street. Accessibility to the hospital is a good location. An area with public transportation is a good area. Close proximity to both Preston and Galt is a good area. There are plenty of buildings, such as the empty restaurant I identified above, that are appropriate. A residential street is not an appropriate location. Because something is zoned both commercial and residential does not make it a good site.
574. The citizens of Cambridge have repeatedly informed council that we do not want a facility like this within core areas of the city. Move it to a completely industrial area where no children would be at risk of exposure to users of the service.
575. We love people in our community and want them to have access to healthcare and supportive harm reduction services. Not choosing a site is counterintuitive to all issues currently.
576. No to CTS anywhere in Cambridge!
577. The bridges have only created and provoked more crime and is a nuisance to Cambridge residents. CTS sites will only make it worse. Do you even realize how many kids are in these areas? And you want to promote more drug addicts to shoot drugs in their arms only 200 meters from schools? You idiots need to be fired for thinking that this is suitable!!
578. Not near daycares or schools where they are children. Or in residential areas. Put it in the hospital or bridges (which also needs a new location)
579. We need to stop enabling these addicts. No to consumption sites!!!
580. Please consider focus on Rehab only - help the people that want help. Stop enabling people to keep using. I don't want my tax dollars used to make drug use easier. Make it hard so that they want to get help.
581. No, no, no. We need to put a stop to this madness. In the 30 years that I have lived in Cambridge, it has gone from a beautiful, safe city to a frightening cesspool of thieves, addicts and homeless. You can't even enjoy the parks in this city or walk downtown to shop without being harassed. Enough is enough!
Would we give a child a lighter to play with, or an Alcoholic a drink? Absolutely not!
Why does this government think that supplying free drugs to drug addicts and ignoring the mentally ill is an option? These people need real help, which would mean spending money on sober and mental health facilities and services. A CTS site is not where I want my tax dollars spent.
Would the Mayor or Councillors want a CTS site on their street? I highly doubt it.
What were they thinking by coming up with a site location on a residential street with a school around the corner? We DO NOT want a CTS site in our city under any circumstances. Our council needs to listen to its constituents and do the right thing!!
582. A CTS sight in Cambridge is such a necessity. I do hope this will be approved because the folx in Cambridge who want to consume their substances safely, should have that right.
583. 150 Main would have been best!
584. Unless you can GUARANTEE a constant police presence and enforcement no site is acceptable. At this point in time, they already cannot stop the crime and trespassing in

the area and there is no reason to expect them to be able to do it when the site is put in. The CTS site also promises TREATMENT which is nonexistent for users if they are willing to get help. A wait list of 6-9 months for rehab is not acceptable and even the length and after care of rehab provided has been shown to have an extremely high relapse rate. Right now, all we can offer is a CTS site but until these 2 issues are resolved it should NOT be an option, we should learn from other sites that a CTS site does not prevent deaths or help the problem at all. There is a multitude of studies showing that a CTS negatively affects the areas around it as well as only servicing a small number of addicts because most will not even visit a CTS.

585. A CTS facility should not be located in any part of Cambridge unless you want to locate it in City Hall
586. No CTS. People need treatment and rehabilitation. Neighbourhood residents deserve safety in their neighbourhoods.
587. I do not support CTS in Cambridge. Get them real help. A place with no drugs and a rehabilitation system
588. Against CTS
589. I do NOT support CTS in Cambridge under ANY circumstance.
590. Am not comfortable with a CTS anywhere in Cambridge.
591. I do NOT support CTS site ANYWHERE in Cambridge
592. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
593. Against a CTS location for our community.
594. Should not be within the city limits or at minimum established in an industrial area but offer free transportation (bus) to the location. A proper facility with on site counselling, medical aid and sleeping accommodations could be easily established outside residential and commercial areas to ensure the safety of the residents and their children while still providing help and support to those in need of the services.
595. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
596. The city needs to focus on rehabilitation, not allowing it to continue and worsen. Our mayor needs to spend some time in the city and perhaps visit another cts location. This will be bad for Cambridge and will drive taxpayers away. Pls don't give our town away to the addicts. We need to focus on getting drugs off the streets and our parks, not designating areas for them. We build this and the problem will worsen.
597. I do not support any site within the city. If re-election is of any importance to this council, they should really rethink this terrible idea. Our city is already falling apart due to the issues associated with illicit drug use. It's become an embarrassment and a danger to citizens.
598. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge whatsoever
599. There is NO SITE in Cambridge that I would support for a CTS facility
600. The Halt core is already dealing with the Bridges & the daily occurrences happening in this location. Why is it now necessary to consider the Galt core yet once again for the sites with Oxford & Easton within walking distance of the Bridges? Have these tax paying residents have enough to deal with over the years with the Bridges?
601. NO CTS NO CTS

602. Not supportive of this initiative.
603. Treat the addicts for what they have, a disease. Diseases are treated in hospitals, not in residential areas.
604. Too close to residential
605. As a resident of Cambridge with an experience of drug addiction, I do not support a CTS site as it creates more problems
606. Get this enabling idea out of the city or you'll need to find a new mayor and council next election.
607. I don't want a CTS site, using the current provincial model, anywhere in Cambridge.
608. IM Looking forward to creating change and making this city a better place to live. Never give up.
609. Both sites ARE within close proximity to schools and residential areas. The maps you put here are not suitable. They are too close to schools with many children. The very small so called acceptable radius are ridiculous as a safe distance. Who ever came up with that as a safe distance is only a person pushing to have a site.
I will refer to the issue which has raised these two buildings as potential sites, the 500m buffer around the core!!! Why was this buffer set forth in the first place? It is obvious that the mayor and council believe a CTS would not be suitable within a core, meaning they don't want all of the issues which go along with a CTS. Also, the store owners have raised concerns which City officials have agreed with. Given this information, a CTS shall not be allowed within 500m of any schools, stores or anywhere else that will be directly affected by the known problems of this type of facility.
Most people in the city would be in favour of an all-in-one rehab facility which takes addicts off the streets and gets them clean, reintegration into society with jobs and a safe place to live.
610. I do not support a site in Cambridge. Resources for rehabilitation and housing is a priority.
611. I think a consumption and treatment services are crucial for the Cambridge community.
612. Do not put one in Cambridge
613. I do not believe this is going to help in any way but if it is going to happen keep it away from residential as we do not want our children in the middle of this mess. This all needs to be cleaned up
614. Shouldn't be happening 😞this is so sad that you think we need more of these hey bring more people from Hamilton too that might help you guys need to give your head a shake you ate normalizing drugs you half wit retards
615. NO CTS SITE IN CAMBRIDGE it hurts business, invites drug dealers, promotes drug use.
616. Do not support any sites
617. This will only cause problems for our community. Find another way to help these people and keep them away from us.
618. Both of these sites are unsuitable for the CTS location. If fact, the Bridges should be moved away from the area with the site. Both should be placed in areas away from residences & schools. We own a business in the area and since the introduction

of the Bridges, our business was robbed 3 times. Each time police reports were filed and prior to the Bridges, a robbery attempt was never made. That goes to show the safety issues the Bridges and CTS sites bring to our neighbourhood.

619. I don't think it's a good idea to enable these people. Plus, I've seen what happens to areas in the cities these are, and it is horrible, dirty and crime infested.
620. I will NEVER support a CST. Period.
621. If public health and substance use experts are able to determine which site will best serve the intended purpose, which is to save lives and support people in our community who are at risk of OD and unsafe drug supply, then that guidance should be followed. You can mitigate other concerns afterwards. But the site should be where it will do what it is supposed to do as the main criteria.
622. I recently moved to Roseview Avenue, just a few yards from the proposed location. I can only say that I was shocked and dismayed to learn that my decision and investment in the neighbourhood may well be taking a devastating turn. A CTS facility at this location, on the surface, may well appear admirable, may even help to preserve the health and lives of some people who use drugs, and it probably serves to help city dwellers feel that they are contributing to a solution for a complex and tragic social issue. Focusing on the potential (and debatable) positive outcomes does not do fair justice to the full impact of such a site, however. Especially when we all know that the drug crisis in Canadian cities is worsening even in the presence of such sites in other municipalities. In fact, asking a smaller group of society, like a neighbourhood, to bare a disproportionate burden of adverse social and financial consequences, while others in the city benefit from localizing a social issue elsewhere, is extremely unfair and cowardly. That is not a NIMBY situation, rather it's a better your backyard than mine situation, for those that support it. Putting a CTS site in a residential neighbourhood with children, schools and daycare is absurd. Everyone knows that social disorder, antisocial behaviour, loitering and drug trafficking will follow the site, resulting in a decline of the living conditions in our neighbourhood. Driving property values lower and contributing to decline towards a "skid row" district. This can be witnessed firsthand in the residential area around the Bridges Shelter facility, just a few streets from the proposed site on Oxford. An area that my spouse fears to enter due to the alarming distress and threatening and intimidating behaviour of the people loitering in the area. Surely adding another assistance facility in such proximity to Bridges is asking too much from our community. I have absolutely no doubt that, had I known, I would have walked away from the sale. This is evidence enough to prove there is a definite unreasonable financial and social burden that would be placed on residents near the Oxford location should the site be opened here. I strongly urge that this proposal be set aside, I know that I, and my neighbours, will make a strong public plea for sanity to prevail if this moves forward.
623. There is no perfect spot, but Easton is the best of the two chosen. Location is so important for both the participants and for the communities well being. I do agree that it should not be in the Core areas of the three downtowns. Between the Delta, Lang's

and #24 is the best mutual location.

I currently live in Preston, but did live in Gault, raised in Kitchener. As an seasoned addiction worker, I believe in harm reduction and have for over 20 years.

The population served by the CTS has long existed in all three downtowns and all three cities. People were injecting Heroin, Cocaine and MDA when I was 16 in Cambridge and Kitchener. My first outreach job was on the streets of Gault in 2003 as an addiction and mental health worker. That population has since lost a lot of housing that was not replaced. (Lack Housing being a major issue that contributes to continued addiction) The Overland, The Royal hid a lot of what we would see that has spilled out onto the streets causing an image some don't want to believe exists in their hometown. It was always here, and Gault has been a hot spot for drug use for a long time. The type of drugs that are here now are not the same as when I was a teen, they are not as safe. The odds are far fewer one will fall down to a life of addiction and have that chance, or a second chance to have their moment of clarity that will lead them back up, because they will be dead, dead sooner than would have happened in the past years due to the supply being tainted or of unknown potency. The CTS can be that one step up and back to that moment of clarity just because they are there.

I would say the time is now to do this, but the truth is, it should already exist.

Cambridge should almost be ashamed of this delay in creating a CTS.

International Overdose Awareness Day is August 31.

August 31 would be an awesome day for grand opening and remembrance at this site.

624. We do not want this in our city. Galt has too much that draws as it is. It does not work. Look at large cities they are not less it's more. Stop.
625. This is evilness. Not helping recovery at all. Give your head a shake.
626. This is a really important service to provide for people in our city that are in need. One concern of mine persists though: the proximity to people's homes. I haven't read the site's plans, but I want to urge that security or similar personnel be on site at all times and be trained to deal with people loitering or causing issue for those who live in proximity to the site. If this will be near the homes of low-income people, they shouldn't have to feel the potential burden of the consumption site in their neighbourhood.
627. I DO NOT AGREE WITH ANY SITES IN Cambridge; (yes, I am shouting)
628. We need something in Cambridge STAT! 150 Main would have been my preferred location.
629. The City of Cambridge should have consulted with the Region of Waterloo or with Sanguen Health Centre to aid in the process of site selection. The Region and Sanguen operate the CTS in Kitchener and would have been an asset to determining sites in Cambridge. The City of Cambridge does not understand the working details of a site and should use the community partnerships available to them. Otherwise, they are setting the most vulnerable residents of Cambridge up for failure. Neither of these sites are perfect but the Oxford St site is the best of the two sites.
630. Dear Mayor and Council, please listen to Cambridge residents, your voters, your taxpayers! Again, we say NO TO CTS!!! No one impassioned advocate lives in the area with "proposed" sites. No one wants such a "neighbors". We have enough

troubles caused by shelter *Bridges *. Let's be realistic - people don't want crime, drug dealers and garbage on their properties.

631. Not suitable anywhere in Cambridge
632. I'm not sure why "Both are suitable" is not an option for the option question. I think both have pros and cons. I like the size of the Easton and it's likely to be more accepted since it's not as close to residences. I think Oxford's location is closer to where clients may access the space.
633. "I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances"
634. I am not supportive of a CTS site at locations being considered or anywhere in Cambridge
635. Since all the above services are required on site, this should be located adjacent to a Public Health facility, or the local hospital. It needs to be much further away from Schools and Daycare Centres.
The whole philosophy of a treatment centre where clients bring their own drugs for "safe consumption" is asking for trouble with drug dealers loitering in the proximity of the centre. Drug Rehab residential facility should be the first priority, and more essential than any of the above "services".
As a former GP in Cambridge, this was always the biggest lack for significant treatment of Addicts.
Put the money into Rehab units and the other problems will be dealt with, rather than just dealing with the symptoms of the illness. When an addict expresses an interest in ""Treatment"", they need access immediately to an In-patient facility, not 6-12 months later !!!
People need personal transformation in their inner being to deal with drug Addiction. Religious groups have shown this as they work with AA & NA programs.
Just my reflections on many years of trying to work on behalf of addicts with severe limitations of effective Treatment options!!!
636. I feel both locations are suitable for a CTS site. Option #1 is further away from residential so it may be the better choice to respect homeowners' potential concerns.
637. I would like to see the city move forward with a CTS location to help those struggling with addiction.
638. The cts site should be away from residential areas while being large enough to provide both cts and support services to clients. Safety, health and security for all clients, business and residents needs to be enforced and maintained to ensure a successful CTS site for all. Should Region choose either of these locations they will need to step up beyond what they are currently for the Bridges and the neighbouring communities. Thank you.
639. Sites really should be at a hospital with their own wing or area and parking and access.
640. Please stop allowing these people to take over our city. Homeless/junky camps everywhere clear them out. One way ticket to Toronto see how they survive.
641. Both sites are close enough to the core with out being in the core, but I think Oxford is the best. We must move forward with this soon. We can not bury our head in the sand any longer. These people need help.

642. These people need rebabs not CST locations to enable their choices and habits
Instead of spending money on CTS maybe you should consider providing medication to those who need it to save their lives like diabetic's people with severe allergies cancer medication who do not have benefits or the means to pay out of pocket for something they did not choose to have unlike people who have chosen to do drugs
643. I apologize that I am so late to this discussion, but I have to say I really don't understand the logic in enabling the poor people this service is intended for. I agree that something has to be done about this terrible problem. As far as a location goes you should be asking the people in the vicinity of these proposed locations. I am sure the majority are not for having these sites in their neighbourhood as no one would be. Apparently, I've missed out on any the information leading up to all of this. What was done about bringing the community up to speed on the logic behind these CST facilities? I'd heard neighbours talking about it, but I certainly wasn't hearing much support for the concept. I'm open to any feedback and more info. Thank you.
644. Based on my work in the community and living in the downtown Galt core, and observing the at-risk users in the area, I believe Oxford Street would be the location more likely to be effective.
645. Why are both sites in Galt??? Are there no users in Preston or Hespeler?
646. Even if all other considerations are addressed, access is most important because it won't get used if clients can't get there easily.
647. Must be easily accessible for those in need.
648. Site #1 has more square footage than #2. More room for all supporting services.
649. No site is suitable
650. I do not support a CTS site or harm reduction policies anywhere in Cambridge. Harm reduction/CTS has failed for 19 years to reduce the death rate in any city it has been implemented in. Its policies are a proven danger to addicts and communities alike. It is wrong to make failed attempts to help one group by sacrificing the rights and safety of everybody else. Addicts and the residents of this city deserve better.
651. Neither of these sites are suitable. A CTS site does not belong in a residential area. Your map on Proximity to Residential Areas in regard to location 2 is completely false and misleading as site 2 is fully residential surrounding the entire area and it appears that you are purposely misleading survey participants.
652. I DON'T SUPPORT A CTS SITE ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE!!!
653. I think none of these sites are suitable. The highway out to Brantford is...just as Stonehenge is secluded, and accessible. If there is no other option, then Site #2
654. I do not support any Cts location in Cambridge
655. There is NO place for a CTS site in Cambridge. I believe that this just enables addicts to congregate, inject and then go out in the community to commit crimes and endanger the tax paying citizens of their safety and their property! There needs to be MORE rehabilitation sites to help the addicts and those with mental health issues. I feel the rehab and mental health sites are more proactive than helping them get their fix!!!
656. no location is appropriate
657. I do not approve of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
658. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge

659. This is not right at all put it beside the city hall or beside the mayor's house see it she ok with-it city hall is close to bridges
660. I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge
661. Both are too close to downtown and schools!
Have talked too many citizens who do NOT want a CTS in Cambridge ANYWHERE!
Too much crime.
Are the politicians listening?
662. Generally, I don't understand why the money is being used to provide a safe space to use drugs and provide safe drugs when we are not providing treatment. It's hard to answer this survey when one is not in favour at all of a CTS. However, the choice of Oxford Street is completely unsuitable.
663. Enabling is not a fix
664. Not a supporter of CTS sites
665. No site is suitable. Addicts are unable to afford their lifestyle with the government assistance they are getting. They steal, Rob, break in, assault and scare the community. Can we please stop pretending that a CTS will make the community better? Even the police chief says crime goes up considerably and the area is way worse. Please use common sense. You obviously are not voting for it to be on your doorstep so stop putting it on ours.
666. say no to drugs
667. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
668. These services are needed. And good. But please consider that the needs of everyone should be served. It would be better within the grounds of Cambridge Memorial Hospital. But if not, 15 Easton is a better location and choice. It serves the needs of everyone without being at the detriment of anyone.
669. I do not support a CTS Location anywhere in Cambridge. CTS/Harm Reduction has failed dramatically everywhere it has been implemented across Canada.
670. Do NOT want CTS ANYWHERE in this city!
Crime, garbage in parks and needles are already a problem.
671. I DO NOT SUPPORT ANY CTS LOCATION IN THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES.
672. I don't want a CTS in Cambridge at all.
673. Maple Grove Road beside the Police Station we are trying to clean up downtown I have grown up here and am scared to go downtown
674. I don't agree with any CTS sites in Cambridge. I believe that there should be other solutions investigated.
675. This is a wonderful thing you are doing!! Everyone deserves dignity and comprehensive care.
676. I do not support a CTS site in Cambridge, and I feel the current city management and leaders are incompetent
677. Use the hospital to get these addicts off the streets, treat the addiction. Make laws to get these users off the streets. At one time you could be charged for being intoxicated in public, these same laws should be put into effect.

678. WITH THE HARM THESE ATROCIOUS PLACES CAUSE TO EVERYONE I DO NOT SUPPORT A DRUG CONSUMPTION SITE ANYWHERE IN CAMBRIDGE!!!!
679. Do not want any CTS in Cambridge
680. None I do not support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
681. Do not agree to any site proposal in the City of Cambridge. I have seen what it has done to Kitchener as my mother lives near it. Constant break ins, fights, ambulances etc. etc. Get Bridges under control before you even think of any site. Clearly the city can't manage the fallout from Bridges. How will the city deal with a treatment service site? Not at all, I'm sure. Please listen to the taxpayers and voters when we tell you, no site.
682. I do not support cts in Cambridge
683. I do not support any CTS in Cambridge
684. I do not support a CTS anywhere in Cambridge
685. Our neighbourhood needs rehabilitation services, not consumption services.
686. No consumption site in Cambridge!
687. No to both or any CTS in Cambridge or anywhere. The hoops you make a person go through just to answer here and the wording used to try to direct people who are NOT in favour to choose a site (giving the impression they favour one) is shameful and outright wrong. Just the fact you have proximity maps of areas of concern to justify the unjustifiable is pathetic. You work for us. To do the bidding of the people. A referendum would undoubtedly show how far on the wrong side anyone pushing for a CTS truly is.
688. I absolutely DO NOT support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
689. I DO NOT support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
690. I absolutely and unequivocally DO NOT support a CTS location in Cambridge.
691. I do not support safe injection sites in Cambridge.
692. There are already too many businesses that are affected in the downtown core region by the negative effects of substance abuse users and the residents in this city are fed up with not being able to feel safe in their city. Consumption sites have proven to be a failure in other cities time and time again why our council it's even entertaining the idea is a joke.
693. Please. Please. Please. Find another option besides enabling addicts WITHOUT further effort to actually better their standings. Affordable housing, tiny homes, mental and physical health support, psychological support and REHAB above all else. REHAB is so important. You can't just feed the need and expect anyone to magically get better. Do your due diligence as representatives of this entire city and follow through with a complete plan not just hopes and good intentions.
694. I DO NOT support a CTS location anywhere in Cambridge.
695. Move out of Cambridge our city is destroyed because of drugs
696. I do not support any program that enable drug use. I support rehabilitation and education.
697. I do not support a CTS in Cambridge
698. No to safe consumption, offer rehab and services to get clean.
Have you ever watched Intervention? All the interventionists on that show have told

family members of the addicted to turn their backs on them and not enable them.... why is our government enabling them??

699. Please do not put a CTS in the City of Cambridge. These do not work and do not benefit anyone.
700. No, this should not be anywhere near any school, this should not be put where there are residential areas. Should be the outskirts of Cambridge or further. No one deserves to have the crime and anxiety and loss that goes along with this. They cause so many problems to the Cambridge people already. If these people really want the help and to get better, they will go to where the help is. Cambridge is really not what it used to be. I have been here my whole life and have been considering moving. It is so sad. Yes, it is unfortunate there are so many homeless. And other cities are shipping their problem here. It is not fair to Cambridge citizens. Yes, some of those people really need the help, but most don't. And actually, most of them do like to continue with their current lives and will continue to disrupt anyone else that is near them. If the region or even Ontario, has this much money to invest towards this cause, then put them all in a rehab centre then. That's the only way " they will be cured".
701. I do not want a CTS in Cambridge
702. This is disturbing and will bring more transients to our city causing more crime!
703. My wife's safety and mine is more important so I do not support any CTS sites in Cambridge. We had a meth house on Haddington St, and we are finally free of the addicts from Bridges coming to get their fix. Children should be able to play in their front yards and not have to witness addicts shooting up or smoking crack pipes. Theft was also a issue, we personally had 3 situations of that. We also had to deal with a male on some type of drugs walk up onto our property and sit on our porch. I believe these CTS sites jeopardize the safety of the community.
704. I am NOT in support of a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge!
705. Get them out of Cambridge. Before this whole town turns into a shit hole
706. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances
707. Don't support cts sites
708. No cts anywhere in Cambridge under any circumstances.
709. I am opposed to any CTS site in or around residential areas. Make it apart of the hospital or close to the hospital if there is no alternative. We need to put more effort into rehabilitation and less time and money into enabling illegal activity.
710. I think the most important thing to consider in this process is that public engagement, while open, cannot be relied upon to produce a representative perspective of our entire community. Whether individuals don't have access or time to participate in such processes, don't feel their voices matter (for any number or reasons) or otherwise, it is critical to acknowledge what these consultations provide, being that those who generally participate are not reflective of our community and need. Whether from a financial perspective (understanding the systemic nature of issues like opioid addiction), a medical perspective, or the perspective of those struggling with addiction, it is important that value is assigned differently to opinion versus fact and to the perspective of those who lives are at stake or who are involved in saving lives, versus those who may be impacted in some much smaller/lesser way. If anything is clear to me, it is that

those opposed to CTS are more concerned with their viewpoint than reality; I still see references being made to Lethbridge and the related study produced when we have clear evidence of how current best practice has been applied in Kitchener, demonstrating the issues being raised are ill-founded. It is high time that the city gets more concerned with representing all citizens equally and leveraging approaches that result in the voice of a small, ignorant cluster of residents being treated for what it is, rather than a significant proportion or the majority of our populations. Continuing to rely on surveys, letters, petitions that generally come from a privileged few is irresponsible and literally crippling a functional, thoughtful and progressive City administration and Council from improving Cambridge for all. Best of luck finding a path forward.

711. rehab is a better solution; other cities have shown that CTS does nothing for the problem
712. Communication with residents has been non-existent over the time period where Council considered 25 sites. Most residents knew only about 150 Main years ago. The time lag from April 2021 until July for consulting citizens with this survey is an indication of not wanting citizens to have a say, especially since it was promised in early June. Now, it is late July, and many people are away on vacation. Many citizens believe this was done on purpose to support the inappropriate choices made by Council in regard to CTS sites. These sites should be within hospitals or social services and not in residential neighbourhoods.
713. I do not support the creation of a CTS site in which drugs are still used. Care for people properly, don't allow them to continue in addiction.
714. What is happening to Cambridge! Why can't this be handled in the hospital, medical building across from the hospital,
I can not understand why you are looking at sites in neighborhoods. I moved to Cambridge, 20 plus years ago and it was a wonderful! Now, I am scared to even to take a walk downtown Galt or Preston. Let clean up the area, not make it worse with injection sites. Let's focus on treatment, not encouraging the use with safe places to use. I can see a treatment centre, somewhere that is away from people using, someplace with experts, medical professionals, counseling, etc. Not a safe place to use!
715. Seeing people that are high coming out of either building is a very concerning picture to me. The already high crime rate in Cambridge is only going to get worse. Being a recovering addict, clean 10 years, I have a lot of experience with addicts. They don't use a cts site for help. They use it to continue to get high around other addicts.
716. The playground of the Montessori School of Cambridge backs up directly to the lane way which leads to Site #2. Drug paraphernalia has already been found on the school grounds. Both proposed sites pose grave safety concerns for our children (be they attending the nearby schools, day cares or are residents of this area).
717. Surely, there are better options than the two provided. Surely there can be an effort to provide a site for CTS plus housing, mental health at one location.

718. Drug users are criminals and allowing them to practice their illegal habits is a stain on our community. Anyone who has approved the consumption sites should be ashamed of themselves and they should be forced to live adjacent to the consumption site.
719. I Do not support any CTS site in Cambridge
720. Keep it away from schools and shopping areas and restaurant areas. move it behind the hospital or into their basement. Keep it where there is 24 hr care, emergency services. Where the first covid testing area was in the hospital is a good choice.
721. I am not sure what 'areas of concern' refers to. The survey assumes I know about concerning areas. I think Easton is probably the best due to it having commercial stores nearby. Oxford seems too residential. I assume commercial areas can address any needs better than residential areas.
But these are opinions of an uninformed person.
722. This is a very loaded question. Of course, you've "met" the provincial requirements, but the bigger issue is the fact that The Bridges is downtown, and residents and non-residents are causing a variety of issues.
If the Bridges wasn't there, you wouldn't need a CTS site at all.
There could be other suitable locations close to public transit and far away from any core, school or park.
723. Money should be spent addressing the causes of this problem: lack of affordable housing, lack mental health supports, unemployment, lack of educational opportunities...the CTS is addressing the results, not the causes.
724. Not the answer to the drug problem
725. How about you put the cts in the mayor's backyard?
726. NO CTS!
727. I do not believe Cambridge should have consumption sites available at all. We have enough homeless. We have enough thefts, violence and damage. I do not support any consumption sites. In this small, beautiful city. Let's not continue to watch and allow our small city to get destroyed
728. This is disgusting. Please listen to your city, no one wants this!
729. Stop giving addicts more free drugs give your heads a shake they will never stop using now.
730. We don't think a CTS site is suitable for Cambridge.
731. I believe that someone who wants help says so and then gets the proper help - like a hospice type place with all the help needed to get off alcohol or drug addiction or mental illness period! Should happen whether they have insurance or means to pay! Nothing else will truly work! But better the government spend 2 dollars and appear like helping instead of 10 dollars to truly help someone get to a place of being a self-sufficient person!
732. none of the sites are suitable both are too close to schools and residential areas
733. It Is close to schools, day care and residential buildings. Put the site beside Bridges, stop the spread of needles and homeless in our community. I am sick of having to call 911 because of strange people hanging out in front of my home, damaging my property, causing havoc and threatening my family.

734. Would like the City of Cambridge for once to listen to the residents of this city that has so much potential. Becoming unsafe for families
735. Neither site is ideal, but it is most important that Cambridge has this critical harm reduction and health care service ASAP. We know from Guelph and KW that these sites are an asset to the surrounding Neighbourhood, so considering the facts there should be no concern.
736. Of the two locations, the Oxford Street feels better as long as the residents are not in any danger
737. I do not support any CTS location in the city of Cambridge under any circumstances.
738. Why can't these addicts not count on their own families and friends to offer them this refuge? You have to have crossed some serious lines to get there; why is this now our problem to deal with. What about the young people who work 50/60-hour weeks to be able to afford homes in these neighbourhoods and now are forced to accept that their homes are losing value. It's not okay to make innocent people pay for the continued bad choices of a few.
739. Please do not build/operate this type of site in our city.
740. Why not have the site at City Hall? (Already security in place and it's a 5-minute walk from where most of the addicts hang out and live and so near the other facilities they might use) Why hide the site? Rather than putting the site and the users of the site away in a residential area where just a few residents would be impacted; have it impact us all by using our public building that is the seat of our city government; where it's part of the whole community.
741. Take the stigma away from addictions and the treatment of the addictions by bringing it out in the open.
742. I worry that Easton Street is too close to the schools as students use the plaza at lunch hours.
743. I do not support a CTS site anywhere in Cambridge
744. City council is weak and not standing up for the residents of Cambridge/ Galt

Appendix 4 - Email correspondence from Near Neighbours and General Public

This appendix includes the verbatim input submitted to the city by email. Sixteen individuals provided their comments on the two candidate sites by email during the community consultation review period from July to September.

Thirteen of the emails received appear to be from Near Neighbours (residents or businesses within proximity to one of the two candidate sites). Feedback includes the following:

- 2 Comments about concerns and objection to locating at Site 1 – 15 Easton Street.
- 10 Comments about concerns and objection to locating at Site 2 – 8 Oxford Avenue.
- 3 Comments about concerns and objection to locating at any location in Cambridge.
- 2 comments in support of a CTS site somewhere in Cambridge.

The following are numbered for reference purpose only and each number represents a different individuals' comments. Personal identifying information has been omitted for this report but is being reviewed by the city.

Figure 13 – Verbatim Comments received by email

#	Individual email comments received
1	No to CTS site on Oxford St. I have lived near 8 Oxford Street for almost 15 years. It's a lively neighbourhood full of young families, 2 daycares and Manchester School not far. For the last several years we have had fires set, break ins, people losing their minds all because of the Bridges. It's been bad enough that several neighbours have moved. Now you want to put a CTS site at the end of my street. Really are you kidding me, where kids walk to school and the daycares walk. Sorry, we don't want it. Put it in your backyard and see how you feel. Not appropriate. The research shows that even at these sites the overdoses are huge in numbers. Can we not learn anything from Vancouver? If you want a spot, build one at Cambridge Hospital where people can be looked after without having to tie up medical personnel. We live here and for years we have had to keep watch and literally call the police when people are being violent in our neighbourhood on their way downtown to the Bridges. Enough, we need a break here. There has got to be a medical facility or room in the hospital. Oxford is very large so I am sure the hospital can provide a room to house this so-called need. So many of us that grew up in Cambridge are disgusted with the way you are treating our city. It was once a beautiful city, now people fear living here and all they do is plan their move away. Please listen to the people here that have had enough.
2.	I am writing to express my opposition to locating the CTS site at 8 Oxford Street. As a long-time resident in the neighbourhood, I am very well aware of both the neighbourhood and drug treatment needs. That said, I find it unconscionable that the city would even remotely consider 8 Oxford Street as a suitable location. First and foremost, the area is in the heart of a residential neighbourhood that is within a 5-minute walk to the Ontario Muslim Academy (on Beverly St.), Montessori (on Roseview), Pluto Day Care (on Brook St.) and GCI (on Water St). Our neighbourhood has already experienced a significant burden in increased homeless

#	Individual email comments received
	<p>individuals wondering our streets and a higher theft/break and enter rate ever since the Bridges Shelter was built down the Cambridge St hill. It is incredible that city staff would even suggest our neighbourhood for such a site. After all, the city cannot impose all its social services on to one neighbourhood as Councillors also owe a duty to residents and property owners to avoid reducing their property values, increasing crime rates and elevating their safety risks. It is amazing how city staff did not recommend the City Hall building just down the hill if such a service was so needed in such a proximity.</p> <p>Secondly, several City Councillors have already made comments that they have family/personal histories with drug addiction and treatments. Some have already stated their intended votes; despite not even listening to public input. Clearly, making up one's mind before reviewing all the facts illustrates a lack of impartiality and a personal history may create a legal conflict of interest. I certainly hope such Councillors will recuse themselves from voting on the CTS site as I doubt the courts would hold such a vote as being legally valid.</p> <p>In my opinion, this City Council has been quite cavalier in opposing many resident concerns in various other topics of concern such as those dealing with the Preston Springs Hotel and the Blair Development. Its almost as if Councillors think that they do not owe duties to their constituents and other stakeholders. I therefore think it would be prudent for Councillors to seek legal advice prior to making the CTS decision, and in the end, I urge them to do the correct thing and vote no to the CTS site at 8 Oxford Street.</p>
3.	<p>If we really need these things, is it appropriate to have them in a residential area? I've been to the Vancouver east side – WOW! Is this going to be Cambridge?</p>
4.	<p>The city of Cambridge is in a serious state of decay. Anything further that adds to its decline must be stopped. All efforts have to be directed to restoring some normalcy to a once proud community. As residents nearby to 8 Oxford Street, we are shocked that you would even consider putting CTS sites in residential neighbourhoods, and close to day care centers and schools. These sites and the Bridges should be removed from downtown Cambridge and relocated. Exercise your civil responsibility!</p>
5.	<p>The subject of placing Consumption and Treatment Services Sites in the City of Cambridge is a difficult one. The fact that they reduce the numbers of deaths due to overdose and save lives as a result of harmful illegal drugs is a positive one. However, there are other factors that concern me. These are places where addicts bring their illegal drugs to be safely injected. I have difficulty with understanding that this condones the use of illegal drugs.</p> <p>I agree that the more positive action is to increase the number of facilities that counsel addicts with the object of helping them find alternatives to their addiction and, perhaps, finding meaningful employment and usefulness in their lives. As a resident in the vicinity of the 8 OXFORD STREET proposed site, I strongly object to this location. I quote: "CTS will not be concentrated in one area or neighbourhood, and proximity to childcare centres, parks and/or schools (including</p>

#	Individual email comments received
	<p>postsecondary institutions) will be considered." There is a daycare centre, a junior school, young children and seniors among our neighbours.</p> <p>Please find another, more suitable location for a proposed Consumption and Treatment Services Site in our lovely City of Cambridge.</p>
6..	<p>I am sending this e-mail for two addresses in close proximity to 8 Oxford Street., NO, NO, NO, NO, NO.....</p> <p>We have put up with enough homeless people wandering around our houses and yards at all hours of the day and night!!! Not to mention the garbage that they leave behind.... a grocery cart was left on the property of the Oxford site just this past week. There are schools close by, a daycare and other businesses as well.</p> <p>You have selected these 2 sites, but I'm wondering where and what the other 23 sites were.</p>
7.	<p>I live near 8 Oxford Street. Although I am not against the idea of CSTs, I do object to a site at 8 Oxford Street. It is part of a residential area that contains family homes, has 2 daycares, a public school and a high school nearby. Surely there is a site more suitable. Please consider this.</p>
8.	<p>What a joke. Why do we - the taxpayers -have to give in to these people who actually do NOT want to get help, rather just shoot up with help from my tax dollars? This is apparent based on all the same people practically passed out trying to stand begging for money. As if this isn't bad enough you want them in an up-and coming neighbor hood? Next to schools? Why do they get prime real estate? Why do they take priority over us? - the taxpayers.</p> <p>Can we put the CTS next to your house? Or your kids' schools? This Council- is destroying the potential of Galt in general. But more so all the people just outside of the core area. Why not put the CTS site next to the Gas light District? Are they better then the rest? You are rendering the Radius around unwanted by hard working tax paying people.</p> <p>Anyone on this council who is for this idea has no real-life experience with how it actually goes. U think the CTS helps rather it turns into a drug trafficking network and even more people come to the area to use drugs! Amazing.</p> <p>Put this in an industrial area away from people with families. Or at the police station. Or just don't do it at all. Cambridge is better then this! We do not have to take on this burden. Why is this council going along with the advice of a paid for consultant team? - ask for a refund! Demand a better answer!</p> <p>Someone please call me and explain the logic.</p>
9.	<p>Premier Ford help us to stop this in Cambridge - I quote you, "If I put (a safe injection site) beside your house, you'd be going ballistic." Each and every councillor in Cambridge needs to consider this statement. Would you want this across the street from your home? It is still beyond my comprehension that intelligent people as yourselves would consider this.</p> <p>This is not about Say Yes to a CTS site this is do you really want to say yes to a CTS</p>

#	Individual email comments received
	<p>site in a neighbourhood with children, schools, daycares, businesses and hard-working individuals who have worked hard to make Our neighbourhood a caring, wonderful place to live.</p> <p>Please take time to read the following info about the state of other places with these sites. It is not pretty. Why do you want to destroy lives in our neighbourhood? A neighbourhood who has already gone through destruction of property, theft, sirens all hours of the night, stolen shopping carts full of interesting belongings, Individuals shooting up and leaving refuse behind, etc. etc. etc.</p> <p>https://www.heritage.org/public-health/commentary/safe-injection-sites-arent-safe-effective-or-wise-just-ask-canadians?fbclid=IwAR3bU_0TMLPB1M5OFPHj4u6PmkyOVJfZepG-EwD3OqZFRnEmyXuJ5hJYChk</p> <p>https://globalnews.ca/.../alberta-supervised.../amp/</p> <p>https://johnmitchellphoto.com/no-to-cts-in-cambridge/</p>
10.	<p>We have lived here almost 40 years. We have seen our neighbourhood blossom and get better and stronger. Over the years. For sale signs are going up daily, people are feeling defeated, not listened to, not as important as those individuals who chose to do illegal substances and harm themselves.</p> <p>My friends are here, I taught her, our children grew up in this neighbourhood. Our church is in the city, and we are involved in many wonderful events in this town. We are now considering a move out of Ontario all together with this decision looming. I am heartsick I do not want to move but I will not watch from my front door the destruction of our Neighbourhood. I beg you to really consider what you will be doing</p> <p>Cambridge neighbourhoods should not have a CTS site. Cambridge families, schools, daycares and businesses should matter.</p> <p>Our neighbourhood has been subjected to enough. Help us to maintain the integrity of our neighbourhoods. SAY NO TO CTS SITES IN NEIGHBOURHOODS.</p> <p>Cambridge needs mental health supports and treatment facilities and supportive housing. NO to the use of ILLEGAL drugs.</p> <p>This is NOT in my backyard mentality. It is NOT IN ANY BACKYARD - in ANY NEIGHBOURHOOD!!</p>
11.	<p>We are writing in regard to the two sites in consideration for a safe injection site in our city of Cambridge. As residents of Bond Street, we strongly oppose both the Oxford and Easton Street locations. In order to reach our decision, we have consulted a number of pertinent websites (e.g. novarecovery.com; Footprints to Recovery) which discuss the pros and cons of safe injection sites. We reject both the above listed sites because</p>

#	Individual email comments received
	<p>1. a CTS normalizes addiction for the addicted and possibly for neighbouring residents, especially the children and teens. Making the consumption of illegal drugs legal doesn't make much sense and it leaves the question of where the drugs come from...</p> <p>2. where there is usage, there must also be dealing. The narrow rear lanes in this older part of town may just invite this kind of activity and thus put the residents at risk.</p> <p>3. the lanes provide opportunity for loitering, littering and break-ins as unfortunately already experienced in our lane.</p> <p>4. most importantly perhaps, our neighbourhood contains a number of schools and a daycare centre (e.g., Pluto daycare staff often take the little ones in their care for walks through the neighbourhood as does the staff of the Montessori school).</p> <p>This is by no means a comprehensive list.</p> <p>In conclusion, we acknowledge that addiction in Cambridge is a problem which needs addressing. We also are aware of the possible pros for providing a safe site for the addicted. Putting a CTS right within our neighbourhood, though, seems but a band aid solution. It won't stop the addiction. It rather puts a normal aura on what is a serious health problem needing medical treatment with view to rehabilitation. We consider the hospital or a medical clinic still the best option.</p> <p>In your deliberations and decision making, please consider the needs of the many residents in our neighbourhood and their needs as well.</p>
12.	<p>I am very concerned about the new of the CTS site in our neighborhood at 8 Oxford Street. I have grown up in this neighborhood my whole life and my family still live on Bond Street just a few doors down from the proposed site. Over the years I have already seen this neighborhood go downhill ever since Bridges opened up down the hill. There has been increased crime already and the safety of our children in this area should be at the up most important.</p> <p>I know of a few home daycares in the area and there are two other daycares centers really close to this site. I see children walking around here all of the time and how safe can they be if a bunch of people addicted to drugs are walking around in the same area.</p> <p>I do agree something needs to be done to help these people struggling with addiction but putting a treatment center in our neighborhood is not the answer.</p> <p>There has to be something better you can do here then allow people to safely shoot up near our kids at play.</p>
13.	<p>I am a long-time resident of Cambridge born and raised here this is my home. We</p>

#	Individual email comments received
	<p>the residents of Cambridge are not in favor of a CTS Site, or a taxpayer funded drug supply anywhere in our city. We made this perfectly clear a couple of years ago when the Mayor and City councilors tried put one in our downtown core. We need to have Rehab and Detox centres these sites are definitely not the answer to our problem. We are not Enablers there is a better way. With these sites comes a lot of crime Cambridge already has enough of that. The 2 proposed sites are totally residential and very close to schools and a shopping mall that is just mind boggling and shouldn't even been considered totally unacceptable shame on the City of Cambridge. This is very concerning to the residents of Cambridge. We say NO</p>
14.	<p>I apologize for the impromptu message however I saw the photo and write up from our former Mayor regarding the CTS protest in today's paper. How is it that the naysayers constantly know when things are coming down the pipe? Please note that there are many people who would like to see Cambridge do better for the marginalized community. A CTS is one way to let them know "we care, and we don't want you to die". We need to start reaching out in a bigger way. Offering our homeless community, a place to eat and a bed isn't much. The lineup at the showers on 150 Main are so bad that most of them can't stand there for three hours. I don't know what people expect from them — you get what you give. So many people I come in contact with want to get well -they want off the streets but the most common phrase I hear back is "there's no beds" where will I go after, no one knows anything anymore. If Covid didn't show you how fast people can die from the streets, then you are living with blinders on. Sometimes I wonder if this is not the end goal just to let them die. Most of these folks are kind loving people that don't want to die. These people are very sick, and they realize every time they have to use (as not to become violently ill and face ridicule at a hospital) the chances are they might die. And it's getting worse and worse every day with the lethal drug supply polluting our streets. Most of them think their life would be better ended daily. What a horrible way to live - if you can even call it living. It's time Cambridge powers stepped up to the plate and like some other communities show these people that we care. These folks have been left out there with no hope at all and another winter is coming. They have been waiting and waiting and waiting and it has been at least three years since the CTS chatter started and it's time "we the people "got it together. What kind of people are we if we cannot save lives? You know all the fancy programs and treatment doesn't matter if people are dropping at this rapid pace from tainted drugs. I volunteer at our shelters, and I can assure you most of our marginalized community feel as though we have simply forgotten them or that they are an embarrassment to us. You certainly cannot blame them for feeling that way and you cannot blame them for any retaliation that they may show as a result of trying to survive. The constant hatred they are shown is inhumane.</p> <p>I certainly hope somebody will step up because contrary to the few protesters you might see there are far more people who would like to see positive action and help given to those in need right now!</p> <p>I was not able to add an online comment on the Cambridge times article however I did post it on the "Support You're Marginalized Community- Embrace Not Disgrace" on Facebook along with this comment.</p>

#	Individual email comments received
	<p>To Mayors office Our former Mayor didn't do much of anything to help or support our marginalized population when he was mayor for 20 years. Now someone please tell me why he is weighing in on this delicate situation now? Perhaps if these protestors spent the same amount of time helping those in need as they did wave there signs around, they might get a different perspective on what the community really needs. Perhaps if they had any real attachment to someone struggling through homelessness and addiction, they might realize that every life is so valuable maybe not to them but to someone!</p> <p>A safe consumption site will save lives and that's where we have to start. We can expand from there. Making people feel valued and wanted is another good place to start. Imagine how these people feel watching a cluster of citizens stand around protesting their very existence. Where would you like these people to go..... Should they dig a hole and jump in? Realize the fact.... They have little to no money, little to no support, no home, no help, no hope. I challenge anyone of these protesters to trade spots for 48 hours - stripped of all your luxuries -like clean bedding!! See what it's like to dig yourself out of a hole like this! See who wants you around. Most of these people are suffering from a dual diagnosis illness and while you frown upon their very existence, they deserve to have hope they deserve help they deserve everything that you deserve and most of all they deserve to live amongst us. A CTS Will not solve all of the problems but it's a good place to start by showing people that we care; we don't want you to die and as a community we would like to start helping you the way you deserve to be helped.</p>
15.	<p>No sites anywhere in Cambridge. Clean up the downtown core! Please listen to what taxpayers want. We are sick of the littering, break-ins & feeling unsafe in our own community. The sites will just encourage more criminal activity. We have too much now.</p>
16.	<p>As a lifelong resident of the City of Cambridge I want to share my support for a CTS site in our community. We have a vulnerable group of citizens that need our help to stay safe while dealing with their addictions, and to provide wrap-around services to improve their lives.</p> <p>Our hospitals and other healthcare services provide this type of care for people dealing with other health problems, including those with addiction to alcohol, cigarettes, food, etc. They are not turned away because of their choices and addictions, so why should we abandon people who are addicted to a substance just because it happens to be labelled" illegal"?</p> <p>Please move forward with a CTS site in Cambridge, to save lives and help support your fellow citizens who need assistance.</p>

