From: Jeff Collins

To: <u>Jeff Collins</u>

<u>E Clerks</u>

Subject: [External] FW: Kenley Lane Walkway Rehabilitation 22-076-CD

Date: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 12:36:02 PM

From: Jeff Collins

Sent: June 28, 2022 12:35 PM

To: 'engineering@cambridge.ca' <engineering@cambridge.ca>

Cc: 'buettelw@cambridge.ca' <buettelw@cambridge.ca'; 'mantond@cambridge.ca'

<mantond@cambridge.ca>

Subject: FW: Kenley Lane Walkway Rehabilitation 22-076-CD

From: Jeff Collins

Sent: June 28, 2022 12:23 PM

To: 'huckabones@cambridge.ca' < huckabones@cambridge.ca>

Subject: Kenley Lane Walkway Rehabilitation 22-076-CD

Hello Steven, today's council meeting on the Kenley Lane Walkway rehabilitation project has snuck up on me. I have quickly drafted a few notes/questions for your review and consideration. Hopefully they are of some use at tonight's discussion. As an attendee of the 2019 meeting to review options, I was in favour of rehabilitation but I now concur with the City's recommendation to close (option #2).

Option 1 (\$224,105):

Not worth the cost for 10-15 users/day, 8 months per year.

Option 2 (\$90,000):

Close the walkway permanently, and naturalize the slope and area.

- What is included in the \$90,000 budget?
- Will the use of any heavy equipment be required? If so, what?

The trespassing concern associated with Option 2 was evaluated with Operations, and is recognized, however the risk and maintenance effort for this concern is considered low and can be addressed through signage.

• Fencing is required. Signage does not stop trespassers. This is evident by no reduction in traffic when winter signage is in use.

Pedestrian counts collected in May 2022 identified an average of 24 users/day on weekdays and 20 users/day on the weekends.

Camera results were purposely exaggerated by some neighbours.

If the walkway is closed permanently, it will remain City property, and will require a minimum level of maintenance. There are existing hydro, storm, and sanitary sewers travelling through the corridor, which would prevent the sale of the property to a private owner.

• No above ground maintenance by the City is currently being done on this property. I regularly maintain cleanliness of the staircase and walkway.

Option 3 (\$110,000):

This option is considered to be least preferred, due to challenges with protecting the stairs during construction in addition to the fact that the stairs will need to be rehabilitated in the very near future.

• The stairs have already failed. The erosion issue is becoming a larger concern.

Options 4 (\$0):

This option does not represent the good stewardship of City assets and was not considered any further.

• Has this been confirmed no longer an option and will not be used as a delay to option #2?

11. Correspondence

*11.2. Dean and Dinah Scammell re: 22-076-CD Kenley Lane Walkway Rehabilitation

• There are no valid arguments presented in this correspondence.

Jeff Collins