



Preston Auditorium Expansion Project

Council Presentation

Geoff Haddaway

Cambridge Citizen/Head Coach Cambridge Rivulettes

A brief summary...

Option	Highlights	Pros	Cons
A	450 capacity new rink, keep Aud ice the same size	No/limited ice loss during renos	Seating on floor reduces capacity, sightlines compromised, limited future growth, Aud ice stays small
B	450 new rink, elevation in seating	No/limited ice loss during renos	Elevated vs Elevation**, sightlines compromised , Aud ice stays small, limited future growth
C	450 new, Aud ice expanded	Done all at once, cost effective, equitable, retain the atmosphere of Aud and upgrade it to modern standards	Loss of ice at Aud during renos 1-2 yrs
D	Aud ice expanded, Homuth stays	Equitable, Aud atmosphere remains and it becomes modernized	Loss of ice at Aud, a limited facility (Homuth/Dickson) stays open, inefficient use of money
E	none	none	History repeating itself

Notes

- ** Option B features elevated seating. Elevated seating does not necessarily mean significantly improved sightlines. Depending on how they are built there is limited viewing to the edge of the entire length of the rink closest to the seating. This reduces the true “capacity”.
- Options A, B, and D include some planning for additional NHL (standard) ice to be added at some point in the future. There has been no timeline suggested as to when that occurs.
- Options C & D include the loss of ice for 1-2 seasons. When are the new rinks at Sports Park going to be ready? If they are open, can we keep a lesser facility (Homuth/Dickson) open for a year to help alleviate the loss of ice availability at Preston during renos.