

----- Original message -----

From: Chea Kirkham [REDACTED]

Date: 2024-05-27 11:28 a.m. (GMT-05:00)

To: Jacqueline Hannemann <HannemannJ@cambridge.ca>, Mark Stone <stonem@cambridge.ca>, Adam Cooper <coopera@cambridge.ca>

Subject: OR08/23-201 Water St. South and 66 Highman Ave / 24-077-CD / May 28 2024

As I will be unable to attend the council meeting on May 28 in person, below is my written delegation submission with regard to the above-mentioned proposed development.

First, after pouring over the agenda package available online, I wish to thank the city Staff for the work they have done listening to the public and conveying our concerns to NPG.

I have three queries that I wish a reply to on May 28: _____

1. At the neighbourhood meeting, NPG/SG affirmed that appropriate studies were conducted to ensure this area of the City of Cambridge will be able to handle the increased traffic and infrastructure requirements for 330 additional units. Before being deemed acceptable, were the infrastructure studies [traffic. sewage. water. electricity, etc] done in relation to just this application for 330 units, or were they done taking into account the 991 units already approved north of us, i.e. 1,321 units in total, equalling approximately 2,642 additional residents, as I would imagine infrastructure demands would differ greatly for 660 than for 2,642.

My concern is that applications be approved taking into account the "whole picture" for this particular area of Cambridge, not just within the scope of each individual application.

2. With regards to potential construction impacts on adjacent homes and properties on Highman Avenue, including foundations, accessory buildings, grading, erosion, etc, I am pleased to be informed that *"The City has engineering standards that are implemented through the development and plans review process and during construction, and matters such as grading and erosion are reviewed" and that "the owner/developer will be required to enter into agreement(s) with the City (e.g. site plan agreement) and provide financial securities and insurance to ensure compliance with all requirements"* [Page 326 of Agenda]

However, everywhere else in the Agenda documents, only the requirement of the owner/developer to prepare a Pre and Post construction inspection plan (to identify any impacts on existing nearby

residential lots as a result of the development of the site) is mentioned; there is no mention of the owner/developer being required to provide us or the City with a legally binding undertaking that they will be responsible to cover all costs related to potential damages caused by the implementation of the project on our homes/properties, am I correct? or could it be part of the requirements in the approval of the future site plan application? I don't see any mention of it in the Holding clause.

3. In addition to the Pre and Post construction inspection plan, I would like to re-table my request for a Follow-Up Post construction inspection [within a to-be-determined time frame] to be part of the plan, to address the slow revealing nature of any potential damages to our homes/properties, given that erosion and compromised foundation issues are a real concern in this topographical construction scenario, and may not be evident until some time has passed.

Thank you, and looking forward to clarification and replies.

Chea